

# Finance & Tax Committee

Friday, March 31, 2006 8:30 AM – 12:00 PM 404 HOB

**MEETING PACKET** 



# The Florida House of Representatives

#### **Fiscal Council**

#### Finance & Tax Committee

Allan G. Bense Speaker Fred Brummer Chair

# **AGENDA**

March 31, 2006 8:30 AM – 12:00 PM 404 HOB

- I. Chairman's Remarks
- II. HJR 353 Increased Homestead Exemption by Lopez-Cantera
- III. CS/HB 667 Credit Counseling Services by Hasner
- IV. HB 709 Court Costs for Drug Court Programs by Quinones
- V. **HB 789** Damage Prevention and Safety for Underground Facilities by Murzin
- VI. **CS/HB 821** Community Contribution tax Credit Program by Goodlette
- VII. **HB 857** Insurance Premium Tax by Mahon
- VIII. **CS/HB 1031** Pawnbroking by Kyle
  - IX. HB 1039 Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area by Garcia
  - X. **HB 1189** Pasco County by Legg

- XI. HB 1203 St. Johns Water Control District by Poppell
- XII. HB 1207 Indian River Mosquito Control District by Poppell
- XIII. **CS/HB 1233** Early Learning by Ausley
- XIV. HB 1269 Local Occupational License Taxes by Cusack
- XV. **HJR 7037** Two-thirds Voter Approval to Increase State Tax by Judiciary Committee
- XVI. **PCB FT 06-07** Assessment of Homestead Property by Finance & Tax Committee
- XVII. PCB FT 06-08 Expenditure Limits by Finance & Tax Committee
- XVIII. **PCB FT 06-09** Property Tax Administration
  - XIX. Adjourn

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

**HJR 353** 

**Increased Homestead Exemption** 

**SPONSOR(S):** Lopez-Cantera and others

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 100

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Finance & Tax Committee		Monroe KDSM	Diez-Arguelles
2) Local Government Council		-	
3) Fiscal Council			
4)			
5)			_

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill is a joint resolution, proposing an amendments to the Florida Constitution to raise the current homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000.

The Division of Elections estimates the cost to the state to be approximately \$50,000 to meet constitutional requirements to publish this joint resolution to the electorate.

The Revenue Estimating Conference has estimated that if the electorate was to approve this constitutional amendment and millage rates were to remain unchanged, local governments would experience a tax loss of \$2.1 billion on the 2008 tax roll.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h0353.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

1/10/2006

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

**Lower Taxes -** Taxpayers who have homestead property and benefit from the increase in the homestead exemption will pay lower taxes. However, this will be a function of a shift in who bears the tax burden and other taxpayers will bear an increased tax burden.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background:

**Property Taxation in Florida** – The ad valorem tax or "property tax" is an annual tax levied by local governments based on the value of real and tangible personal property as of January 1 of each year. The taxable value of real and tangible personal property is the fair market value of the property adjusted for any exclusions, differentials or exemptions. Tax bills are mailed in November of each year based on the previous January 1<sup>st</sup> valuation and payment is due by the following March 31.

Ad valorem tax continues to be a major source of revenue for local governments in Florida. In FY 2002-03 (the last year for which certain fiscal information is available) property taxes constituted 31 percent of county governmental revenue (\$6.3 billion)<sup>1</sup>, and 17 percent of municipal governmental revenue (\$2.5 billion), making it the largest single source of tax or general revenue for general purpose governments in Florida. In addition, the property tax is the primary local revenue source for school districts. In FY 2003-04, school districts levied \$8.4 billion in property taxes for K-12 education.<sup>2</sup>

The property tax is important not only because of the revenue it generates, but because it is the only taxing authority not preempted by the Florida Constitution to the state.<sup>3</sup> However, the property tax is not an unlimited source of revenue. The Florida Constitution caps the millage rates assessed against the value of the property.<sup>4</sup> In addition, the Florida Constitution grants property tax relief in the form of valuation differentials,<sup>5</sup> assessment limitations,<sup>6</sup> and exemptions,<sup>7</sup> including the homestead exemptions.

Article VII, s. 3 of the Florida Constitution, provides authority for the following property tax exemptions:

• All property owned by a municipality and used exclusively by it for municipal or public purposes;

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0353.FT.doc 1/10/2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Information provided by the Legislative Committee on Governmental Relations (LCIR), from the LCIR database at http://fcn.state.fl.us/lcir/cntyfiscal/corevprofsw.xls.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 2005 Florida Tax Handbook, p. 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Art. VII, s. 1, Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Art. VII, s. 9, Fla. Const. For counties, municipalities, and school districts, the cap is 10 mills. The millage rate for water management districts is capped at 1 mill, except that it is 0.05 mills for the Northwest Florida Water Management District. The millage rate for other special districts is as established by law. A mill is defined as 1/1000 of a dollar, or \$1 per \$1000 of taxable value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Article VII, s. 4 of the Florida Constitution, authorizes valuation differentials, which are based on character or use of property, such as agricultural land, land producing high water recharge to Florida's aquifers, and land used exclusively for non-commercial recreational purposes. This section also provides that tangible personal property that is held as inventory may also be assessed at a specified percentage of its value or totally exempted. Additionally, counties and cities are authorized to assess historical property based solely on the basis of its character or use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Article VII, s. 4(c) of the Florida Constitution, authorizes the "Save Our Homes" property assessment limitation, which limits the increase in assessment of homestead property to the lesser of 3 percent or the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index. Section 4(e) authorizes counties to provide for a reduction in the assessed value of homestead property to the extent of any increase in the assessed value of that property which results from the construction or reconstruction of the property for the purpose of providing living quarters for one or more natural or adoptive grandparents or parents of the owner of the property or of the owner's spouse if at least one of the grandparents or parents for whom the living quarters are provided is 62 years of age or older. This provision is known as the "Granny Flats" assessment limitation. The statutes also provide for differential treatment of specific property, to include pollution control devises (s. 193.621, F.S.) and building renovations for the physically handicapped (s. 193.623, F.S.).

**Homestead Exemption -** The provision which is commonly referred to as the Homestead Exemption, is contained in Article VII, s. 6(a-d) of the Florida Constitution. It provides a \$25,000 homestead exemption for all owners of homestead property provided that the tax roll in their county has been approved. The \$25,000 amount was established in 1982 and has not been changed since then. If the amount of the homestead exemption had been increased by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index since 1982, the current value of the Homestead Exemption would be \$50,596.

In addition, Article VII, s. 6(f) of the Florida Constitution, authorizes the Legislature to allow counties or municipalities, by ordinance, for the purpose of their respective tax levies, to grant an additional homestead tax exemption of up to \$25,000 to resident homeowners who are 65 years of age whose household income, as defined by general law, does not exceed \$20,000, adjusted for inflation. This is typically referred to as the Increased Homestead Exemption for Low Income Seniors.

Finally, Article VII, s. 6(e) of the Florida Constitution authorizes the Legislature to provide renters who are permanent residents ad valorem tax relief on all ad valorem tax levies. However, this provision has been minimally implemented.<sup>8</sup>

In addition, the courts have ruled that property of the federal government, the state, and the counties is immune from, or not subject to, taxation. The courts have further ruled that this immunity extends to property of school districts and certain special districts. In

In tax year 2006, the combination of these various forms of property tax relief is estimated to effectively reduce the taxable value of real property in this state by 31.9 percent. For the 2006 tax year, it is estimated that at an aggregate average millage rate of 19.54, the tax revenue loss due to these forms of property tax relief will be \$1.1 billion for agricultural and other valuation differentials, \$6.7 billion for the "Save Our Homes" assessment limitation, and \$2.2 billion for the \$25,000 homestead exemption. 13

Any additional reduction in the property tax base will result in a corresponding shift in property tax burden to other property tax owners.<sup>14</sup>

#### **Proposed Change**

- Portions of property use predominantly for educational, literary, scientific, religious or charitable purposes, as provided in general law;
- Household goods and personal effects, not less than one thousand dollars;
- Property owned by a widow or widower or person who is blind or totally and permanently disabled, not less than five hundred dollars, as provided in general law;
- Property used for community and economic development, by local option and as defined by general law;
- Certain renewable energy source devices and real property on which the device is installed and operated; and
- Historic properties, by local option and as defined by general law.

The statutes also clarify or provide property tax exemptions for certain licensed child care facilities operating in an enterprise zone, properties used to provide affordable housing, educational facilities, charter schools, property owned and used by any labor organizations, community centers, space laboratories, and not-for-profit sewer and water companies.

<sup>8</sup> This \$25,000 exemption is implemented in ss. 196.1975(9)(a) and 196.1977, F.S., for certain units in non-profit homes for the aged and certain proprietary continuing care facilities.

<sup>9</sup> See Park-N-Shop, Inc. v. Sparkman, 99 So. 2d 571 (Fla. 1957); Orlando Utils. Comm'n v. Milligan, 229 So. 2d 262 (Fla. 4th DCA 1969); and Dickinson v. City of Tallahassee, 325 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1975).

<sup>10</sup> See Dickinson v. City of Tallahassee, 325 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1975).

<sup>11</sup> See Sarasota-Manatee Airport Auth. v. Mikos, 605 So. 2d 132 (Fla. 2d DCA 1992). Cf. Canaveral Port Auth. V. Department of Revenue, 690 So. 2d 1226 (Fla. 1996).

<sup>12</sup> 2006 estimates are \$ 2,148.5 billion in just value, and \$ 1,463.4 billion in taxable value. Revenue Estimating Conference, Ad Valorem Estimating Conference, March 6, 2006. See EDR website at http://edr.state.fl.us/conferences/advalorem/adval0306.pdf <sup>13</sup> See 2005 Florida Tax Handbook, p. 137-8.

<sup>14</sup> Generally, local governments respond to reductions in the property tax base in one of three ways: decrease their budgets, replace the lost revenue with other sources of revenue, or increase the millage rate on the remaining taxable property.

STORAGE NAME:

h0353.FT.doc 1/10/2006 Homestead Exemption - This proposal would raise the current homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000. Assuming the amendment was approved by the electorate in November, the increase in the homestead exemption would first take effect for the 2008 tax roll.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Not Applicable

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

Non-Recurring FY 2006-07

Department of State, Division of Elections

Publications Costs<sup>15</sup> \$50,000

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### 1. Revenues:

The Revenue Estimating Conference has estimated that if the electorate was to approve this constitutional amendment the amount of property value subject to tax would be reduced by \$108.8 billion in 2008. Assuming millage rates remain unchanged, this represents a loss of \$2.1 billion in taxes.

#### Expenditures:

None

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill will result in a shift of tax burden from certain homestead property owners to all other taxpayers.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Article XI, s. 5(d) of the State Constitution requires the state to publish the proposed amendment along with notice of the date of the election at which it will be submitted before electors in one newspaper in each county in which a newspaper is published once in the tenth week and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week the election is held. The Division of Elections estimates this cost to be approximately \$50,000 to meet the requirements of this provision.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

See Art. XI, Sec. 5(d), Fla. Const. STORAGE NAME: h0353.FT.doc DATE: 1/10/2006

The mandates provision is not applicable to Joint Resolutions.

2. Other:

None

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None

h0353.FT.doc 1/10/2006

PAGE: 5

House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to Section 6 of Article VII of the State Constitution to increase the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000 for all levies.

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

That the following amendment to Section 6 of Article VII of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

#### ARTICLE VII

#### FINANCE AND TAXATION

#### SECTION 6. Homestead exemptions. --

(a) Every person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner, or another legally or naturally dependent upon the owner, shall be exempt from taxation thereon, except assessments for special benefits, up to the assessed valuation of five thousand dollars, upon establishment of right thereto in the manner prescribed by law. The real estate may be held by legal or equitable title, by the entireties, jointly, in common, as a condominium, or indirectly by stock ownership or membership representing the owner's or member's proprietary interest in a corporation owning a fee or a leasehold initially in excess of ninety-eight years.

Page 1 of 4

(b) Not more than one exemption shall be allowed any individual or family unit or with respect to any residential unit. No exemption shall exceed the value of the real estate assessable to the owner or, in case of owners hip through stock or membership in a corporation, the value of the proportion which the interest in the corporation bears to the assessed value of the property.

- (c) By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of <u>fifty</u> twenty-five thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate for each school district levy. By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption for all other levies may be increased up to an amount not exceeding ten thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate if the owner has attained age sixty-five or is totally and permanently disabled and if the owner is not entitled to the exemption provided in subsection (d).
- (d) By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of <u>fifty</u> thousand dollars the following amounts of the assessed value of the real estate for each levy other than those of school districts: fifteen thousand dollars with respect to 1980 assessments; twenty thousand dollars with respect to 1981 assessments; twenty-five thousand dollars with respect to assessments for 1982 and each year thereafter. However, such increase shall not apply with respect to any assessment roll until such roll is first determined to be in compliance with the provisions of section 4 by a state agency designated by general

law. This subsection shall stand repealed on the effective date of any amendment to section 4 which provides for the assessment of homestead property at a specified percentage of its just value.

- (e) By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the Legislature may provide to renters, who are permanent residents, ad valorem tax relief on all ad valorem tax levies. Such ad valorem tax relief shall be in the form and amount established by general law.
- (f) The legislature may, by general law, allow counties or municipalities, for the purpose of their respective tax levies and subject to the provisions of general law, to grant an additional homestead tax exemption not exceeding twenty-five thousand dollars to any person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner and who has attained age sixty-five and whose household income, as defined by general law, does not exceed twenty thousand dollars. The general law must allow counties and municipalities to grant this additional exemption, within the limits prescribed in this subsection, by ordinance adopted in the manner prescribed by general law, and must provide for the periodic adjustment of the income limitation prescribed in this subsection for changes in the cost of living.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

#### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

#### ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6

INCREASED HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION. -- Proposing an amendment to

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

the State Constitution to increase the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000 for all levies, school districts or otherwise.

Page 4 of 4

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

	Bill No. 353						
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION						
	ADOPTED (Y/N)						
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)						
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)						
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)						
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)						
	OTHER						
1	Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance and Tax						
2	Representative(s) offered the following:						
3							
4	Amendment (with ballot statement and title amendments)						
5	Remove line(s) 9-79 and insert:						
6	That the following amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII						
7	and the creation of Section 26 of Article XII of the State						
8	Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors						
9	of this state for approval or rejection at the next general						
10	election or at an earlier special election specifically						
11	authorized by law for that purpose:						
12	ARTICLE VII						
13	FINANCE AND TAXATION						
14	SECTION 4. Taxation; assessmentsBy general law						
15	regulations shall be prescribed which shall secure a just						
16	valuation of all property for ad valorem taxation, provided:						
17	(a) Agricultural land, land producing high water recharge						
18	to Florida's aquifers, or land used exclusively for						
19	noncommercial recreational purposes may be classified by general						
20	law and assessed solely on the basis of character or use.						
21	(b) Pursuant to general law tangible personal property						

held for sale as stock in trade and livestock may be valued for

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

taxation at a specified percentage of its value, may be classified for tax purposes, or may be exempted from taxation.

- (c) All persons entitled to a homestead exemption under Section 6 of this Article shall have their homestead assessed at just value as of January 1 of the year following the effective date of this amendment. This assessment shall change only as provided herein.
- (1) Assessments subject to this provision shall be changed annually on January 1st of each year; but those changes in assessments shall not exceed the lower of the following:
- Three percent (3%) of the assessment for the prior year.
- The percent change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
  - (2) No assessment shall exceed just value.
- (3) The difference between just value and assessed value shall not exceed \$100,000 unless the provisions of paragraph (10) apply.
- (4) After any change of ownership, as provided by general law, homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1 of the following year, unless the provisions of paragraph (9) apply. Thereafter, the homestead shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (5) (4) New homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1st of the year following the establishment of the homestead, unless the provisions of paragraph (9) apply. That assessment shall only change as provided herein.

- (6)(5) Changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to homestead property shall be assessed as provided for by general law; provided, however, after the adjustment for any change, addition, reduction, or improvement, the property shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (7) (6) In the event of a termination of homestead status, the property shall be assessed as provided by general law.
- (8)(7) The provisions of this amendment are severable. If any of the provisions of this amendment shall be held unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, the decision of such court shall not affect or impair any remaining provisions of this amendment.
- within this state and within one year establishes within the same county another property as his or her new homestead, the newly established homestead property shall be initially assessed at less than just value, as provided by general law. The difference between the new homestead property's just value and its assessed value in the first year the homestead is established shall equal the difference between the prior homestead property's just value and its assessed value in the year of sale or transfer, provided the difference does not exceed \$100,000. However, in no case shall this adjustment result in the new homestead property having an assessed value less than the assessed value of the previous homestead property. Thereafter, the homestead property shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (10) For a homestead established before January 1, 2007, the difference between just value and assessed value may not exceed the difference between just value and assessed value that exists on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000.

- (d) The legislature may, by general law, for assessment purposes and subject to the provisions of this subsection, allow counties and municipalities to authorize by ordinance that historic property may be assessed solely on the basis of character or use. Such character or use assessment shall apply only to the jurisdiction adopting the ordinance. The requirements for eligible properties must be specified by general law.
- (e) A county may, in the manner prescribed by general law, provide for a reduction in the assessed value of homestead property to the extent of any increase in the assessed value of that property which results from the construction or reconstruction of the property for the purpose of providing living quarters for one or more natural or adoptive grandparents or parents of the owner of the property or of the owner's spouse if at least one of the grandparents or parents for whom the living quarters are provided is 62 years of age or older. Such a reduction may not exceed the lesser of the following:
- (1) The increase in assessed value resulting from construction or reconstruction of the property.
- (2) Twenty percent of the total assessed value of the property as improved.

#### SECTION 6. Homestead exemptions. --

(a) Every person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner, or another legally or naturally dependent upon the owner, shall be exempt from taxation thereon, except assessments for special benefits, up to the assessed valuation of five thousand dollars, upon establishment of right thereto in the manner prescribed by law. The real estate may be held by legal or equitable title, by the entireties, jointly, in common, as a

84° 

- condominium, or indirectly by stock ownership or membership representing the owner's or member's proprietary interest in a corporation owning a fee or a leasehold initially in excess of ninety-eight years.
- (b) Not more than one exemption shall be allowed any individual or family unit or with respect to any residential unit. No exemption shall exceed the value of the real estate assessable to the owner or, in case of ownership through stock or membership in a corporation, the value of the proportion which the interest in the corporation bears to the assessed value of the property.
- (c) 1. By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of the following amounts twenty-five thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate for each school district levy: thirty thousand dollars with respect to 2007 assessments; thirty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2008 assessments; forty thousand dollars with respect to 2009 assessments; forty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2010 assessments; and fifty thousand dollars with respect to 2010 assessments; and fifty thousand dollars with respect to 2011 assessments. In 2012 and each year thereafter, the exemption shall increase annually by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- 2. By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption for all other levies may be increased up to an amount not exceeding ten thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate if the owner has attained age sixty-

five or is totally and permanently disabled and if the owner is not entitled to the exemption provided in subsection (d).

- By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of the following amounts of assessed value of real estate for each levy other than those of school districts: thirty fifteen thousand dollars with respect to 2007 1980 assessments; thirty-five twenty thousand dollars with respect to 2008 1981 assessments; forty twenty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2009 assessments; forty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2010 assessments; and fifty thousand dollars for 2011 assessments. In 2012 for 1982 and each year thereafter, the exemption shall increase annually by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. However, such increase shall not apply with respect to any assessment roll until such roll is first determined to be in compliance with the provisions of section 4 by a state agency designated by general law. This subsection shall stand repealed on the effective date of any amendment to section 4 which provides for the assessment of homestead property at a specified percentage of its just value.
- (e) By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the Legislature may provide to renters, who are permanent residents, ad valorem tax relief on all ad valorem tax levies. Such ad valorem tax relief shall be in the form and amount established by general law.
- (f) The legislature may, by general law, allow counties or municipalities, for the purpose of their respective tax levies and subject to the provisions of general law, to grant an

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171172

173

174

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

additional homestead tax exemption not exceeding twenty-five thousand dollars to any person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner and who has attained age sixty-five and whose household income, as defined by general law, does not exceed twenty thousand dollars. The general law must allow counties and municipalities to grant this additional exemption, within the limits prescribed in this subsection, by ordinance adopted in the manner prescribed by general law, and must provide for the periodic adjustment of the income limitation prescribed in this subsection for changes in the cost of living.

ARTICLE XII

#### SCHEDULE

SECTION 26. Homestead property assessment limitations; increased homestead exemption.—The amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII, modifying the limitations on the assessment of homestead property and increasing the amount of the homestead exemption, shall take effect January 1, 2007.

176l

196 ====== BALLOT STATEMENT AMENDMENT ====== 197 Remove line(s) 82-87 and insert:

200 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 4 AND 6

ARTICLE XII, SECTION 26

ASSESSMENT OF HOMESTEAD PROPERTY. Proposing amendments to the State Constitution to provide for a phased increase in the exemption for homestead property from \$25,000 to \$50,000 over 5 years; to provide that homeowners who move from one homestead

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

property to another in the same county would have the new homestead property assessed at up to \$100,000 less than just value depending on the differential between the just value and the assessed value of their previous homestead property; to limit the difference between the just value and the assessed value of homestead property to \$100,000 except property established as homestead property before January 1, 2007, for which the difference between just value and assessed value may not exceed the difference between just value and assessed value existing on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000; and schedule the amendments to take effect January 1, 2007, if adopted.

========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ============

Remove line(s) 1-5 and insert:

A joint resolution proposing amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 26 of Article XII of the State Constitution to limit the difference between the just value and the assessed value for homestead property, provide for assessing newly established homestead property at less than just value subject to a limitation, and increase the amount of the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000.

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 667 CS

SPONSOR(S): Hasner

TIED BILLS:

**Credit Counseling Services** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1954

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Economic Development, Trade & Banking Committee	8 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Olmedillo	Carlson
2) Business Regulation Committee	17 Y, 0 N	Watson	Liepshutz
3) Finance & Tax Committee		Levin Do	Diez-Arguelles
4) Commerce Council			
5)			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Credit counseling services generally advertise a service intended to assist people in managing their personal debt. Credit counseling services may attempt to help an individual avoid foreclosure and bankruptcy, reduce interest rates, and lower or consolidate monthly payments.

This bill creates a definition for "creditor contribution" to mean any sum that a creditor agrees to contribute to a credit counseling agency towards amounts payable to the creditor by the debtor. Creditor contributions may not reduce any sums to be credited to the account of a debtor making a payment to the credit counseling agency for further payment to the creditor.

The bill removes a cap limiting fees that may be charged to out-of-state customers.

The bill allows a debt management or credit counseling service to charge a reasonable and separate fee for insufficient funds transactions.

This bill expands the current requirement that any person engaged in debt management services or credit counseling services obtain an annual audit of all accounts in which the funds of debtors are deposited and subsequently disbursed to creditors.

Finally, the bill authorizes a debt management or credit counseling service to deduct any creditor contributions from all funds it is required to disburse to the creditor on behalf of the debtor.

The bill does not have an effect on state revenues or expenditures. (Please see "Fiscal Analysis and Economic Impact Statement.")

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

This bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

#### **B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:**

#### **Present Situation**

Credit counseling organizations generally advertise a service intended to assist people with managing their personal debt. Credit counseling organizations may attempt to help an individual avoid foreclosure and bankruptcy, reduce interest rates, and lower or consolidate monthly payments.

Credit counseling organizations may also offer individual advice for developing budgets, managing money, using credit, and building a savings plan.

Many credit counseling services offer assistance through "Debt Management Plans" (DMP). The DMP is advertised as a way to pay down debt through monthly deposits to the credit counseling service, which in turn distributes these funds to the creditors. Credit counseling services advertise that they work with clients and creditors to design a debt repayment program that will minimize monthly payments, interest and related fees, and provide a manageable plan for clients.

A relatively new law became effective in 2004 directly regulating credit counseling organizations. However, it provides exceptions for certain persons who may engage in debt management including those in the practice of law, any person who incidentally engages in debt adjustment, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, a bank, a bank holding company, trust company, savings and loan association, credit union, credit card bank, or savings bank that is regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office of Thrift Supervision, the Federal Reserve, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration, or the Department of Financial Services. <sup>2</sup>

The credit counseling industry is subsidized by various creditors (e.g. Visa, Mastercard, etc.) through contributions made to various counseling agencies. Typically, an organization engaged in debt management services withholds amounts due to the creditor by the debtor, which the creditor treats as a contribution to an exempt organization.

# **Proposed Changes**

This bill defines "creditor contribution" to mean any sum that a creditor agrees to contribute to a credit counseling agency, whether directly or by setoff against amounts otherwise payable to the creditor on behalf of the debtors. However, the bill specifies that a "creditor contribution" may not reduce the amounts a debtor pays towards his or her debt. This definition ensures

<sup>2</sup> s. 817.803, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> s. 1, ch. 2004-351., created as Part IV of Chapter ss. 817, F.S., ss.817.801-817.806, F.S.

that consumers receive credit for 100% of the dollars that they pay for consolidation, regardless of any contributions by the credit card companies.

The bill clarifies that the limit on fees a credit counseling service charges does not apply to debtors residing out of Florida. This language will not prohibit a person engaged in debt management services from charging higher fees or costs to debtors located in other states than they do to Florida residents.

Furthermore, the bill clarifies that the law does not prohibit a debt management service or credit counseling service from charging a reasonable and separate fee for insufficient funds transactions.

Section 817.804, F.S., currently requires any person engaged in debt management or credit counseling services to obtain an annual audit of all accounts in which the funds of debtors are deposited and subsequently disbursed to creditors. The bill amends the provision to specifically "include" all the above-mentioned accounts, which implies that the audit may not have covered all accounts previously.

Finally, the bill requires a debt management or credit counseling service to deduct any creditor contributions from all funds it is required to disburse to the creditor from those it receives from the debtor; and it clarifies that any person engaged in debt management services or credit counseling services shall only maintain a trust account for receipt of any funds from any and all debtors.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 817.801, F.S., and creates the definition of "creditor contribution".

Section 2 amends s. 817.802, F.S., and removes a cap limiting fees that may be charged to out-of-state customers and clarifies that a debt management service or credit counseling service may charge a reasonable and separate fee for insufficient funds transactions.

Section 3 amends s. 817.804, F.S., and expands the current requirement that any person engaged in debt management services or credit counseling services obtain an annual audit of all accounts in which the funds of debtors are deposited and subsequently disbursed to creditors.

Section 4 amends s. 817.805, F.S., and requires a debt management or credit counseling service to deduct any creditor contributions from all funds it is required to disburse to the creditor from those it receives from the debtor and clarifies that any person engaged in debt management services or credit counseling services shall only maintain a trust account for receipt of any funds from any and all debtors.

Section 5 provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues: None.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0667d.FT.doc 3/17/2006 2. Expenditures: None.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues: None.

2. Expenditures: None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Unknown.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

This bill clarifies that the limit on fees a credit counseling serve charges does not apply to debtors residing out-of-state.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenues.

2. Other: None.

#### **B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None.

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On February 21, 2006, the Economic Development, Trade and Banking Committee adopted an amendment to the bill. The amendment removes "negotiation and settlement" from the definition of debt management services, to conform to the companion SB 1954.

This analysis has been updated to reflect the committee substitute adopted by the Economic Development, Trade and Banking Committee at its February 21, 2006 meeting.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0667d.FT.doc 3/17/2006 HB 667

#### CHAMBER ACTION

The Economic Development, Trade & Banking Committee recommends the following:

234

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

#### Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to credit counseling services; amending s. 817.801, F.S.; revising and providing definitions; amending s. 817.802, F.S., relating to unlawful fees and costs; limiting application to certain debtors; amending s. 817.804, F.S.; revising annual audit requirements; amending s. 817.805, F.S.; including creditor contributions within an authorized deduction from requirements for disbursement of funds; providing an effective date.

16 17

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

18 19

Section 1. Section 817.801, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

20

817.801 Definitions.--As used in this part:

Page 1 of 4

2006

CS

HB 667 2006 **CS** 

 $\underline{\text{(1)}}$  "Credit counseling agency" means any organization providing debt management services or credit counseling services.

- (2)(1) "Credit counseling services" means confidential money management, debt reduction, and financial educational services.
- agrees to contribute to a credit counseling agency, whether directly or by setoff against amounts otherwise payable to the creditor on behalf of debtors. However, a creditor contribution may not reduce any sums to be credited to the account of a debtor making a payment to the credit counseling agency for further payment to the creditor.
- (4) "Debt management services" means services provided to a debtor by a credit counseling organization for a fee to:
- (a) Effect the adjustment, compromise, or discharge of any unsecured account, note, or other indebtedness of the debtor; or
- (b) Receive from the debtor and disburse to a creditor any money or other thing of value.
- (5)(3) "Person" means any individual, corporation, partnership, trust, association, or other legal entity.
- Section 2. Section 817.802, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 817.802 Unlawful fees and costs. --
- (1) It is unlawful for any person, while engaging in debt management services or credit counseling services, to charge or accept from a debtor <u>residing in this state</u>, directly or indirectly, a fee or contribution greater than \$50 for the

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 667 2006 **cs** 

initial setup or initial consultation. Subsequently, the person may not charge or accept a fee or contribution from a debtor residing in this state greater than \$120 per year for additional consultations or, alternatively, if debt management services as defined in s.  $817.801\underline{(4)}(2)$ (b) are provided, the person may charge the greater of 7.5 percent of the amount paid monthly by the debtor to the person or \$35 per month.

- (2) No provision of This section does not prohibit prohibits any person, while engaging in debt management or credit counseling services, from imposing upon and receiving from a debtor a reasonable and separate charge or fee for insufficient funds transactions.
- Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 817.804, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 817.804 Requirements; disclosure and financial reporting.--
- (1) Any person engaged in debt management services or credit counseling services shall:
- (a) Obtain from a certified public accountant licensed under s. 473.308 an annual audit that shall include of all accounts of such person in which the funds of debtors are deposited and from which payments are made to creditors on behalf of debtors.
- Section 4. Section 817.805, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 817.805 Disbursement of funds.--Any person engaged in debt management or credit counseling services shall disburse to the appropriate creditors all funds received from a debtor, less any

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 667 2006 **CS** 

78 l

79

80

81

82

83

fees permitted by s. 817.802 and any creditor contributions, within 30 days after receipt of such funds. Further, any person engaged in such services shall maintain a separate trust account for the receipt of any funds from debtors each debtor and the disbursement of such funds on behalf of such debtors debtor.

Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 4 of 4

## HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

	Bill No. <b>667</b>				
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION				
	ADOPTED (Y/N)				
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)				
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)				
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)				
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)				
	OTHER				
1	Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance and Tax				
2	Representative Stargel offered the following:				
3					
4	Amendment				
5	Remove lines 68 - 72 and insert:				
6					
7	(a) Obtain from a <u>licensed</u> certified public accountant				
8	licensed under s. 473.308 an annual audit in accordance				
9	with generally accepted auditing standards that shall				
10	include of all accounts of such person in which the funds				
11	of debtors are deposited and from which payments are made				
12	to creditors on behalf of debtors.				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 709

**Court Costs for Drug Court Programs** 

SPUNSUR(S

SPONSOR(S): Quinones and others

TIED BILLS: None

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 940

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Judiciary Committee	12 Y, 0 N	Poblete	Hogge
2) Finance & Tax Committee		Rice ACR	Diez-Arguellez
3) Judiciary Appropriations Committee			
4) Justice Council			
5)			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill authorizes counties, in which a drug court program has been established, to adopt an ordinance requiring circuit and county courts to impose a \$6 court fee against any person who pleads guilty to, or pleads nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, certain specified offenses involving the use of alcohol or abuse of other substances resulting in the payment of a fine or civil penalty. The revenues generated from this fee are to fund the programs operational and administrative costs.

The funds generated by this ordinance will be administered by the trial court administrator under the direction of an advisory committee appointed by the chief judge for the circuit in which the county is located. Clerks would retain eight percent of the revenue generated as fee income.

Provided that the local government adopts an ordinance under the provisions of this bill, there will be a positive insignificant impact to local government revenues.

The bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

h0709b.FT.doc 3/27/2006

DATE:

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government: This bill authorizes counties to require court imposition of a court cost against persons committing certain offenses to provide funding for drug court programs.

Ensures Lower Taxes: This bill authorizes counties to require court imposition of a court cost against persons committing certain offenses to provide funding for drug court programs.

#### **B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:**

#### **Background**

#### **Drug Courts**

Dade County created the first drug court in Florida in 1989 as a response to a federal mandate to reduce the inmate population or lose federal funding. The focus of the Dade County Drug Court was to provide treatment for offenders and to reduce recidivism. Rather than simply sentencing offenders for short periods of incarceration or probation, the court supervised offenders by holding random drug tests, requiring frequent court appearances, imposing sanctions for continued drug use, and providing incentives to maintain sobriety.

Drug courts operate in each of Florida's 20 judicial circuits. As of February 2006, there were a total of 46 adult, 30 juvenile, 19 family dependency, and 2 other types of drug courts operating in 46 counties within the state.1

Drug court programs typically provide services and monitoring in the pretrial stage. The programs extend the pretrial stage and use the threat of a criminal prosecution and conviction to encourage offender compliance. Drug courts operate on a reward and punishment system. Offenders successfully completing a drug court program receive a reduced charge or possibly even dismissal of the charge. For those that fail to comply with the program, the punishment is typically jail time, plus continuation of the criminal process and possible additional jail time upon conviction.

#### Funding

Section 397.334, F.S., authorizes counties to fund treatment-based drug court programs and requires such counties to include therapeutic jurisprudence principles, but limits the amount of state funding that can be used for these purposes. Section 397.334(5), F.S., reads:

"If a county chooses to fund a treatment-based drug court program, the county must secure funding from sources other than the state for those costs not otherwise assumed by the state pursuant to s. 29.004, [F.S.]."

Section 29.004(10)(d), F.S., indicates that the state will supply funds for "service referral, coordination, monitoring, and tracking for treatment-based drug court programs," but will not fund the "costs associated with the application of therapeutic jurisprudence principles by the courts."

<sup>1</sup> Report on Florida's Drug Courts, July 2004, http://www.flcourts.org/gen\_public/family/bin/dcreport.pdf, accessed March 2006. PAGE: 2 h0709b.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/27/2006

#### **Court Costs**

As set forth in ch. 938, F.S., there are four categories of court costs. There are mandatory court costs in all cases, mandatory court costs in specific cases, mandatory court costs authorized by local governmental entities, and discretionary court costs in specific types of cases. In each category there are certain court costs related to drug offenses.

#### Mandatory court costs applying in all cases:

- \$3 cost (authorized under s.938.01, F.S.) for any person convicted for violation of a state penal or criminal statute or a municipal or county ordinance;
- \$50 cost (authorized under s.938.03, F.S.) for any person pleading guilty or nolo contendere to, or being convicted of, or adjudicated delinquent for, any felony, misdemeanor, delinquent act, or criminal traffic offense;<sup>2</sup>
- \$200 cost (authorized under s.938.05, F.S.) for persons pleading guilty or nolo contendere to, or being convicted of, or adjudicated delinquent for, any felony, \$50 for each misdemeanor or criminal traffic offense;
- 5% surcharge (authorized under s.938.04, F.S.) imposed on any fine for any criminal offense by law, including a criminal traffic offense; and,
- \$20 surcharge (authorized under s.938.06, F.S.) on any fine prescribed by law for any criminal offense.

#### Mandatory court costs in specific cases:

- \$135 fine (authorized under s.938.07, F.S.) for driving or boating under the influence; and,
- \$15 court cost (authorized under s.938.13, F.S.) for any person found guilty of any misdemeanor in which the unlawful use of drugs or alcohol is involved.

#### Mandatory costs authorized by local governmental entities:

- Up to \$65 (authorized under s.939.185, F.S.) for persons pleading guilty or nolo contendere to, or being convicted of, or adjudicated delinquent for, any felony, misdemeanor or criminal traffic offense to be used only in the county in which the offense occurred; and,
- In counties with a teen court, a \$3 cost (authorized under s.938.19, F.S.) against each person pleading guilty or nolo contendere to or who is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, a violation of a criminal law, a municipal or county ordinance, or pays a fine or civil penalty for any violation of chapter 316, F.S.

#### Discretionary costs:

As authorized under ss.938.21 and 938.23, F.S., a defendant may be charged an amount up to, or an amount equal to, the authorized fine for those persons convicted for driving under the influence, disorderly intoxication, open house parties, or for a violation of any section under chapter 893, F.S., (drug abuse and prevention control), chapter 562, F.S., (beverage law enforcement), chapter 567, F.S., (liquor), or chapter 568, F.S., (intoxicating liquors in counties where prohibited);

- \$100 fine (authorized under s.938.25, F.S.) against any defendant who pleads guilty or nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, a violation of any provision of s. 893.13, F.S., which makes it unlawful to sell, manufacture, deliver, or possess with the intent to sell, manufacture, or deliver, a controlled substance; and,
- As authorized under s.938.27, F.S., a convicted criminal defendant is required to pay the documented costs of prosecution if so requested.

#### Effect of Bill

This bill authorizes counties to adopt an ordinance requiring circuit and county courts to impose a \$6 fee against any person who pleads guilty to, or pleads nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, a violation of ch. 893, F.S., (substance abuse and controlled substances); or a municipal ordinance, a county ordinance, or any provision of ch. 316, F.S., (state uniform traffic control laws) involving the use of alcohol or abuse of other substances resulting in the payment of a fine or civil penalty. This mandatory cost would be in addition to any fine, civil penalty or other applicable court cost.

The funds generated by this mandatory cost would be administered by the court administrator under the direction of an advisory committee appointed by the chief judge for the circuit in which the county is located. Clerks would retain eight percent of the revenue generated as fee income.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates s. 938.20, F.S., relating to court costs for drug court programs, authorizing county and circuit courts to impose a fee.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Positive recurring impact, but of an indeterminate amount, since the number of persons subject to this cost is unknown.

2. Expenditures:

None

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Should a county adopt an ordinance under the provisions of this bill, applicable persons would pay an additional \$6 in court fees.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The total amount of revenue for drug courts generated by imposition of this fee depends on the number of persons subject to it. No money is generated unless the county adopts the applicable ordinance. Of each \$6 mandatory cost, the drug court program would receive \$5.52 and the Clerk of the Court \$0.48.

## **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a municipality or county to expend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate. The bill does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with municipalities or counties.

2. Other:

None

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0709b.FT.doc 3/27/2006

2006 HB 709

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to court costs for drug court programs; creating s. 938.20, F.S.; authorizing counties to provide by ordinance for funding of drug court programs through the assessment of an additional mandatory court cost; providing for the assessment to be imposed against persons convicted of certain violations of drug abuse prevention and control provisions, violations of a municipal or county ordinance, or traffic violations involving alcohol or other substance use or abuse and resulting in payment of a fine or penalty; providing an exception; providing for collection and deposit of the assessment; providing for administration of the funds; providing an effective date.

14 15 16

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

17 18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 1. Section 938.20, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

19 20

938.20 Court costs for drug court programs. --

(1) Notwithstanding s. 318.121, each county in which a drug court program has been established under s. 397.334 may require by ordinance the assessment of a mandatory cost in the sum of \$6 which shall be assessed by both the circuit court and the county court in the county against every person who pleads guilty or nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, a violation of chapter 893, a municipal ordinance, a county ordinance, or any provision of chapter 316 involving

Page 1 of 3

HB 709 2006

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

the use of alcohol or other substance use or abuse which results in payment of a fine or civil penalty. Any person whose adjudication is withheld pursuant to s. 318.14(9) or (10) must be assessed such cost. The \$6 assessment shall be in addition to any fine, civil penalty, or other court cost and may not be deducted from the proceeds of that portion of any fine or civil penalty which is received by a municipality in the county or by the county in accordance with ss. 316.660 and 318.21. The \$6 assessment shall specifically be added to any civil penalty paid for a violation of chapter 316, whether such penalty is paid by mail, paid in person without request for a hearing, or paid after a hearing and determination by the court. However, the \$6 assessment may not be made against a person for a violation of any state statute, county ordinance, or municipal ordinance relating to the parking of vehicles, with the exception of a violation of the handicapped parking laws.

- (2) The clerk of the circuit court shall collect the \$6 assessment established pursuant to subsection (1) and shall deposit the assessment monthly into an account specifically designated for the operation and administration of the drug court program within the county and which is under the authority of the trial court administrator for the respective circuit, less 8 percent, which shall be retained as fee income for the office of the clerk of the circuit court, together with other moneys that become available for establishing, operating, and administering drug court programs under state law.
- (3) Assessments deposited into an account specifically designated for the operation and administration of the drug

HB 709 2006

court programs within such county shall be administered by the trial court administrator for the respective circuit under the direction of the advisory committee appointed by the chief judge in each circuit pursuant to ss. 948.08(7) and 985.306(2).

61

Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

## HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. **709** 

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE A	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Council/Committee hearing bill:

Representative(s) Quinones offered the following:

# Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Remove line(s) 21-44 and insert:

- (1) Each county in which a drug court program has been established under s. 397.334 may require by ordinance the assessment of a mandatory cost in the sum of \$6 which shall be assessed by both the circuit court and the county court in the county against every person who
- (a) Pleads guilty or nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, a violation of chapter 893,
- (b) Pleads guilty or nolo contendere to, or is convicted of, regardless of adjudication, a violation of a municipal ordinance or a county ordinance, involving the use of alcohol or other substance use or abuse or
- (c) Pays a fine or civil penalty for any violation of chapter 316 involving the use of alcohol or other substance use or abuse.

### HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

The \$6 assessment shall be in addition to any fine, civil 21 penalty, or other court cost and may not be deducted from the 22 proceeds of that portion of any fine or civil penalty which is 23 received by a municipality in the county or by the county in 24 accordance with ss. 316.660 and 318.21. The \$6 assessment shall 25 specifically be added to any civil penalty paid for a violation 26 of chapter 316, whether such penalty is paid by mail, paid in 27 person without request for a hearing, or paid after a hearing 28

and determination by the court.

30 31

33

34

35

36

Remove line(s) 7-11 and insert:

who plead guilty or no contest to, or are convicted of, certain drug-abuse prevention and control provisions or certain local ordinances or uniform traffic control laws involving alcohol or other substance use or abuse; providing

37

000000

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 789 CS

Damage Prevention and Safety of Underground Facilities

SPONSOR(S): Murzin TIED BILLS:

None

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 1394

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Utilities & Telecommunications Committee	13 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Holt	Holt
2) Civil Justice Committee	7 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Blalock	Bond
3) Finance & Tax Committee		Noriega N	Diez-Arguelles
4) Commerce Council			
5)			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act provides access for excavating contractors and the public to provide notification to the free-access notification system established by the creation of the Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc., (SSOCOF) of their intent to engage in excavation or demolition.

This bill amends the Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act as follows:

- Reduces the number of days that an excavator must provide certain information before beginning any excavation or demolition, from "not less than 2 or more than 5" business days to "not less than 2" business days. This bill also provides an exception to this timing requirement for excavation beneath the waters of the state. This bill increases the number of days the information provided by the excavator is valid from 20 to 30 calendar days;
- Revises notification requirements for excavators;
- Provides procedures for when a member operator receives notification from the system that excavation or demolition is planned in an area in proximity to an underground facility;
- Provides that SSOCOF does not have a duty and is not permitted to locate or mark underground facilities, and exempts SSOCOF from liability for the failure of member operators to comply with the act;
- Revises the non-criminal infraction section to:
  - Provide that court cost be added to the civil penalty;
  - o Provide that when a citation is issued by a local government entity, 80% of the penalty is to be directed to that local government entity; and
  - Provide that SSOCOF may retain legal representation regarding citations issued under this Act; and
- Provides additional exemptions for certain pest control services for certain situations where mechanized equipment is not used.

This bill has an insignificant positive fiscal impact on local government revenues.

The bill has an effective date of October 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0789d.FT.doc 3/28/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

<u>Provide limited government</u> -- This bill increases the regulation of procedures that member operators and excavators must follow when providing information to and receiving notification from the free-access notification system.

<u>Promote personal responsibility</u> -- This bill decreases personal accountability by providing that SSOCOF is not liable for failure of a member operator to comply with the requirements of the Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Background**

Chapter 93-240, L.O.F., created the "Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act" (Act), and is codified at ch. 556, F.S. The purpose of this Act is to:

- Aid the public by preventing injury to persons or property and the interruption of services resulting from damage to an underground facility caused by excavation or demolition operations;
- Create a not-for-profit corporation comprised of operators of underground facilities in Florida to administer the provisions of this Act;
- Fund the cost of administration through contributions from the member operators for services
  provided to the member operators and from charges made to others for services requested and
  provided, such as record searches, education or training, and damage prevention activities;
- Reserve to the state the power to regulate any subject matter specifically addressed in this Act;
   and
- Permit any local law enforcement officer or permitting agency inspector to enforce this Act without the need to incorporate the provisions of this Act into any local code or ordinance.

This Act established the statewide free-access notification system, which is a single toll-free number provided for persons to give notification of and intent to engage in excavation or demolition. The Act also created a not-for-profit corporation, Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc. (SSOCOF), established pursuant to s. 556.101(3)(c), F.S., and is comprised of Florida underground facilities operators (member operators), which administer the chapter provisions and maintain the free-access notification system (system). The cost of the system is funded "entirely and exclusively" by assessed contributions from the member operators. The Act requires operators of underground facilities in the state of Florida to be a member of SSOCOF and must use and participate in the system. Excavators planning to excavate or demolish are required to provide notice and information to the system so that they do not damage or destroy any underground facilities during the excavation or demolition. Once notice is given, member operators must follow certain procedures if the area being excavated or demolished is in conflict with an area where a member operator has an underground facility.

## Effect of the Bill

# Legislative Intent and Purpose of the Act

The bill amends s. 556.101(2), F.S., which addresses the legislative intent and purpose of the Act, to clarify that the SSOCOF is only the system administrator and is not required or permitted to locate or mark any underground facilities.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0789d.FT.doc-3/28/2006 This bill also amends s. 556.101(3), F.S., pertaining to the purpose of the Act, by deleting the phrase "permitting agency inspector" in paragraph (e) of s. 556.101(3), F.S., and provides that at the local level any law enforcement officer, government code inspector, or code enforcement officer is permitted to enforce the provisions of the Act without the need to incorporate these changes into any local code or ordinance. The bill also provides that the purpose of the Act is to foster awareness of federal laws and regulations that promote safety with respect to underground facilities by requiring and facilitating the advance notice of activities by those who engage in excavation or demolition operations.

# Small Municipality Exception

Section 556.102(8), F.S., provides that a member operator is any person who furnishes or transports materials or services by means of an underground facility, except a small municipality that has elected not to participate in the one call notification system.<sup>1</sup>

Section 556.103(1), F.S., provides that each operator of an underground facility in Florida must be a member of SSOCOF, and must use and participate in the free-access notification system. There is an exception to this requirement for small cities, which may elect by January 1, 1998, not to participate in the system until January 1, 2003.

Section 556.104, F.S., provides that the free-access notification system is maintained by SSOCOF. Any person who furnishes or transports materials or services by means of an underground facility in this state must participate as a member operator of the system, except that a small city may elect not to participate in the system.

The exception for small cities provided in ch. 556, F.S., expired January 1, 2003. This bill amends ss. 556.102(8), 556.103(1), and 556.104, F.S., to remove the exception for small municipalities from ch. 556, F.S.

## Requirement that Developer Provide Information through the Free-Access Notification System

Section 556.105(1), F.S., provides that an excavator must provide certain information through the Free-Access Notification System (system) not less than 2 or more than 5 full business days before beginning any excavation or demolition. The excavator must provide the information by providing notification through the system. Under current law, the information provided by the excavator is valid for 20 calendar days after each date the information is provided to the system.

This bill amends s. 556.105(1), F.S., which addresses procedures relevant to notification, in the following ways:

- An excavator must provide the required information through the system not less than 2 full business days before beginning excavation or demolition;
- The excavator, along with the other information required by statute, must provide a valid electronic address, if available, to facilitate a positive response by the system;
- Provides an exception to this provision for excavation beneath the waters of the state; and
- Provides that the information provided by an excavator is valid for 30 calendar days after the date such information is provided to the system.

STORAGE NAME:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. 120.52(16), F.S., defines "small city" as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

# Procedures of the System for When an Excavator Provides Notice

Section 556.105(3), F.S., provides that the system must provide persons who provided notification through the system with the names of the member operators who will be advised of the notification and a notification number that specifies the date and time of the notification.

This bill creates s. 556.105(4), F.S., to provide that the notification number provided to the excavator must be provided to any law enforcement officer, government code inspector, or code enforcement officer upon request.

This bill also provides that an excavator "may" instead of "shall" not demolish in an area described in the notice provided by an excavator, until all member operator underground facilities have been marked and located, or removed. This provision appears to give the excavator discretion to demolish or not demolish in areas not marked at the noticed site.

This bill also amends s. 556.105, F.S., by renumbering and correcting cross-references in ss. 556.105(4) through 556.105(11), F.S.

## Required Procedures for Member Operators

This bill creates s. 556.105(9), F.S., to provide that after receiving notification from the system, a member operator must provide a positive response to the system within 2 full business days, or 10 full business days for an underwater excavation, indicating the status of operations to protect the facility.

This bill deletes s. 556.105(8), F.S., providing that a member operator which determines that the excavation or demolition is not near an existing underground facility of the member operator, notify the excavator within 2 full business days after the time of the notification to the system that the excavation or demolition area is clear. This bill also removes obsolete language in s. 556.105(8)(b), F.S., related to a positive response system being implemented by January 1, 2004.

## Positive-Response Communication between Operators and Excavators

This bill creates s. 556.105(9)(b), F.S., to provide that the system must establish and maintain a process to facilitate a positive-response communication between member operators and excavators. This bill provides that the system is exempt from this requirement when an excavator does not provide a valid electronic address to facilitate a positive response by the system.

This bill also creates s. 556.105(9)(c), F.S., to provide that an excavator must verify the system's positive responses before beginning excavation. If an excavator knows that an existing underground facility of a member operator is in the area, the excavator must contact the member operator if the facility is not marked and a positive response has not been received by the system.

## Uniform Color Code for Utilities

3/28/2006

This bill amends s. 556.105(10), F.S., to provide that a member operator must use the "Uniform Color Code for Utilities" of the American Public Works Association when marking the horizontal route of any underground facility of the operator.

## Liability of the Member Operator, Excavator, and System

Section 556.106, F.S., provides for the liability of the member operator, excavator, and system. Section 556.106(2), F.S., provides that if a person violates s. 556.105, F.S., and performs an excavation or demolition that damages an underground facility of a member operator, there is a rebuttable presumption that the person was negligent. If found liable, the person is liable for the total sum of the losses to all member operators involved. Any damages for loss of revenue and loss of use "shall" not exceed \$500,000 per affected underground facility, except that revenues lost by a

h0789d.FT.doc PAGE: 4

governmental member operator whose revenues are used to support payments on principal and interest on bonds "shall" not be limited. If the excavator is found liable for breach of duty, any damage for loss of revenue and loss of use "shall" not exceed \$500,000 per affected underground facility, except that revenues lost by a governmental member operator whose revenues are used to support payments on bonds "shall" not be limited. Section 556.106(7), F.S., also provides that an excavator who performs any excavation with hand tools under s. 556.108(5), F.S., is liable for any damage to any operator's underground facilities damaged during such excavation.

The bill deletes the term "shall" throughout both s. 556.106(2), F.S., and replaces it with "may." The bill also deletes paragraph (e) of s. 556.106(2), F.S., to remove obsolete language related to non-member small cities. The bill adds subsection (6) to provide that SSOCOF does not have a duty to mark or locate underground facilities, and a right of recovery does not exist against the SSOCOF for failing to do so. This bill clarifies that SSOCOF is not liable for the failure of a member operator to comply with the requirements of this chapter. This bill also amends s. 556.106(7), F.S., to provide that an excavator using hand tools under 556.108(4)(c), F.S., or s. 556.108(5), F.S., is liable for any damage to any operator's underground facilities damaged during such excavation.

### Non-criminal Violations of the Act

Section 556.107, F.S., pertains to violations of the Act.

The bill amends s. 556.107, F.S., to correct cross references. It further deletes the term "permitting agency inspector" and replaces it with "government code inspector" and "code enforcement officer." These two new terms, along with the current language "local or state law enforcement officer," specify the enforcement for this chapter.

#### **Civil Penalties**

Section 556.107(1)(b), F.S., provides that citations may be issued to any employee of the excavator or member operator who is directly involved in the noncriminal infraction. Section 556.107(1)(c), F.S., provides that any excavator or member operator who commits a noncriminal infraction provided under this section may be required to appear before the county court. The civil penalty for any such infraction is \$250, except as otherwise provided in this section.

This bill amends s. 556.107(1)(b), F.S., to provide that citations "shall" be "hand-delivered" to any employee of the excavator or member operator who is involved in the noncriminal infraction. This bill also provides that the citation shall be issued in the name of the excavator or member operator, whichever is applicable. This bill also amends s. 556.107(1)(c), F.S., to add court costs to the civil penalty. The bill also provides that if a local law enforcement officer, local government code inspector, or code enforcement officer issues the citation, an 80/20 split of the collected penalty occurs. This bill takes 80 percent of the \$250 fine that would go into the fine and forfeiture fund, and authorizes the clerk to distribute it to the local government entity whose employee issued the citation. The remaining 20 percent is retained by the clerk of court for administrative costs, in addition to other court costs, and shall be distributed into the fine and forfeiture fund as required by s. 142.01, F.S.<sup>4</sup> In addition, if a state law enforcement officer issues the citation, the amount collected by the clerk shall be retained by the clerk for deposit into the fine and forfeiture fund.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 556.108(5), F.S., provides an exemption to the notification requirements pursuant to the Act, however an excavator is still liable for damage caused.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 556.108(4)(c), F.S., is created by this bill and is an exemption for any excavation of 18 inches or less for locating, repairing, connecting, adjusting, or routine maintenance of a private or public underground facility by an excavator, if the excavator is performing such work for the current owner or future owner of the underground facility and if mechanized equipment is not used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 142.01 Fine and forfeiture fund; clerk of the circuit court.—There shall be established by the clerk of the circuit court in each county of this state a separate fund to be known as the fine and forfeiture fund for use by the clerk of the circuit court in performing court-related functions.

The bill amends ss. 556.107(d), (e), and (f), F.S., to add court costs to the civil penalty provided under this section.

This bill amends s. 556.107(e), F.S., to provide that payment of the civil penalty and court costs are due within 30 days instead of 10 days.

The bill creates paragraph (i) in s. 556.107(1), F.S., to provide that the SSOCOF may, at its own cost, retain legal representation as assistance in county court proceedings pertaining to citations issued under this section. SSOCOF may also appear in infraction cases appealed to the circuit court, and the appellant in such appeals shall timely notify SSOCOF of appeals under this section.

#### Misdemeanors

Section 556.107(2), F.S., provides that any person who knowingly and willfully removes or destroys the valid stakes or other valid physical markings used to mark the horizontal route of an underground facility commits a misdemeanor of the second degree. For purposes of this section, the stakes and markings are valid for 20 calendar days.

This bill amends s. 556.107(2), F.S., to extend the validity of stakes and markings from 20 days to 30 days.

### Exemptions

Section 556.108, F.S., provides several exemptions to the notification requirements under the Act. Section 556.108(1), F.S., provides an exemption for any excavation or demolition performed by the owner of single-family residential property. Section 556.108(4), F.S., provides an exemption for any excavation of 18 inches or less for surveying on public or private land by surveyors or mappers and certain maintenance activities performed by a state agency.

This bill amends s. 556.108(1), F.S., to provide an exception to the exemption for owners of a single-family residential property. This bill provides that the exemption will not apply to "property that is subdivided or is to be subdivided into more than one single-family residential property." The bill amends s. 556.108(4)(a), F.S., to provide that pest control services are included in the exemption for any excavation of 18 inches or less. This bill creates s. 556.108(4)(c), F.S., to provide another exemption under that subsection for "locating, repairing, connecting, adjusting, or routine maintenance of a private or public underground facility by an excavator, if the excavator is performing such work for the current owner or future owner of the underground facility, and if mechanized equipment is not used."

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1. Amends s. 556.101, F.S., pertaining to legislative intent and the purpose of the Act.
- <u>Section 2.</u> Amends s. 556.102(8), F.S., which addresses the definition of "member operator," by removing language pertaining to small municipalities.
- Section 3. Amends s. 556.103(1), F.S., to remove language pertaining to small cities being able to opt out of using and participating in the free-access notification system. Removes obsolete language providing that the not-for-profit corporation be formed by June 1, 1993.
- Section 4. Amends s. 556.104, F.S., to remove language pertaining to small cities being able to opt out of using and participating in the free-access notification system.

Section 5. Amends s. 556.105, F.S., to revise procedures for an excavator who is providing information to the system before beginning any excavation or demolition, and procedures for member operators when they receive notification from the system.

Section 6. Amends s. 556.106, F.S., relating to the liability of the member operator, excavator, and system, and removes obsolete language pertaining to non-member small cities.

<u>Section 7.</u> Amends s. 556.107, F.S., relating to violations under the Act.

Section 8. Amends s. 556.108, F.S., relating to exemptions to the notification requirements.

Section 9. Provides an effective date of October 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

# **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

# 1. Revenues:

This bill will have an insignificant positive fiscal impact on local government revenues from the addition of court costs to the fines imposed under s. 556.107, F.S. SEE FISCAL COMMENTS.

2. Expenditures:

None.

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

This bill will have an indeterminate impact on local governments due to the provisions of the bill that transfer 80 percent of fine revenues from the Clerk's fine and forfeiture trust fund to the local government that employs the person issuing the citation.

The total applicable court costs for the violations addressed in this bill are \$5 per violation. Section 938.01, F.S., requires every person convicted for violation of a state penal or criminal statute or convicted for violation of a municipal or county ordinance to pay \$3 as a court cost. This amount is deposited into the Additional Court Cost Clearing Trust Fund.

Also, s. 938.15, F.S., states that in addition to the costs provided for in s. 938.01, F.S., municipalities and counties may assess an additional \$2 for expenditures for criminal justice education degree programs and training courses for local funding.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0789d.FT.doc 3/28/2006 SSOCOF officials have indicated that their enforcement responsibilities are fulfilled by off-duty personnel in 16 Florida counties. Since January 2005, 27 citations have been issued by the SSOCOF resulting in total fines of less than \$7,000. However, SSOCOF officials also indicate that the number of citations may increase because there is money in their budget to allow for the hiring of additional off-duty personnel to enforce the provisions of chapter 556, F.S.

In addition, SSOCOF officials have stated that a number of Florida counties have hired their own full-time personnel for enforcement purposes. Notably, approximately 215 citations have been issued in Palm Beach County during the past two years, resulting in a fiscal impact of less than \$50,000.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On February 21, 2006, the Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications adopted two amendments. The amendments made the following revisions to the bill:

- Provided that the civil penalty collected from citations issued by a state law enforcement officer shall be retained by the clerk of court and deposited into the fine and forfeiture fund established pursuant to s. 142.01. F.S.:
- For any person charged with a noncriminal infraction under paragraph (a) of s. 556.107(1), F.S., unless required to appear before the county court, the amendment increased the timeframe for payment from 10 days to 30 days;
- Created a notification exemption for services performed by a pest control licensee under chapter 482, F.S., for excavation of 18 inches or less if mechanized equipment is not used; and
- Created a notification exemption for any excavation or related maintenance activity by a water control district created pursuant to chapter 298, F.S., or special act, provided specific criteria are met.

The bill was then reported favorably with a committee substitute.

STORAGE NAME:

h0789d.FT.doc 3/28/2006 On March 15, 2006, the Civil Justice Committee adopted six amendments to this bill. The amendments made the following revisions to the bill:

- Provided that the purpose of the Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act is to foster
  the awareness of federal laws and regulations that promote safety with respect to underground facilities
  by requiring advance notice of activities by those who engage in excavation or demolition operations;
- Provided that an excavator who performs any excavation with hand tools under s. 556.1108(4)(c), F.S., is liable for any damage to any operator's underground facilities damaged during such excavation;
- Required that citations must be hand-delivered to any employee of the excavator or member operator
  who is involved in the non-criminal infraction. Also, requires that the citation must be issued in the
  name of the excavator or member operator;
- Removed the "fees" from the civil penalty for any infraction under s. 556.107(a), F.S.;
- Provided that the exemption for excavations performed for owners of single-family residences does not apply to excavations in connection with subdivisions involving multiple single-family residences;
- Provided that the exemption to the notification requirements will not apply if the excavation is 18 inches
  or less and for locating, repairing, connecting, adjusting, or routine maintenance of a private or public
  underground facility, "if the excavator performing such work for the current owner or future owner of the
  underground facility." Also, replaces "public utility facility" with "public underground facility"; and
- Removed the exemption for any excavation or related maintenance activity by a water control district created under ch. 298, F.S., or by special act if certain conditions are met.

The bill was then reported favorably with a committee substitute.

HB 789 CS

2006 CS

#### CHAMBER ACTION

The Civil Justice Committee recommends the following:

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to damage prevention and safety for underground facilities; amending s. 556.101, F.S.; providing legislative intent that Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc., is not required or permitted to locate or mark underground facilities; revising purposes of the Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act; amending s. 556.102, F.S.; correcting a reference; redefining the term "member operator" to remove an exception for a small municipality that elects not to participate in the notification system; amending ss. 556.103 and 556.104, F.S.; deleting provisions exempting a small city from membership in the Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc.; amending s. 556.105, F.S.; requiring that specified information be placed in the excavation notification system; providing an exception for underwater excavations; providing that the information is valid for 30 calendar days; requiring that a notification number assigned to an excavator be provided to a law enforcement

Page 1 of 21

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

officer, government code inspector, or code enforcement officer upon request; requiring that a member operator respond to the system within a specified time indicating the status of its facility protection operations; requiring the corporation to establish a communication system between member operators and excavators; requiring an excavator to verify the system's positive responses before beginning excavation; requiring operators to use a specified color-code manual; amending s. 556.106, F.S.; providing that the notification system has no duty to and may not mark or locate underground facilities; providing that a person has no right of recovery against the notification system for failing to mark or locate underground facilities; providing that the system is not liable for the failure of a member operator to comply with the requirements of the act; amending s. 556.107, F.S.; correcting cross-references; providing for the distribution of civil penalties; revising procedures for disposition of citations; authorizing the corporation to retain legal counsel to represent the corporation in certain legal proceedings; amending s. 556.108, F.S.; revising provisions that exempt excavation or demolition by the owner of residential property from specified notification requirements to exclude certain property that is subdivided or to be subdivided; providing that certain excavations are exempt from mandatory location notification if mechanized equipment is not used;

Page 2 of 21

exempting pest control services under certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

78 l

Section 1. Section 556.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

556.101 Short title; legislative intent.--

- (1) This <u>chapter</u> act may be cited as the "Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act."
- (2) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide access for excavating contractors and the public to provide notification to the system of their intent to engage in excavation or demolition. This notification system shall provide the member operators an opportunity to identify and locate their underground facilities. Under this notification system, Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc., is not required or permitted to locate or mark underground facilities.
  - (3) It is the purpose of this chapter act to:
- (a) Aid the public by preventing injury to persons or property and the interruption of services resulting from damage to an underground facility caused by excavation or demolition operations.
- (b) Create a not-for-profit corporation comprised of operators of underground facilities in this state to administer the provisions of this chapter act.
- (c) Fund the cost of administration through contributions from the member operators for services provided to the member Page 3 of 21

operators and from charges made to others for services requested and provided, such as record searches, education or training, and damage prevention activities.

- (d) Reserve to the state the power to regulate any subject matter specifically addressed in this chapter act.
- (e) Permit any local law enforcement officer, local government code inspector, or code enforcement officer or permitting agency inspector to enforce this chapter act without the need to incorporate the provisions of this chapter act into any local code or ordinance.
- (f) Foster the awareness of federal laws and regulations that promote safety with respect to underground facilities, including, but not limited to, the Federal Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended, the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002, OSHA Standard 1926.651, and the National Electric Safety Code, ANSI C-2, by requiring and facilitating the advance notice of activities by those who engage in excavation or demolition operations.
- (4) It is not the purpose of this <u>chapter</u> act to amend or void any permit issued by a state agency for placement or maintenance of facilities in its right-of-way.
- Section 2. Subsection (8) of section 556.102, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 556.102 Definitions. -- As used in this act:
- (8) "Member operator" means any person who furnishes or transports materials or services by means of an underground facility except a small municipality that has elected not to

participate in the one call notification system in the manner set forth in s. 556.103(1).

133:

Section 3. Subsection (1) of section 556.103, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

556.103 Creation of the corporation; establishment of the board of directors; authority of the board; annual report.--

hereby created as a not-for-profit corporation. Each operator of an underground facility in this state shall be a member of the corporation and shall use and participate in the system, except that a small city as defined in s. 120.52 may elect by January 1, 1998, not to participate in the system until January 1, 2003, through a written notification identifying any reasons for declining membership. The corporation shall be formed by June 1, 1993. The corporation shall administer the provisions of this chapter act. The corporation shall exercise its powers through a board of directors established pursuant to this section.

Section 4. Section 556.104, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

556.104 Free-access notification system.—The corporation shall maintain a free-access notification system. Any person who furnishes or transports materials or services by means of an underground facility in this state shall participate as a member operator of the system except that a small city as defined in s. 120.52 may elect not to participate in the system in the manner set forth in s. 556.103(1). The purpose of the system is to receive notification of planned excavation or demolition activities and to notify member operators of the such planned Page 5 of 21

excavation or demolition activities. The system shall provide a single toll-free telephone number within this state which excavators can use to notify member operators of planned excavation or demolition activities, and the system may also provide additional modes of access at no cost to the user.

Section 5. Section 556.105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

556.105 Procedures.--

134 135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151152

153

154

155

156

157158

159 160

161

- (1)(a) Not less than 2 nor more than 5 full business days before beginning any excavation or demolition, except an excavation beneath the waters of the state, an excavator shall provide the following information through the system:
- 1. The name of the individual who provided notification and the name, address, including the street address, city, state, zip code, and telephone number of her or his employer.
- 2. The name and telephone number of the representative for the excavator, and a valid electronic address to facilitate a positive response by the system should be provided, if available.
- 3. The county, the city or closest city, and the street address or the closest street, road, or intersection to the location where the excavation or demolition is to be performed, and the construction limits of the excavation or demolition.
- 4. The commencement date and anticipated duration of the excavation or demolition.
- 5. Whether machinery will be used for the excavation or demolition.
  - 6. The person or entity for whom the work is to be done.

    Page 6 of 21

7. The type of work to be done.

- 8. The approximate depth of the excavation.
- (b) The excavator shall provide the such information by notifying the system through its free-access notification system during business hours, as determined by the corporation, or by such other method as authorized by the corporation. Any notification received by the system at any time other than during business hours shall be considered to be received at the beginning of the next business day.
- considered valid for 30 a period of 20 calendar days after the each date such information is provided to the system. In computing the period for which information furnished is considered valid, the date the notice is provided is shall not be counted, but the last day of the such period shall be counted unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday, in which event, the period runs shall run until the end of the next day that which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday.
- (2) Each notification by means of the system shall be recorded to document compliance with this chapter act. Such record may be made by means of electronic, mechanical, or any other method of all incoming and outgoing wire and oral communications concerning location requests in compliance with chapter 934. The Such records shall be kept for a period of 5 years and, upon written request, shall be available to the excavator making the request, the member operator intended to receive the request, and their agents. However, custody of the

HB 789 CS

records  $\underline{\text{may shall}}$  not be transferred from the system except under subpoena.

- (3) The system shall provide the person who provided notification with the names of the member operators who shall will be advised of the notification and a notification number that which specifies the date and time of the notification.
- (4) The notification number provided to the excavator under this section shall be provided to any law enforcement officer, government code inspector, or code enforcement officer upon request.
- (5)(4) All member operators within the defined area of a proposed excavation or demolition shall be promptly notified through the system, except that member operators with state-owned underground facilities located within the right-of-way of a state highway need not be notified of excavation or demolition activities and are under no obligation to mark or locate the such facilities.
- (a) When an excavation site cannot be described in information provided under subparagraph (1)(a)3. with sufficient particularity to enable the member operator to ascertain the excavation site, and if the excavator and member operator have not mutually agreed otherwise, the excavator shall premark the proposed area of the excavation before a member operator is required to identify the horizontal route of its underground facilities in the proximity of any excavation. However, premarking is not required for any excavation that is over 500 feet in length and is not required where the premarking could reasonably interfere with traffic or pedestrian control.

Page 8 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS

2006 HB 789 CS CS

217

218

219

220 221

222 223

224

225 226

227

228

229 230

231

232

233 234

235

236

237

238 239

240

241

242

243

244

If a member operator determines that a proposed excavation or demolition is in proximity to or in conflict with an underground facility of the member operator, except a facility beneath the waters of the state, which is governed by paragraph (c), the member operator shall identify the horizontal route by marking to within 24 inches from the outer edge of either side of the underground facility by the use of stakes, paint, flags, or other suitable means within 2 full business days after the time the notification is received under subsection (1). If the member operator is unable to respond within such time, the member operator shall communicate with the person making the request and negotiate a new schedule and time that is agreeable to, and should not unreasonably delay, the excavator.

- If a member operator determines that a proposed excavation is in proximity to or in conflict with an underground facility of the member operator beneath the waters of the state, the member operator shall identify the estimated horizontal route of the underground facility, within 10 business days, using marking buoys or other suitable devices, unless directed otherwise by an agency having jurisdiction over the waters of the state under which the member operator's underground facility is located.
- When excavation is to take place within a tolerance zone, an excavator shall use increased caution to protect underground facilities. The protection requires hand digging, pot holing, soft digging, vacuum excavation methods, or other similar procedures to identify underground facilities. Any use

Page 9 of 21

of mechanized equipment within the tolerance zone must be supervised by the excavator.

- (6) (a) (5) (a) An excavator shall avoid excavation in the area described in the notice given under pursuant to subsection (1) until each member operator underground facility has been marked and located or until the excavator has been notified that no member operator has underground facilities in the area described in the notice, or for the time allowed for markings set forth in paragraphs (5) (b) (4) (b) and (c), whichever occurs first. If a member operator has not located and marked its underground facilities within the time allowed for marking set forth in paragraphs (5) (b) (4) (b) and (c), the excavator may proceed with the excavation, if provided the excavator does so with reasonable care, and if provided, further, that detection equipment or other acceptable means to locate underground facilities are used.
- (b) An excavator <u>may shall</u> not demolish in the area described in the notice given <u>under pursuant to</u> subsection (1) until all member operator underground facilities have been marked and located, or removed.
- (7) (a) (6) (a) A member operator that states that it does not have accurate information concerning the exact location of its underground facilities is exempt from the requirements of paragraphs (5) (b) (4) (b) and (c), but shall provide the best available information to the excavator in order to comply with the requirements of this section. An excavator is not liable for any damage to an underground facility under the exemption in this subsection if the excavation or demolition is performed Page 10 of 21

with reasonable care and detection equipment or other acceptable means to locate underground facilities are used.

- (b) A member operator may not exercise the exemption provided by this subsection if the member operator has underground facilities that have not been taken out of service and that are locatable using available designating technologies to locate underground facilities.
- (8) (a) (7) (a) If extraordinary circumstances exist, a member operator shall notify the system of the member operator's inability to comply with this section. For the purposes of this section, the term "extraordinary circumstances" means circumstances other than normal operating conditions that which exist and make it impractical for a member operator to comply with the provisions of this chapter act. After the system has received notification of a member operator's inability to comply, the system shall make that information known to excavators who subsequently notify the system of an intent to excavate. The member operator is relieved of responsibility for compliance under the law during the period that the extraordinary circumstances exist and shall promptly notify the system when the extraordinary circumstances cease to exist.
- (b) During the period when extraordinary circumstances exist, the system shall remain available during business hours to provide information to governmental agencies, member operators affected by the extraordinary circumstances, and member operators who can provide relief to the affected parties, unless the system itself has been adversely affected by extraordinary circumstances.

Page 11 of 21

(9) (a) After receiving notification from the system, a member operator shall provide a positive response to the system within 2 full business days, or 10 such days for an underwater excavation, indicating the status of operations to protect the facility.

- (8) (a) If a member operator determines that the excavation or demolition is not near an existing underground facility of the member operator, the member operator shall notify the excavator within 2 full business days after the time of the notification to the system that no conflict exists and that the excavation or demolition area is clear. An excavator who has knowledge of the existence of an underground facility of a member operator in the area is responsible for contacting the member operator if a facility is not marked.
- (b) The system shall establish and maintain a process to facilitate a positive-response communication between member operators and excavators. The system is exempt from any requirement to initiate a positive response to an excavator when an excavator does not provide a valid electronic address to facilitate a positive response by the system.
- (c) An excavator shall verify the system's positive responses before beginning excavation. If an excavator knows that an existing underground facility of a member operator is in the area, the excavator must contact the member operator if the facility is not marked and a positive response has not been received by the system. The system shall implement procedures for positive response by January 1, 2004.

HB 789 CS 2006 **cs** 

(10)(9) A member operator shall use the "Uniform Color Code for Utilities" recommended guidelines for uniform temporary marking of underground facilities as approved by the Utility Location and Coordinating Council of the American Public Works Association when marking the horizontal route of any underground facility of the operator.

(11)(10) Before Prior to or during excavation or demolition, if the marking of the horizontal route of any facility is removed or is no longer visible, the excavator shall stop excavation or demolition activities in the vicinity of the facility and shall notify the system to have the route remarked.

(12)(11) If any contact with or damage to any pipe, cable, or its protective covering, or any other underground facility occurs, the excavator causing the contact or damage shall immediately notify the member operator. Upon receiving notice, the member operator shall send personnel to the location as soon as possible to effect temporary or permanent repair of the contact or damage. Until such time as the contact or damage has been repaired, the excavator shall cease excavation or demolition activities that may cause further damage to such underground facility.

Section 6. Subsection (2) of section 556.106, Florida Statutes, is amended, present subsection (6) is redesignated as subsection (7) and amended, and a new subsection (6) is added to that section, to read:

556.106 Liability of the member operator, excavator, and system.--

355

356357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373374

375

376377

378

379

380 381

382

(2) (a) If a In the event any person violates s. 556.105(1) or  $(6)\frac{(5)}{(5)}$ , and subsequently, whether by himself or herself or through the person's employees, contractors, subcontractors, or agents, performs an excavation or demolition that which damages an underground facility of a member operator, it is shall be rebuttably presumed that the such person was negligent. The Such person, if found liable, is shall be liable for the total sum of the losses to all member operators involved as those costs are normally computed. Any damage for loss of revenue and loss of use may shall not exceed \$500,000 per affected underground facility, except that revenues lost by a governmental member operator whose, which revenues are used to support payments on principal and interest on bonds may, shall not be limited. Any liability of the state and its agencies and its subdivisions which arises out of this chapter is shall be subject to the provisions of s. 768.28.

- (b) If any excavator fails to discharge a duty imposed by the provisions of this <u>chapter act</u>, <u>the such</u> excavator, if found liable, <u>is shall be</u> liable for the total sum of the losses to all parties involved as those costs are normally computed. Any damage for loss of revenue and loss of use <u>may shall</u> not exceed \$500,000 per affected underground facility, except that revenues lost by a governmental member operator <u>whose</u>, which revenues are used to support payments on principal and interest on bonds <u>may</u>, shall not be limited.
- (c) Any liability of the state, its agencies, or its subdivisions which arises out of this <u>chapter is</u> act shall be subject to the provisions of s. 768.28.

Page 14 of 21

(d) Obtaining information as to the location of an underground facility from the member operator as required by this chapter act does not excuse any excavator from performing an excavation or demolition in a careful and prudent manner, based on accepted engineering and construction practices, and it nor does not it excuse the such excavator from liability for any damage or injury resulting from any excavation or demolition.

- (e) When an excavator knows or should know of the presence of an underground facility of a nonmember small city as defined in s. 120.52, he or she shall make reasonable efforts to contact the small city that owns or operates that facility prior to commencing an excavation or demolition.
- (6) The system does not have a duty to mark or locate underground facilities and may not do so, and a right of recovery does not exist against the system for failing to mark or locate underground facilities. The system is not liable for the failure of a member operator to comply with the requirements of this chapter.
- (7)(6) An excavator who performs any excavation with hand tools <u>under pursuant to</u> s. 556.108(4)(c) or (5) is liable for any damage to any operator's underground facilities damaged during such excavation.
- Section 7. Section 556.107, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 556.107 Violations.--

- (1) NONCRIMINAL INFRACTIONS. --
- (a) Violations of the following provisions are noncriminal infractions:

Page 15 of 21

HB 789 CS

1. Section 556.105(1), relating to providing required information.

2. Section 556.105(6) 556.105(5), relating to the avoidance of excavation.

- 3. Section  $\underline{556.105(11)}$   $\underline{556.105(10)}$ , relating to the need to stop excavation or demolition.
- 4. Section 556.105(12) 556.105(11), relating to the need to cease excavation or demolition activities.
- 5. Section <u>556.105(5)(b)</u> <u>556.105(4)(b)</u> and (c) relating to identification of underground facilities, if a member operator does not mark an underground facility, but not if a member operator marks an underground facility incorrectly.
- (b) Any excavator or member operator who commits a noncriminal infraction under paragraph (a) may be issued a citation by any local or state law enforcement officer, government code inspector, or code enforcement officer permitting agency inspector, and the issuer of a citation may require an any excavator to cease work on any excavation or not start a proposed excavation until there has been compliance with the provisions of this chapter act. Citations shall may be hand-delivered issued to any employee of the excavator or member operator who is directly involved in the noncriminal infraction. The citation shall be issued in the name of the excavator or member operator, whichever is applicable.
- (c) Any excavator or member operator who commits a noncriminal infraction under paragraph (a) may be required to appear before the county court. The civil penalty for any such infraction is \$250 plus court costs, except as otherwise

Page 16 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS

HB 789 CS 2006 **cs** 

439

440

441

442443

444

445

446 447

448

449

450 451

452 453

454

455

456

457

458 459

460 461

462

463

466

provided in this section. If a citation is issued by a local law enforcement officer, a local government code inspector, or a code enforcement officer, 80 percent of the civil penalty collected by the clerk of the court shall be distributed to the local governmental entity whose employee issued the citation and 20 percent of the penalty shall be retained by the clerk to cover administrative costs, in addition to other court costs. If a citation is issued by a state law enforcement officer, the civil penalty collected by the clerk shall be retained by the clerk for deposit into the fine and forfeiture fund established pursuant to s. 142.01. Any person who fails to appear or otherwise properly respond to a citation issued pursuant to paragraph (d) shall, in addition to the citation, be charged with the offense of failing to respond to such citation and, upon conviction, commits be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083. A written warning to this effect shall be provided at the time any citation is issued pursuant to paragraph (b). Any person cited for an infraction under paragraph

- (d) Any person cited for an infraction under paragraph(a), unless required to appear before the county court, may:
- 1. Post a bond, which shall be equal in amount to the applicable civil penalty plus court costs; or
- 2. Sign and accept a citation indicating a promise to appear before the county court.

The person issuing the citation of the scheduled hearing and

shall indicate the applicable civil penalty.

Page 17 of 21

(e) Any person charged with a noncriminal infraction under paragraph (a), unless required to appear before the county court, may:

- 1. Pay the civil penalty <u>plus court costs</u>, in lieu of appearance, either by mail or in person, within 30 40 days after the date of receiving the citation; or
- 2. Forfeit bond, if a bond has been posted, by not appearing at the designated time and location.

 If the person cited follows either of the above procedures, she or he is shall be deemed to have admitted to committing the infraction and to have waived the right to a hearing on the issue of commission of the infraction. The Such admission may be used as evidence in any other proceeding under this chapter act.

- (f) Any person electing to appear before the county court or who is required to appear shall be deemed to have waived the limitations on the civil penalty specified in paragraph (c). The court, after a hearing, shall make a determination as to whether an infraction has been committed. If the commission of an infraction has been proven, the court may impose a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 plus court costs. In determining the amount of the civil penalty, the court may consider previous noncriminal infractions committed.
- (g) At a hearing under this chapter, the commission of a charged infraction must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence.

Page 18 of 21

(h) If a person is found by the hearing official to have committed an infraction, the such person may appeal that finding to the circuit court.

- (i) Sunshine State One-Call of Florida, Inc., may, at its own cost, retain an attorney to assist in the presentation of relevant facts and law in the county court proceeding pertaining to the citation issued under this section. The corporation may also appear in any case appealed to the circuit court if a county court finds that an infraction of the chapter was committed. An appellant in the circuit court proceeding shall timely notify the corporation of any appeal under this section.
- (2) MISDEMEANORS.--Any person who knowingly and willfully removes or otherwise destroys the valid stakes or other valid physical markings described in <u>s. 556.105(5)(b)</u> <u>s. 556.105(4)(b)</u> and (c) used to mark the horizontal route of an underground facility commits a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083. For purposes of this subsection, stakes or other nonpermanent physical markings are considered valid for 30/20 calendar days after information is provided to the system under s. 556.105(1)(c).

Section 8. Subsections (1), (4), and (5) of section 556.108, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

- 556.108 Exemptions.--The notification requirements provided in s. 556.105(1) do not apply to:
- (1) Any excavation or demolition performed by the owner of a single-family residential property, not including property that is subdivided or is to be subdivided into more than one single-family residential property; or for such owner by a

Page 19 of 21

HB 789 CS

member operator or an agent of a member operator when such excavation or demolition is made entirely on such land, and only up to a depth of 10 inches; provided due care is used and there is no encroachment on any member operator's right-of-way, easement, or permitted use.

(4) Any excavation of 18 inches or less for:

- (a) Surveying public or private property by surveyors or mappers as defined in chapter 472 and services performed by a pest control licensee under chapter 482, excluding marked rights-of-way, marked easements, or permitted uses where marked, if provided mechanized equipment is not used in the process of such surveying or pest control services and the surveying or pest control services and the surveying or pest control services are is performed in accordance with the practice rules established under s. 472.027 or s. 482.051, respectively; or
- (b) Maintenance activities performed by a state agency and its employees when such activities are within the right-of-way of a public road; however, provided, if a member operator has permanently marked facilities on such right-of-way, no mechanized equipment may not be used without first providing notification; or
- (c) Locating, repairing, connecting, adjusting, or routine maintenance of a private or public underground utility facility by an excavator, if the excavator is performing such work for the current owner or future owner of the underground facility and if mechanized equipment is not used.
- (5) Any excavation with hand tools by a member operator or an agent of a member operator for:

Page 20 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS

HB 789 CS 2006 **CS** 

(a) Locating, repairing, connecting, or protecting, or routine maintenance of, the member operator's underground facilities; or

549

550 551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558559

560

- (b) The extension of a member operator's underground facilities onto the property of a person to be served by such facilities.
- (a) and (b) is limited to excavations to a depth of 30 inches if the right-of-way has permanently marked facilities of a company other than the member operator or its agents performing the excavation.

Section 9. This act shall take effect October 1, 2006.

Page 21 of 21

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

	Bill No. 789 CS						
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION						
	ADOPTED (Y/N)						
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)						
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)						
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)						
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)						
	OTHER						
1	Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee						
2	Representative(s) Murzin offered the following:						
3							
4	Amendment						
5	Between line(s) 559 and 560, insert:						
6	Section 9. Subsection (3) of section 556.111, Florida						
7	Statutes, is amended to read:						
8	556.111 Applicability to existing law Nothing in this						
9	act shall be construed to:						
10	(3) Preempt a governmental member operator from reasonable						
11	regulation of its right-of-way. This subsection does not exempt						
12	a municipality, county, district or other local governmental						
13	member operator from the provisions of this chapter that apply						
14	to the member operator.						
15							
16	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========						
17	Remove line 52 and insert:						
18	circumstances; amending s. 556.111, F.S.; clarifying that						
19	the provisions of that section do not relieve governmental						
20	entities from other provisions of the act; providing an						
21	effective date.						

000000

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 821 CS

Homeownership Assistance Contribution Tax Credit Program

SPONSOR(S

SPONSOR(S): Goodlette

S: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: 784

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Economic Development, Trade & Banking Committee	13 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Olmedillo	Carlson
2) Local Government Council	8 Y, 0 N	Nelson	Hamby
3) Finance & Tax Committee		Rice ACR	Diez-Arguelles
4) Commerce Council			
5)		<del></del> .	

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill increases the amount of tax credits authorized for the Community Contribution Tax Credit Program from \$12 million to \$13 million. It provides separate annual limitations for tax credits for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income and very-low-income households, and for donations made to eligible sponsors for all other projects. The bill establishes the annual limitation for homeownership projects at \$10 million and the annual limitation for all other projects located in enterprise zones or Front Porch Florida Communities at \$3 million.

This bill eliminates the requirement that the Office of Tourism, Trade and Economic Development reserve specified percentages of annual tax credits for particular projects. It also eliminates the requirement that the Florida Housing Finance Corporation be involved in the marketing of the Community Contribution Tax Credit. Changes made by this bill reflect recommendations contained in Senate Interim Project Report 2006-148.

The Revenue Estimating Conference has determined that the bill will result in a loss of (\$0.9) million in state revenues and (\$0.1) million in local revenues in FY 2006-2007 and FY 2007-2008.

The effective date of this bill is July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

: h0821d.FT.doc 3/27/2006

DATE:

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Ensure lower taxes: The bill will provide an increased amount of tax credits for persons who donate to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income and very-low-income households and for donations made to eligible sponsors for all other projects that qualify under the Community Contribution Tax Credit Program.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

## Present Situation

In 1980, the Florida Legislature established the Community Contribution Tax Credit Program to encourage private sector participation in revitalization and housing projects. The program offers tax credits, in the form of a refund, to persons who donate to sponsors who have been approved to participate in the program. Eligible project sponsors under the program include a wide variety of community organizations, housing organizations, historic preservation organizations, units of state and local government, and regional workforce boards. Eligible projects include the construction, improvement or rehabilitation of housing, commercial, industrial or public facilities, and projects that promote entrepreneurial or job development opportunities for low-income persons.

The Office of Tourism, Trade and Economic Development (OTTED) is responsible for marketing the program in consultation with the Florida Housing Finance Corporation and other housing and financial intermediaries. OTTED is also responsible for administering the program by reviewing sponsor project proposals and tax credit applications. To date, 167 sponsors/projects have been approved to participate in the program. After the taxpayer receives approval for community contribution tax credits, it must claim the credit from the Department of Revenue (DOR).

The tax credits are equal to 50 percent of the amount donated up to \$200,000 annually. The tax credit may be applied toward the donor's sales and use, corporate, or insurance premium tax obligations. The taxpayer may only apply the credits toward one tax obligation. Unused credits against corporate income taxes and insurance premium taxes may be carried forward for five years. Unused credits against sales taxes may be carried forward for three years.

During the first six months of the fiscal year, OTTED is required to reserve \$9.4 million of the allocated credits for low<sup>1</sup> and very-low income<sup>2</sup> household project donations and \$2.6 million for other projects.

The Florida Legislature has amended the dollar cap and the expiration date of the program on numerous occasions. The program began with an annual \$3 million cap and it is currently \$12 million. The expiration of the program has been extended from 2005 to June 30, 2015.

## Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill increases the total amount of credits allocated to the Community Contribution Tax Credit Program from \$12 million to \$13 million annually. It amends ss. 212.08, 220.183 and 624.5105, F.S., respectively, in a substantially identical fashion, to provide new allocations of the available \$13 million in tax credits.

First, the bill removes the requirement that OTTED reserve specific amounts during the first six months of the fiscal year for particular project donations. In its place, it requires that \$10 million of the tax

NAME: h0821d.FT.doc 3/27/2006

credits be reserved for donations made to projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19)<sup>1</sup> and (28),<sup>2</sup> F.S., and \$3 million be reserved for all other projects.

The bill also eliminates the requirement that OTTED work in consultation with the Florida Housing Finance Corporation to market the Community Contribution Tax Credit Program.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 212.08, F.S., to increase the amount of available tax credits, provide separate annual limitations for sales tax credits, eliminate the reservation of available tax credits, and renumber sub-paragraphs.

Section 2: Amends s. 220.183, F.S., to increase the amount of available tax credits, provide separate annual limitations for corporate tax credits, and eliminate the reservation of available tax credits.

Section 3: Amends s. 624.5105, F.S., to increase availability of tax credits, provide separate annual limitations for insurance premium tax credits, and eliminate the reservation of available tax credits.

Section 4: Provides that the bill take effect July 1, 2006.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

	FY 2006-07	FY 2007-2008
General Revenue		
Corporate	(\$0.1)m	(\$0.1)m
Sales	(\$0.8)m	(\$0.8)m
State Trust	(Indeterminate)	(Indeterminate)
Total	(\$0.9)m	(\$0.9)m

#### 2. Expenditures:

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0821d.FT.doc 3/27/2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 420.9071(19), F.S., defines "low-income person" or "low-income household" to mean one or more natural persons or a family that has a total annual gross household income that does not exceed 80 percent of the median annual income adjusted for family size for households within the metropolitan statistical area, the county, or the nonmetropolitan median for the state, whichever amount is greatest. With respect to rental units, the low-income household's annual income at the time of initial occupancy may not exceed 80 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size. While occupying the rental unit, a low-income household's annual income may increase to an amount not to exceed 140 percent of 80 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Section 420.9071(28), F.S., defines "Very-low-income person" or "very-low-income household" to mean one or more natural persons or a family that has a total annual gross household income that does not exceed 50 percent of the median annual income adjusted for family size for households within the metropolitan statistical area, the county, or the non-metropolitan median for the state, whichever is greatest. With respect to rental units, the very-low-income household's annual income at the time of initial occupancy may not exceed 50 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size. While occupying the rental unit, a very-low-income household's annual income may increase to an amount not to exceed 140 percent of 50 percent of the area's median income adjusted for family size.

## **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

## 1. Revenues:

FY 2006-07

FY 2007-2008

Local Revenues Total (\$0.1)m (\$0.1)m (\$0.1)m (\$0.1)m

## 2. Expenditures:

None

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may have a positive impact on the number of low-income homes and other projects that are built and conducted each year.

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The table below shows the tax credits granted for housing projects and for other community development projects during the past 10 years. There were significant tax credits unused for the first two years after the cap was increased to \$10 million. Subsequently, the entire allocation has been used.

## COMMUNITY CONTRIBUTION TAX CREDIT PROGRAM TAX CREDIT SUMMARY FY 1995/96 – FY 2005/06

FISCAL YEAR	APPROVED APLLICATIONS	HOUSING TAX CREDITS	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TAX CREDITS	TOTAL CREDITS APPROVED	CREDITS REMAINING	ANNUAL ALLOCATION
1995/96	75	\$465,542	\$1,472,255	\$1,937,797	\$62,203	\$2,000,000
1996/97	69	\$1,043,256	\$1,018,947	\$2,062,203	\$-62,203	\$2,000,000
1997/98	81	\$1,348,500	\$651,500	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
1998/99	75	\$2,720,441	\$2,279,559	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000
1999/00	198	\$3,764,283	\$1,302,178	\$5,066,461	\$4,933,539	\$10,000,000
2000/01	223	\$5,320,890	\$744,365	\$6,065,255	\$3,934,745	\$10,000,000
2001/02	322	\$9,484,489	\$515,464	\$9,999,953	\$47	\$10,000,000
2002/03	359	\$8,914,456	\$1,085,544	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000
2003/04	285	\$8,622,769	\$1,377,231	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000
2004/05	251	\$8,051,618	\$1,948,382	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000
2005/06	285	\$9,558,883	\$2,441,117	\$12,000,000	\$0	\$12,000,000
10 YEAR TOTALS	2,223	\$59,295,127	\$14,836,542	\$74,131,669	\$8,868,331	\$83,000,000

Source: OTTED

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a municipality or county to expend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate. The bill does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with municipalities or counties.

2. Other:

None

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

#### **Drafting Issues**

Section 624.05(3), F.S., defines the term "office" to mean the Office of Insurance Regulation (OIR) of the Financial Services Commission for purposes of the Insurance Code. By striking s. 624.5105(2)(e)1., F.S., the reference to the Office of Tourism, Trade and Economic Development has been deleted so that the subsequent use of the term "office" in that section would refer to the OIR. Accordingly, the term "office" should be amended to read the "Office of Tourism, Trade and Economic Development."

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 9, 2006, the Economic Development, Trade and Banking Committee adopted a strike-all amendment that:

- conforms the House bill to the Senate bill, correcting technical errors in the House bill and restoring current law applicable to credit applications and review processes;
- provides for two separate funding pools: one for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income and very-low-income Floridians, capped at \$10 million; and another for projects that provide enhanced community development, capped at \$3 million (a \$1 million total increase from current law); and
- removes language the requires OTTED to reserve a portion of available credits in each pool for the first six months of the year and allow leftover credits to be transferred between pools.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0821d.FT.doc 3/27/2006 HB 821

2006 CS

#### CHAMBER ACTION

The Economic Development, Trade & Banking Committee recommends the following:

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1

## Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the community contribution tax credit program; amending ss. 212.08, 220.183, and 624.5105, F.S.; increasing the amount of available tax credits against the sales tax, corporate income tax, and insurance premium tax, respectively, for projects under the community contribution tax credit program and providing separate annual limitations for certain projects; revising requirements and procedures for the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development in granting tax credits under the program; providing an effective date.

17 18

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

19 20

21

Section 1. Paragraph (q) of subsection (5) of section 212.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

212.08 Sales, rental, use, consumption, distribution, and 23 storage tax; specified exemptions.--The sale at retail, the

Page 1 of 18

rental, the use, the consumption, the distribution, and the storage to be used or consumed in this state of the following are hereby specifically exempt from the tax imposed by this chapter.

(5) EXEMPTIONS; ACCOUNT OF USE. --

- (q) Community contribution tax credit for donations .--
- 1. Authorization.--Beginning July 1, 2001, Persons who are registered with the department under s. 212.18 to collect or remit sales or use tax and who make donations to eligible sponsors are eligible for tax credits against their state sales and use tax liabilities as provided in this paragraph:
- a. The credit shall be computed as 50 percent of the person's approved annual community contribution.
- b. The credit shall be granted as a refund against state sales and use taxes reported on returns and remitted in the 12 months preceding the date of application to the department for the credit as required in sub-subparagraph 3.c. If the annual credit is not fully used through such refund because of insufficient tax payments during the applicable 12-month period, the unused amount may be included in an application for a refund made pursuant to sub-subparagraph 3.c. in subsequent years against the total tax payments made for such year. Carryover credits may be applied for a 3-year period without regard to any time limitation that would otherwise apply under s. 215.26.7
- c. A person may not receive more than \$200,000 in annual tax credits for all approved community contributions made in any one year.

d. All proposals for the granting of the tax credit require the prior approval of the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development.  $\tau$ 

- e. The total amount of tax credits which may be granted for all programs approved under this paragraph, s. 220.183, and s. 624.5105 is \$10 \$12 million annually for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) and \$3 million annually for all other projects.; and
- f. A person who is eligible to receive the credit provided for in this paragraph, s. 220.183, or s. 624.5105 may receive the credit only under the one section of the person's choice.
  - 2. Eligibility requirements. --
- a. A community contribution by a person must be in the following form:
  - (I) Cash or other liquid assets;
  - (II) Real property;

- (III) Goods or inventory; or
- (IV) Other physical resources as identified by the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development.
- b. All community contributions must be reserved exclusively for use in a project. As used in this subsubparagraph, the term "project" means any activity undertaken by an eligible sponsor which is designed to construct, improve, or substantially rehabilitate housing that is affordable to low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28); designed to provide commercial, industrial, or public resources and facilities; or designed to

Page 3 of 18

improve entrepreneurial and job-development opportunities for low-income persons. A project may be the investment necessary to increase access to high-speed broadband capability in rural communities with enterprise zones, including projects that result in improvements to communications assets that are owned by a business. A project may include the provision of museum educational programs and materials that are directly related to any project approved between January 1, 1996, and December 31, 1999, and located in an enterprise zone designated pursuant to s. 290.0065. This paragraph does not preclude projects that propose to construct or rehabilitate housing for low-income or very-low-income households on scattered sites. With respect to housing, contributions may be used to pay the following eligible low-income and very-low-income housing-related activities:

- (I) Project development impact and management fees for low-income or very-low-income housing projects;
- (II) Down payment and closing costs for eligible persons, as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28);
- (III) Administrative costs, including housing counseling and marketing fees, not to exceed 10 percent of the community contribution, directly related to low-income or very-low-income projects; and
- (IV) Removal of liens recorded against residential property by municipal, county, or special district local governments when satisfaction of the lien is a necessary precedent to the transfer of the property to an eligible person, as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28), for the purpose of

promoting home ownership. Contributions for lien removal must be received from a nonrelated third party.

- c. The project must be undertaken by an "eligible sponsor," which includes:
  - (I) A community action program;
- (II) A nonprofit community-based development organization whose mission is the provision of housing for low-income or very-low-income households or increasing entrepreneurial and job-development opportunities for low-income persons;
  - (III) A neighborhood housing services corporation;
- (IV) A local housing authority created under chapter 421;
- (V) A community redevelopment agency created under s.
- 118 163.356;

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

- (VI) The Florida Industrial Development Corporation;
- (VII) A historic preservation district agency or organization;
- 122 (VIII) A regional workforce board;
- 123 (IX) A direct-support organization as provided in s.
- 124 1009.983;
- 125 (X) An enterprise zone development agency created under s.
- 126 290.0056;
- 127 (XI) A community-based organization incorporated under
- chapter 617 which is recognized as educational, charitable, or
- scientific pursuant to s. 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code
- 130 and whose bylaws and articles of incorporation include
- affordable housing, economic development, or community
- development as the primary mission of the corporation;
- 133 (XII) Units of local government;

Page 5 of 18

(XIII) Units of state government; or

(XIV) Any other agency that the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development designates by rule.

In no event may a contributing person have a financial interest in the eligible sponsor.

d. The project must be located in an area designated an enterprise zone or a Front Porch Florida Community pursuant to s. 20.18(6), unless the project increases access to high-speed broadband capability for rural communities with enterprise zones but is physically located outside the designated rural zone boundaries. Any project designed to construct or rehabilitate housing for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.0971(19) and (28) is exempt from the area requirement of this sub-subparagraph.

e.(I)—For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the
Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development shall reserve
80 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax
eredits and 70 percent of any available annual tax credits in
excess of \$10 million for donations made to eligible sponsors
for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low
income or very low income households as defined in s.
420.9071(19) and (28). If any such reserved annual tax credits
remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office
may approve the balance of these available credits for donations
made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that
provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low
income households.

Page 6 of 18

HB 821

162

163

164

165166

167

168

169

170

171

172173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

1.83

184

185

186

187

188 189 (II) For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office shall reserve 20 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax credits and 30 percent of any available annual tax credits in excess of \$10 million for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28). If any reserved annual tax credits remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office may approve the balance of these available credits for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households.

(I) (III) If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or verylow-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under sub sub-subparagraph (I), the office shall grant tax credits for those applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on a first-come, firstserved basis for any subsequent eligible applications received before the end of the first 6 months of the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eliqible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under sub subparagraph (I), the

Page 7 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2006

CS

office shall grant the tax credits for  $\underline{\text{those}}$  the applications as follows:

- (A) If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor do not exceed \$200,000 in total, the credits shall be granted in full if the tax credit applications are approved, subject to sub-sub subparagraph (I).
- (B) If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor exceed \$200,000 in total, the amount of tax credits granted pursuant to sub-sub-sub-subparagraph (A) shall be subtracted from the amount of available tax credits under sub sub-subparagraph (I), and the remaining credits shall be granted to each approved tax credit application on a pro rata basis.
- (C) If, after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, additional credits become available under sub-sub-subparagraph (II), the office shall grant the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro rata reduction up to the full amount of their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day of the state fiscal year on a first come, first served basis.

(II) (IV) If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s.

420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under sub-sub-subparagraph (II), the office shall grant tax credits for those applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on Page 8 of 18

a first-come, first-served basis for any subsequent eligible applications received before the end of the first 6 months of the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under sub-sub-subparagraph (II), the office shall grant the tax credits for those the applications on a pro rata basis. If, after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, additional eredits become available under sub sub-subparagraph (I), the office shall grant the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro rata reduction up to the full amount of their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day of the state fiscal year on a first come, first served basis.

3. Application requirements. --

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

a. Any eligible sponsor seeking to participate in this program must submit a proposal to the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development which sets forth the name of the sponsor, a description of the project, and the area in which the project is located, together with such supporting information as is prescribed by rule. The proposal must also contain a resolution from the local governmental unit in which the project is located certifying that the project is consistent with local plans and regulations.

b. Any person seeking to participate in this program must submit an application for tax credit to the office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development which sets forth the name of the sponsor, a description of the project, and the type, value, and purpose of the contribution. The sponsor shall verify the terms of the application and indicate its receipt of the contribution, which verification must be in writing and accompany the application for tax credit. The person must submit a separate tax credit application to the office for each individual contribution that it makes to each individual project.

- c. Any person who has received notification from the office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development that a tax credit has been approved must apply to the department to receive the refund. Application must be made on the form prescribed for claiming refunds of sales and use taxes and be accompanied by a copy of the notification. A person may submit only one application for refund to the department within any 12-month period.
  - 4. Administration. --

- a. The Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development may adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 necessary to administer this paragraph, including rules for the approval or disapproval of proposals by a person.
- b. The decision of the office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development must be in writing, and, if approved, the notification shall state the maximum credit allowable to the person. Upon approval, the office shall transmit a copy of the decision to the Department of Revenue.

Page 10 of 18

c. The office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development shall periodically monitor all projects in a manner consistent with available resources to ensure that resources are used in accordance with this paragraph; however, each project must be reviewed at least once every 2 years.

- d. The office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development shall, in consultation with the Department of Community Affairs, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, and the statewide and regional housing and financial intermediaries, market the availability of the community contribution tax credit program to community-based organizations.
- 5. Expiration.--This paragraph expires June 30, 2015; however, any accrued credit carryover that is unused on that date may be used until the expiration of the 3-year carryover period for such credit.
- Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (1) and paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 220.183, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
  - 220.183 Community contribution tax credit.--
- (1) AUTHORIZATION TO GRANT COMMUNITY CONTRIBUTION TAX
  CREDITS; LIMITATIONS ON INDIVIDUAL CREDITS AND PROGRAM
  SPENDING. --
- (c) The total amount of tax credit which may be granted for all programs approved under this section, s. 212.08(5)(q), and s. 624.5105 is \$10 \$12 million annually for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) and \$3 million annually for all other projects.

Page 11 of 18

(2) ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS. --

- (b)1. All community contributions must be reserved exclusively for use in projects as defined in s. 220.03(1)(t).
- 2. For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development shall reserve 80 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax credits, and 70 percent of any available annual tax credits in excess of \$10 million, for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households as defined in s.

  420.9071(19) and (28). If any reserved annual tax credits remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office may approve the balance of these available credits for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households.
- 3. For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office shall reserve 20 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax credits, and 30 percent of any available annual tax credits in excess of \$10 million, for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28). If any reserved annual tax credits remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office may approve the balance of these available credits for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households.

Page 12 of 18

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

2.4. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-lowincome households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant tax credits for those applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on a first-come, first-served basis for any subsequent eligible applications received before the end of the first 6 months of the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant the tax credits for those such applications as follows:

- a. If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor do not exceed \$200,000 in total, the credit shall be granted in full if the tax credit applications are approved, subject to the provisions of subparagraph 2.
- b. If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor exceed \$200,000 in total, the amount of tax credits granted under sub-subparagraph a. shall be subtracted from the amount of available tax credits under subparagraph 2., and the remaining credits shall be granted to each approved tax credit application on a pro rata basis.

Page 13 of 18

c. If, after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, 357 additional credits become available pursuant to subparagraph 3., 358 359 the office shall grant the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro rata reduction up to the full amount of 360 their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting 361 credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day 362 of the state fiscal year on a first come, first served basis. 363 3.5. If, during the first 10 business days of the state 364 fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects other 365 than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low-366 367 income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available 368 369 annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 3., the office shall grant tax credits for those 370 applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on a first-371 come, first-served basis for any subsequent eligible 372 applications received before the end of the first 6 months of 373 the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of 374 375 the state fiscal year, eliqible tax credit applications for projects other than those that provide homeownership 376 377 opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than 378 the available annual tax credits available for those projects 379 reserved under subparagraph 3., the office shall grant the tax 380 credits for those such applications on a pro rata basis. If, 381 after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, additional credits 382 become available under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant 383 the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro 384

Page 14 of 18

rata reduction up to the full amount of their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day of the state fiscal year on a first come, first served basis.

Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (1) and paragraph (e) of subsection (2) of section 624.5105, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

624.5105 Community contribution tax credit; authorization; limitations; eligibility and application requirements; administration; definitions; expiration.--

- (1) AUTHORIZATION TO GRANT TAX CREDITS; LIMITATIONS.--
- (c) The total amount of tax credit which may be granted for all programs approved under this section and ss.

  212.08(5)(q) and 220.183 is \$10 \$12 million annually for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) and \$3 million annually for all other projects.
  - (2) ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS. --

(e)1. For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development shall reserve 80 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax credits in excess of \$10 million, for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28). If any such reserved annual tax credits remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office may approve the balance of these available credits for donations

Page 15 of 18

made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households.

2. For the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office shall reserve 20 percent of the first \$10 million in available annual tax credits, and 30 percent of any available annual tax credits in excess of \$10 million, for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28). If any reserved annual tax credits remain after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, the office may approve the balance of these available credits for donations made to eligible sponsors for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low income or very low income households.

1.3. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 1., the office shall grant tax credits for those applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on a first-come, first-served basis for any subsequent eligible applications received before the end of the first 6 months of the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as

Page 16 of 18

defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 1., the office shall grant the tax credits for those the applications as follows:

- a. If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor do not exceed \$200,000 in total, the credits shall be granted in full if the tax credit applications are approved, subject to subparagraph 1.
- b. If tax credit applications submitted for approved projects of an eligible sponsor exceed \$200,000 in total, the amount of tax credits granted under sub-subparagraph a. shall be subtracted from the amount of available tax credits under subparagraph 1., and the remaining credits shall be granted to each approved tax credit application on a pro rata basis.
- e. If, after the first-6 months of the fiscal year, additional credits become available under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro rata reduction up to the full amount of their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day of the state fiscal year on a first come, first-served basis.
- 2.4. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s.

  420.9071(19) and (28) are received for less than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant tax credits for those Page 17 of 18

469

470

471

472473

474

475

476 477

478

479

480 481

482

483

484

485

486

487

applications and shall grant remaining tax credits on a firstcome, first-served basis for any subsequent eligible applications received before the end of the first 6 months of the state fiscal year. If, during the first 10 business days of the state fiscal year, eligible tax credit applications for projects other than those that provide homeownership opportunities for low-income or very-low-income households as defined in s. 420.9071(19) and (28) are received for more than the available annual tax credits available for those projects reserved under subparagraph 2., the office shall grant the tax credits for those the applications on a pro rata basis. If, after the first 6 months of the fiscal year, additional credits become available under subparagraph 1., the office shall grant the tax credits by first granting to those who received a pro rata reduction up to the full amount of their request and, if there are remaining credits, granting credits to those who applied on or after the 11th business day of the state fiscal vear on a first come, first served basis.

Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 18 of 18

## HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

	Bill No. <b>821 CS</b>				
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION					
ADOPTED	(Y/N)				
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)				
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)				
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)				
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)				
OTHER					
Representative(s) Starg	gel offered the following:				
Amendment (with directory and title amendments)					
On line(s) 180, 33	35 and 434 remove:				
office					
and insert:					
Office of Tourism, Trac	de, and Economic Development office				

000000

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 857

Insurance Premium Tax

SPONSOR(S): Mahon TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1714

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Finance & Tax Committee		Levin long	Diez-Arguelles
2) Civil Justice Committee		- <del> </del>	
3) Fiscal Council			
4)			
5)			
	ν.		

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Section 624.509, F.S., imposes a tax of 1.75 percent on the gross amount of premiums on title insurance.

Chapter 627, F.S., regulates insurance rates and contracts; part XIII of that chapter governs title insurance contracts specifically. Title insurance is sold as part of the initial purchase or refinance of real property. A title search generally is conducted by an attorney or other qualified person.

Section 627.7711, F.S., contains definitions relating to the regulation of title insurance. The word "premium" is defined to mean the charge made by a title insurer for a title insurance policy, including the charge related to title services, and the assumption of the risks associated with such a policy. The definition of premium with respect to title insurance includes the gross amount collected for title insurance, without consideration for any portion of the premium that is paid to the insurance carrier, agent, or agency as a commission. This definition of the word premium, as the gross receipts for a policy without an allowance for a commission, is standard throughout the Florida Insurance Code and the regulation of the different types of insurance policies. Staff of Department of Financial Services (DFS) report that commissions paid to title insurance agents frequently constitute 70 percent or more of the total price paid for such insurance.

HB 857 reduces the amount of the premiums for title insurance subject to the 1.75 percent tax. The 1.75 percent tax on title insurance is due only on that portion of the title insurance premium that is not paid as a commission to a title insurance agent. This change is implemented over a three year period, with 80 percent of the total premium subject to the tax in 2007, 55 percent in 2008, and up to 30 percent in 2009 and subsequent years.

The Revenue Estimating Conference considered the fiscal impact of HB 857 at its meeting on February 24, 2006. The Conference adopted a projected impact to the General Revenue Fund of negative (\$2.6 million) in FY 2006-2007, negative (\$7.6 million) in FY 2007-2008, and negative (\$11.3 million) recurring in years thereafter.

The bill becomes effective January 1, 2007.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

DATE:

h0857.FT.doc

2/14/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Ensures lower taxes:

The bill will decrease premium taxes paid on title insurance policies.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background

Part III, chapter 624, F.S., a portion of the Florida Insurance Code, contains the general requirements an insurer must follow to receive a certificate of authority to transact business in Florida. The DFS regulates the insurance industry in Florida.

Section 624.509, F.S., imposes a tax of 1.75 percent on the gross amount of premiums on title insurance.

The tax is due for all insurance premiums, including title insurance, health, life, property, and insurance to cover property, subjects, or risks located or to be performed within Florida. The law also taxes premiums for wet marine and transportation insurance and for annuity policies, but at a rate lower than the 1.75-percent of gross receipts due for all other policies.

Insures remit taxes quarterly to the Department of Revenue (DOR). Section 624.509, F.S., specifies that the insurance premium taxes are to be deposited into the state's General Revenue Fund pursuant to rules of DOR.

## Title Insurance

Chapter 627, F.S., regulates insurance rates and contracts; part XIII of that chapter, which encompasses ss. 627.7711 through 627.798, F.S., governs title insurance contracts specifically.

Title insurance is sold as part of the initial purchase or refinance of real property. A title search is conducted by an attorney or other qualified person. A title search examines ownership of a parcel of property through its years of ownership. The primary goal of a title search is to establish that all previous liens have been satisfied, that property boundaries are clear and unobstructed, and that any easements are well-defined and included in the description of the property.

Section 627.7711, F.S., contains definitions relating to the regulation of title insurance. As part of the definitions, the word "premium" means the charge made by a title insurer for a title insurance policy, including the charge related to title services, and the assumption of the risks associated with such a policy. The definition indicates that the word "premium" as used throughout part XIII of the law governing title insurance does not include commission. As a practical matter, the definition of premium with respect to title insurance includes the gross amount collected for title insurance, without consideration for any portion of the premium that is paid to the insurance carrier, agent, or agency as a commission. Staff of DFS report that commissions paid to title insurance agents frequently constitute 70 percent or more of the total price paid for such insurance. This definition of the word premium as the gross receipts for a policy, without an allowance for a commission, is standard throughout the Florida Insurance Code and the regulation of the different types of insurance policies.

Title insurance is different in some respects from many other types of insurance. Property, health, life, and casualty insurance generally protect the policy owner against the possibility of an unknown future

STORAGE NAME:

h0857.FT.doc 2/14/2006 PAGE: 2

risk. Title insurance, because it requires a review of the historical records relating to real property, protects the owner or borrower from a known risk, i.e. that the parcel of property is free of liens, encumbrances, and other defects and is therefore available for sale. There is generally an additional measure of security inherent in title insurance, because most real estate has been sold previously and, its title has been researched each time the property has been sold.

## Changes Proposed by the Bill

Section 624.509, F.S., is amended to provide that the 1.75-percent tax on title insurance is due only on that portion of a title insurance premium that is not paid as a commission to an insurance agent. The bill specifies that the commission, and thus the portion of the title insurance premium that is exempt from the premium tax, may not exceed 20 percent of the total price paid for the title insurance in 2007; 45 percent in 2008; and 70 percent for 2009 and subsequent years.

Section 627.7711, F.S., the law containing the definitions relating to title insurance, is also amended. A cross-reference to section 624.509, F.S., the law describing the 1.75-percent tax due for insurance premium gross receipts, is deleted. This change conforms the law to the other changes proposed by the bill.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 624.509, F.S., to exempt up to 70 percent of the gross receipts resulting from title insurance premiums from the 1.75-percent tax due on all insurance premiums, except annuity policies and contracts. The bill specifies that up to 70 percent of title insurance gross receipts are exempt from the general tax on insurance premiums if that money is retained by or paid under contract to an insurance agent, i.e., as a commission.

Section 2 Amends s. 627.7711, F.S., the definitions that apply to title insurance contracts. The bill deletes a cross-reference to s. 624.509, F.S., to conform to the change made by Section 1.

Section 3 Provides that the bill takes effect January 1, 2007.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The Revenue Estimating Conference considered the fiscal impact of HB 857 at its meeting on February 24, 2006.

General Revenue FY 2006-2007 (\$2.6 million)

FY 2007-2008 (\$7.6 million)

2. Expenditures:

None

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0857.FT.doc 2/14/2006 2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Insurers will pay less insurance premium taxes.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

When fully implemented, the provisions of this bill will result in a reduction of \$11.3 million in state revenues in future years.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that counties and municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0857.FT.doc 2/14/2006 HB 857 2006

110 037

#### A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the insurance premium tax; amending s. 624.509, F.S.; providing for separate taxation of certain title insurance gross receipts; providing limitations; amending s. 627.7711, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "premium"; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (1) of section 624.509, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

624.509 Premium tax; rate and computation.--

(1) In addition to the license taxes provided for in this chapter, each insurer shall also annually, and on or before March 1 in each year, except as to wet marine and transportation insurance taxed under s. 624.510, pay to the Department of Revenue a tax on insurance premiums, premiums for title insurance, or assessments, including membership fees and policy fees and gross deposits received from subscribers to reciprocal or interinsurance agreements, and on annuity premiums or considerations, received during the preceding calendar year, the amounts thereof to be determined as set forth in this section,

to wit:

(a) An amount equal to 1.75 percent of the gross amount of such receipts on account of life and health insurance policies covering persons resident in this state and on account of all other types of policies and contracts, (except annuity policies or contracts taxable under paragraph (b) and title insurance

Page 1 of 3

HB 857 2006

policies or contracts written through affiliated and nonaffiliated agencies taxable under paragraph (c), covering property, subjects, or risks located, resident, or to be performed in this state, omitting premiums on reinsurance accepted, and less return premiums or assessments, but without deductions:

1. For reinsurance ceded to other insurers;

29 l

- 2. For moneys paid upon surrender of policies or certificates for cash surrender value;
- 3. For discounts or refunds for direct or prompt payment of premiums or assessments; and
- 4. On account of dividends of any nature or amount paid and credited or allowed to holders of insurance policies; certificates; or surety, indemnity, reciprocal, or interinsurance contracts or agreements.; and
- (b) An amount equal to 1 percent of the gross receipts on annuity policies or contracts paid by holders thereof in this state.
- (c) An amount equal to 1.75 percent of the gross receipts on title insurance written through affiliated and nonaffiliated agencies, less the portion of the gross receipts retained by or paid under contract to the affiliated and nonaffiliated title insurance agents. The reduction in the insurer's total amount of title insurance premium gross receipts received through affiliated and nonaffiliated agencies may not exceed the following percentages of the total title insurance premium gross receipts received by the insurer through affiliated and nonaffiliated agencies:

HB 857 2006

1. For 2007, 20 percent.

57

58

59

60 61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

- 2. For 2008, 45 percent.
- 3. For 2009 and subsequent years, 70 percent.

Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 627.7711, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.7711 Definitions.--As used in this part, the term:

(2) "Premium" means the charge, as specified by rule of the commission, that is made by a title insurer for a title insurance policy, including the charge for performance of primary title services by a title insurer or title insurance agent or agency, and incurring the risks incident to such policy, under the several classifications of title insurance contracts and forms, and upon which charge a premium tax is paid under s. 624.509. As used in this part or in any other law, with respect to title insurance, the word "premium" does not include a commission.

Section 3. This act shall take effect January 1, 2007.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1031 CS

SPONSOR(S): Kyle

Pawnbroking

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1870

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Agriculture Committee	6 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Reese	Reese
2) Finance & Tax Committee		Rice ACR	Diez-Arguelles
3) State Resources Council			
4)			
5)		-	

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Currently, the cities of Miami and Fort Lauderdale have a \$1.50 transaction fee that is assessed by ordinance against all pawn transactions. Although not prohibited, this fee is not explicitly authorized in the Florida Pawnbroking Act.

The bill amends the Florida Pawnbroking Act (act) to prohibit local governments, counties or municipalities, from enacting ordinances requiring the payment of any fee related to a pawn transaction or purchase unless authorized in current law.

The bill will reduce the revenues of Miami and Fort Lauderdale.

This bill may be a mandate requiring a two-thirds vote of each house.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1031b.FT.doc 3/27/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government - The bill limits the types of ordinances that local governments can enact regarding pawnbroking.

Ensure lower taxes – The bill prohibits local government ordinances requiring fees or taxes that are not explicitly authorized in the Florida Pawnbroking Act.

## **B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:**

# **Background**

The Florida Legislature created Chapter 539, F.S., in 1996 to outline the provisions of the Florida Pawnbroking Act (act). The act provides for state licensure of pawnbrokers through the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and regulation by the local sheriffs or police department.

Pawnbrokers are stringently regulated and as such are required to keep records of all transactions. As outlined under s. 539.001(9), F.S., pawnbrokers are required to maintain a copy of each completed pawnbroker transaction form on the pawnshop premises for one year after the date of transaction. Also, on or before the end of each business day, the pawnbroker must deliver to the appropriate law enforcement official the original pawnbroker transaction forms for each of the transactions occurring during the previous business day.

Pawnbrokers are subject to any applicable local occupational license taxes and are obligated to collect and remit sales taxes including any local discretionary sales taxes on sales transactions.

# Transaction Fee Ordinance

Both Miami (in 1996) and Fort Lauderdale (in 2004) have enacted local ordinances assessing a transaction fee of \$1.50 on every pawn loan and purchase in those municipalities. The charge was imposed to cover the cost of police inspections and the processing of transaction forms.

Following adoption of the ordinance, some pawnbrokers in Miami sued the city, and the trial court declared the city's pawnshop fees unconstitutional, finding them to be a tax, and not, as contended by the city, user fees. The third district court of appeals reversed this decision reaffirming that a fee imposed by the city to cover the cost of law enforcement services to pawn shops is a valid user fee and not an unconstitutional tax.1

A pawn transaction fee ordinance was recently proposed in the city of Ocala. The city, however, did not enact the ordinance.

Current law does not explicitly prohibit the enactment of an ordinance imposing a fee on pawnbroker transactions, it only requires that any ordinances enacted be in compliance with the Florida Pawnbroking Act.

#### Effect of Bill

The bill prohibits counties and municipalities from enacting local ordinances requiring the payment of any fee related to a pawn transaction or purchase unless explicitly authorized under the Florida Pawnbroking Act.

### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

<u>Section 1.</u> Amends s. 539.001, F.S., relating to the Florida Pawnbroking Act, restricting the authority of counties and municipalities to enforce a fee or tax.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

# II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

## **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

The revenues of the cities of Miami and Ft. Lauderdale will be reduced.

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

For local FY 2004-2005, the City of Fort Lauderdale reported having charged \$82,446 in transaction fees and has received \$52,824 in transaction fee payments. Miami did not respond to the survey.<sup>2</sup>

### III. COMMENTS

### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision appears to apply because this bill reduces the revenue raising authority of cities and counties. While only two cities currently levy this fee, all cities and counties have authority to levy this fee. Therefore, this authority appears to be significant. No mandate exemptions apply. Therefore, the bill may be a mandate requiring a two-thirds vote majority of each house.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 22, 2006, the Committee on Agriculture adopted one amendment to the bill. The amendment clarified that local ordinances are not permitted to require payment of any fee or tax related to any pawn transaction including purchases.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1031b.FT.doc 3/27/2006 HB 1031

2006 CS

#### CHAMBER ACTION

The Agriculture Committee recommends the following:

1 2

3

# Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

### A bill to be entitled

An act relating to pawnbroking; amending s. 539.001, F.S.; providing that local ordinances shall not require the payment of any fee or tax related to a pawn transaction or purchase unless authorized under the Florida Pawnbroking Act; providing an effective date.

11 12

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

13 14

15

Section 1. Subsection (20) of section 539.001, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

16 17

18

539.001 The Florida Pawnbroking Act.--

19 20 (20) CONFLICTING ORDINANCES.--Any county or municipality may enact ordinances that are in compliance with, but not more restrictive than this section, except that local ordinances shall may not require the payment of any fee or tax related to a pawn transaction or purchase unless authorized under this

22

21

23

chapter or restrict hours of operations other than between

chapter or restrict hours of operations other Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 1031 2006 **CS** 

midnight and 6 a.m. Any ordinance that conflicts with this subsection is void. Nothing in This section does not shall affect the authority of a county or municipality to establish land use controls or require a pawnbroker to obtain a local occupational license.

24

25

26

2728

29

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

Page 2 of 2

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1039

Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area

SPONSOR(S): Garcia and others

**TIED BILLS:** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Water & Natural Resources Committee     Finance & Tax Committee	8 Y, 0 N	Winker  Monroe KDSM	Lotspeich  Diez-Arguelles
3) Agriculture & Environment Appropriations Committee			
4) State Resources Council  5)			

### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The bill makes the following changes to the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area (Lake Belt Area):

- Changes the boundary of the Lake Belt Area by including certain sections of the area which were previously excluded.
- Increases the mitigation fee that is imposed for each ton of limerock and sand that is sold from the area from its current seven cents per ton to 12 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2006, 18 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2007, and 24 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2008.
- Revises the date from January 1, 2001 to October 1, 2009 on which the mitigation fee will be increased by 2.1 percentage points (plus a cost growth index) pursuant to current law.
- Adds funding sources (South Florida Water Management District and Miami-Dade County) that may be reimbursed with proceeds of the mitigation fee.

The bill will have a positive fiscal impact on the revenue deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund from approximately \$3 million in 2005 to \$10 million in 2009, due to three annual increases in the mitigation fee.

The bill will take effect October 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

h1039b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

DATE:

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Ensure lower taxes – The bill increases the mitigation fee for the mining industry in the Lake Belt Area.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Present Situation**

The Miami-Dade Lake Belt Area comprises 77.5 square miles of environmentally sensitive land located in the western edge of the Miami-Dade County urban area. This area consists of wetlands and lakes which act potentially as a buffer between the Everglades and the encroachment of urban development. The area is also used for mining limestone and sand, with rock mined from the area supplying about one-half of all the limestone used in Florida. The Northwest Wellfield, which is located at the eastern edge of the area, is the largest drinking water wellfield in the state and supplies about 40 percent of the potable drinking water for Miami-Dade County. About 50% of the land within the Lake Area is owned by the mining industry, 25% is owned by government agencies, and 25% is owned by non-mining private owners.

Section 373.4139, F.S., established the Lake Belt Committee for the purpose of developing a long-term plan for the Lake Belt Area. In February 1997 and February 2001, this committee submitted reports to the Legislature with findings, recommendations, and a plan for the Lake Belt Area.

Based on these findings and recommendations, s. 373.4149, F.S., was enacted which adopted the plan intended to enhance the water supply for Miami-Dade County and the Everglades, including the development of wellfield protection measures, while maximizing the efficient recovery of limestone, promoting the social and economic welfare of the community, and protecting the environment.

A major recommendation from the Lake Belt Committee was that in order to offset the impacts of rock mining in the Lake Belt Area, this activity needed to be offset by the implementation of a mitigation plan.

Section 373.41492, F.S., enacted the mitigation plan by requiring the assessment of a per-ton mitigation fee assessed on limestone and sand sold from the Lake Belt Area. Fees collected from such sales are to be used for acquiring environmentally sensitive lands and for restoration, maintenance, and other environmental purposes.

Section 373.41492(2), F.S., provides that, effective October 1, 1999, 5 cents for each ton of limerock and sand sold from within the Lake Belt Area will be assessed. The limerock or sand miner who sells the limerock or sand is required to collect the mitigation fee and send the fee to the Department of Revenue (DOR). Proceeds of the fee, less administrative costs for the DOR, are then transferred to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund created under s. 373.41495, F.S.

Section 373.41492(5), F.S., provides that effective January 1, 2001, and each January 1 thereafter, the per-ton mitigation fee must be increased by 2.1 percentage points, plus a cost growth index. Based upon this rate schedule, the mitigation fee for 2005 was 7 cents per ton.

All proceeds from the mitigation fee are to be used for mitigation activities that offset the loss of the value and functions of wetlands as a result of mining activities in the Lake Belt Area. Mitigation activities include the following:

STORAGE NAME:

h1039b.FT.doc 3/28/2006 PAGE: 2

- The purchase, enhancement, restoration, and management of wetlands and uplands.
- The purchase of mitigation credit from a permitted mitigation bank pursuant to s. 373.4136, F.S.
- Structural modifications to the existing drainage system to enhance the hydrology of the Lake Belt Area.
- Reimbursement to other funding sources, including the Save Our Rivers Land Acquisition
  Program and the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, for the purchase of lands acquired in areas
  appropriate for mitigation due to rock mining and to reimburse governmental agencies that
  exchanged land for mitigation due to rock mining.

Section 373.41492(6)(b), F.S., creates a Lake Belt Area mitigation fee interagency committee consisting of representatives from the Miami-Dade County Department of Environmental Resource Management, the Department of Environmental Protection, the South Florida Water Management District, and the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. A representative of the limerock mining industry is a non-voting member of the committee. The interagency committee is required to submit a report to the Legislature with recommendations for any needed adjustments to the mitigation fee (s. 373.41492(8), F.S.).

# **Effect of Proposed Changes**

The bill makes the following changes to the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area (Lake Belt Area):

- Changes the boundary of the Lake Belt Area by including certain sections of the area which were previously excluded.
- Increases the mitigation fee that is imposed for each ton of limerock and sand that is sold from the area from its current seven cents per ton to 12 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2006, 18 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2007, and 24 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2008.
- Revises the date from January 1, 2001 to October 1, 2009 on which the mitigation fee will be increased by 2.1 percentage points (plus a cost growth index) pursuant to current law.
- Adds funding sources (South Florida Water Management District and Miami-Dade County) that may be reimbursed with proceeds from the mitigation fee.

The bill will take effect October 1, 2006.

### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 373.4149, F.S., changes the boundaries of the Lake Belt Area.

Section 2: Amends s. 373.41492, F.S., increases the mitigation fee for each ton of limerock and sand sold in the Lake Belt Area.

Section 3: The bill takes effect on October 1, 2006.

### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

STORAGE NAME:

# B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### 1. Revenues:

According to the South Florida Water Management District, approximately \$3 million in fee revenues from about 43 million tons of limerock and sand mined were deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund in 2005.

Under the new mitigation fee rates provided for in the bill, an estimated \$5.2 million in fee revenues would be deposited in the trust fund at the 12 cents level (effective October 1, 2006); \$7.8 million effective October 1, 2007, and \$10.3 million effective October 1, 2008. Effective October 1, 2009 and each October 1 thereafter, the mitigation fee will increase by 2.1%, plus a cost growth index which will further increase the fee revenues deposited in the trust fund.

These increases in the mitigation fees should increase revenues to local governments for mitigation activities expenses.

# 2. Expenditures:

None.

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The increased mitigation fees will have a negative fiscal impact upon the mining industry in the Lake Belt Area.

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

### **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. The bill does not reduce the authority that counties and municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

## **B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None.

# C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

In their analysis of this bill, the Department of Revenue writes:

The . . . requirement to calculate the adjustments to the mitigation fee based upon specific indexes from the United States Department of Labor for the 12 month period ending September 30 of each year and an adjusted mitigation fee effective October 1 of the same

STORAGE NAME:

h1039b.FT.doc 3/28/2006 year can not be done. Indexes such as the Consumer Price Index are published as much as three or more months following the reported month. Index information for September of a given year is not available until sometime in December of that year, at the earliest.

The Department goes on to recommend that the rate changes be made effective on January 1, three months later than the bill currently has the rate changes occurring. For similar reasons, the Department also asks for the bills effective date to be moved to January 1, 2007

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None

A bill to be entitled

חם וטט

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

An act relating to the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area; amending s. 373.4149, F.S.; revising the geographic boundaries of the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area; amending s. 373.41492, F.S.; revising the geographic boundaries for mining areas subject to mitigation fees under the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Plan; providing for mitigation fee increases; authorizing proceeds of mitigation fees to be allocated to the South Florida Water Management District and Miami-Dade County for specific purposes; revising the reporting requirements

13 14 date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

16 17

15

Section 1. Subsection (3) of section 373.4149, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

for the interagency committee; providing an effective

19 20

18

373.4149 Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan. --

212223242526

27

28

(3) The Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area is that area bounded by the Ronald Reagan Turnpike to the east, the Miami-Dade-Broward County line to the north, Krome Avenue to the west and Tamiami Trail to the south together with the land south of Tamiami Trail in sections 5, 6, 7, 8, 17, and 18, Township 54 South, Range 39 East, sections 24, 25, and 36, Township 54 South, Range 38 East, less those portions of section 3, Township 52 South, Range 39 East south of Krome Avenue and west of U.S. Highway 27, section 10, except the west one half, section 11,

Page 1 of 5

except the northeast one quarter and the east one half of the northwest one quarter, and tracts 38 through 41, and tracts 49 through 64 inclusive, section 13, except tracts 17 through 35 and tracts 46 through 48, of Florida Fruit Lands Company Subdivision No. 1 according to the plat thereof as recorded in plat book 2, page 17, public records of Miami Dade County, and section 14, except the west three quarters, Township 52 South, Range 39 East, lying north of the Miami Canal, and less sections 35 and 36 and the east one-half of sections 24 and 25, Township 53 South, Range 39 East and Government Lots 1 and 2, lying between Townships 53 and 54 South, Range 39 East and those portions of sections 1 and 2, Township 54 South, Range 39 East, lying north of Tamiami Trail.

Section 2. Subsections (2), (5), and (7), paragraph (a) of subsection (6), and paragraph (b) of subsection (9) of section 373.41492, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

373.41492 Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Plan; mitigation for mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt.--

(2) To provide for the mitigation of wetland resources lost to mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan, effective October 1, 1999, a mitigation fee is imposed on each ton of limerock and sand extracted by any person who engages in the business of extracting limerock or sand from within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area and sections 10, 11, 13, 14, Township 52 South, Range 39 East, and the east one-half of sections 24 and, 25 and all of sections, 35, and 36, Township 53 South, Range 39 East. The mitigation fee is imposed at the

Page 2 of 5

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

rate of 5 cents for each ton of limerock and sand sold from within the properties where the fee applies in raw, processed, or manufactured form, including, but not limited to, sized aggregate, asphalt, cement, concrete, and other limerock and concrete products. The mitigation fee imposed by this subsection for each ton of limerock and sand sold shall be 12 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2006, 18 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2007, and 24 cents per ton beginning October 1, 2008. Any limerock or sand that is used within the mine from which the limerock or sand is extracted is exempt from the fee. The amount of the mitigation fee imposed under this section must be stated separately on the invoice provided to the purchaser of the limerock or sand product from the limerock or sand miner, or its subsidiary or affiliate, for which the mitigation fee applies. The limerock or sand miner, or its subsidiary or affiliate, who sells the limerock or sand product shall collect the mitigation fee and forward the proceeds of the fee to the Department of Revenue on or before the 20th day of the month following the calendar month in which the sale occurs.

October 1 January 1 thereafter, the per-ton mitigation fee shall be increased by 2.1 percentage points, plus a cost growth index. The cost growth index shall be the percentage change in the weighted average of the Employment Cost Index for All Civilian Workers (ecu 10001I), issued by the United States Department of Labor for the most recent 12-month period ending on September 30, and the percentage change in the Producer Price Index for All Commodities (WPU 00000000), issued by the United States

85

86

87

88 89

90

91

92

93 94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101

102

103 104

105

106

107

108

109 110

111

Department of Labor for the most recent 12-month period ending on September 30, compared to the weighted average of these indices for the previous year. The weighted average shall be calculated as 0.6 times the percentage change in the Employment Cost Index for All Civilian Workers (ecu 10001I), plus 0.4 times the percentage change in the Producer Price Index for All Commodities (WPU 00000000). If either index is discontinued, it shall be replaced by its successor index, as identified by the United States Department of Labor.

The proceeds of the mitigation fee must be used to (6)(a) conduct mitigation activities that are appropriate to offset the loss of the value and functions of wetlands as a result of mining activities and must be used in a manner consistent with the recommendations contained in the reports submitted to the Legislature by the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan Implementation Committee and adopted under s. 373.4149. Such mitigation may include the purchase, enhancement, restoration, and management of wetlands and uplands, the purchase of mitigation credit from a permitted mitigation bank, and any structural modifications to the existing drainage system to enhance the hydrology of the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area. Funds may also be used to reimburse other funding sources, including the Save Our Rivers Land Acquisition Program, and the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, the South Florida Water Management District, and Miami-Dade County, for the purchase of lands that were acquired in areas appropriate for mitigation due to rock mining and to reimburse governmental agencies that

exchanged land under s. 373.4149 for mitigation due to  $\underline{\text{rock}}$  mining  $\underline{\text{rockmining}}$ .

(7) Payment of the fee imposed by this section satisfies the mitigation requirements imposed under ss. 373.403-373.439 and any applicable county ordinance for loss of the value and functions from mining of the wetlands identified as <u>rock mining rockmining</u> supported and allowable areas of the Miami-Dade County Lake Plan adopted by s. 373.4149(1). In addition, it is the intent of the Legislature that the payment of the mitigation fee imposed by this section satisfy all federal mitigation requirements for the wetlands mined.

(9)

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119 120

121

122

123

124

125

126 127

128

129

(b) No sooner than January 31, 2010, and no more frequently than every  $\underline{5}$   $\underline{10}$  years thereafter, the interagency committee shall submit to the Legislature a report recommending any needed adjustments to the mitigation fee to ensure that the revenue generated reflects the actual costs of the mitigation.

Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2006.

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (1)

Bill No. 1039

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION	
ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
OTHER		
	•	

Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee Representative(s) Garcia offered the following:

# Amendment

Remove line(s) 63-77 and insert:

beginning January 1, 2007, 18 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2008, and 24 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2009. Any limerock or sand that is used within the mine from which the limerock or sand is extracted is exempt from the fee. The amount of the mitigation fee imposed under this section must be stated separately on the invoice provided to the purchaser of the limerock or sand product from the limerock or sand miner, or it subsidiary or affiliate, for which the mitigation fee applies. The limerock or sand miner, or its subsidiary or affiliate, who sells the limerock or sand product shall collect the mitigation fee and forward the proceeds of the fee to the Department of Revenue on or before the 20<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the calendar month in which the sale occurs.

(5) Beginning January 1, 2010 2001, and each January 1 thereafter, the per-ton mitigation fee shall

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. (2)

	·	Bill No. <b>1039</b>
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
1	Council/Committee heari	ng bill: Finance & Tax Committee
2	Representative(s) Garci	a offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line(s) 129	and insert:
6		
7	Section 3. This act sh	nall take effect January 1, 2007.
8		

000000

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES LOCAL BILL STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: SPONSOR(S): Legg

HB 1189

Pasco County

**TIED BILLS:** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Local Government Council  2) Finance & Tax Committee  3)	8 Y, 0 N	Camechis  Monroe	Hamby Diez-Arguelles

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill provides that the resolution of the District School Board of Pasco County providing for the receipt of proceeds of the local government infrastructure surtax authorized under s. 212.055(2), F.S., may include a covenant by the board to decrease the capital local school property tax levied pursuant to s. 1011.71(2), F.S., and to maintain that tax at the reduced millage as long as the surtax is in effect. Finally, if the surtax revenues are pledged to service bonded indebtedness, the board may covenant not to levy the capital property tax under s. 1011.71(2), F.S., at a millage rate in excess of the reduced millage rate promised in the resolution.

This bill also ratifies and confirms the referendum held in Pasco County in March 2004.

According to the Economic Impact Statement, this bill has no revenue impact but may ensure "lower bond insurance and (a) better bond rating for the voter approved sales tax increase".

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h1189b.FT.doc STORAGE NAME:

DATE:

3/28/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Ensure Lower Taxes - The bill allows the Pasco County School Board to include a covenant to decrease the capital local school property tax in a resolution providing for the receipt of Local Government Infrastructure Surtax revenues and to maintain the reduction as long as the surtax is in effect.

### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Current Situation**

Section 1011.71, F.S., authorizes each school board to levy, without a referendum, not more than 2 mills against the taxable value for school purposes to fund a variety of facilities and services for school purposes, including new facility construction, maintenance of facilities, acquisition of equipment, payment of certain student transportation expenses.

School districts may also derive capital funding from the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax (surtax) levied by a county pursuant to s. 212.055(2), F.S. The governing authority in each county may levy a discretionary sales surtax of 0.5 percent or 1 percent. The levy of the surtax must be pursuant to ordinance enacted by a majority of the members of the county governing authority and approved by a majority of the electors of the county voting in a referendum on the surtax. If the governing bodies of the municipalities representing a majority of the county's population adopt uniform resolutions establishing the rate of the surtax and calling for a referendum on the surtax, the levy of the surtax must be placed on the ballot and takes effect if approved by a majority of the electors of the county voting in the referendum on the surtax.

If approved at referendum, revenue from the surtax is distributed as determined in an interlocal agreement that is typically executed before the surtax is levied, and which may provide for distribution of surtax revenues to the school boards or municipalities within the county.

## **Effect of Proposed Changes**

The resolution of the District School Board of Pasco County providing for the receipt of proceeds of the local government infrastructure surtax authorized under s. 212.055(2), F.S., may include a covenant by the board to decrease the capital local school property tax levied pursuant to s. 1011.71(2), F.S., and to maintain that tax at the reduced millage as long as the surtax is in effect. Finally, if the surtax revenues are pledged to service bonded indebtedness, the board may covenant not to levy the capital property tax under s. 1011.71(2), F.S., at a millage rate in excess of the reduced millage rate promised in the resolution.

This bill also ratifies and confirms the referendum held in Pasco County in March 2004.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Authorizes covenants to limit the levy of capital local school property taxes.

Section 2. Provides an effective date; ratifies and confirms the referendum held in Pasco County in March 2004.

STORAGE NAME:

h1189b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

# II. NOTICE/REFERENDUM AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS

A. NOTICE PUBLISHED? Yes [x] No []

IF YES, WHEN? December 23, 2005.

WHERE? Pasco Times, Port Richey, Pasco County, Florida

B. REFERENDUM(S) REQUIRED? Yes [] No [x]

IF YES, WHEN?

- C. LOCAL BILL CERTIFICATION FILED? Yes, attached [x] No [
- D. ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FILED? Yes, attached [x] No []

### **III. COMMENTS**

- A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: This bill ratifies and confirms the referendum held in March 2004 in Pasco County. Under Florida law, legislative bodies may retroactively enact curative laws to ratify, validate, and confirm any act that they could have authorized in the first place, assuming that no constitutional objection exists at the time of the ratification.<sup>1</sup> The subject of the March 2004 referendum is not specified in the bill, and it is unknown whether any constitutional issues exist with respect to the March 2004 referendum.
- B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: This bill does not address rule-making authority.
- C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: The Economic Impact Statement submitted for this bill indicates that the bill will result in a \$14 million increase in revenues; however, this is not an accurate reflection of the actual impact of the bill. The bill will not have a direct fiscal impact on revenues of the school district.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES Not applicable.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1189b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Davis v. City of Clearwater, 104 Fla. 42 (Fla. 1932); Dover Drainage Dist. v. Pancoast, 102 Fla. 267 (Fla. 1931); Utley v. City of St. Petersburg, 106 Fla. 692 (Fla. 1932).

HB 1189 2006

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to Pasco County; providing that a resolution of the District School Board of Pasco County which provides for receipt of proceeds from the local government infrastructure surtax authorized under s. 212.055(2), F.S., may include a covenant to limit the levy of capital local school property taxes; ratifying and confirming a referendum; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

 Section 1. Resolution regarding local government infrastructure surtax for the Pasco County School District.--The resolution of the District School Board of Pasco County providing for the receipt of proceeds of the local government infrastructure surtax authorized under section 212.055(2), Florida Statutes, may include a covenant by the board to decrease the capital local school property tax levied pursuant to section 1011.71(2), Florida Statutes, and to maintain that tax at the reduced millage as long as the surtax is in effect. Finally, if the surtax revenues are pledged to service bonded indebtedness, the board may covenant not to levy the capital property tax under section 1011.71(2), Florida Statutes, at a millage rate in excess of the reduced millage rate promised in the resolution.

Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law but shall ratify and confirm the referendum held in Pasco County in March 2004.

Page 1 of 1

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES LOCAL BILL STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1203

St. Johns Water Control District, Indian River County

SPONSOR(S): Poppell

**TIED BILLS:** 

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Local Government Council	8 Y, 0 N	Smith	Hamby
2) Finance & Tax Committee		Monroe KTSM	Diez-Arguelles
3)			
4)			
5)			

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The St. Johns Water Control District (District) is an independent district located in Indian River County. The District was created in 1962 through circuit court decree and derives its statutory authority from s. 298, F.S. The District imposes maintenance taxes and has the power to issue bonds.

This bill codifies, or reenacts, all prior special acts of the district into a single act, as required by s. 189.429, F.S. Reenactment of existing law is permitted by this section, although this reenactment is not to be construed as a grant of additional authority.

The bill deletes outdated language and organizes previously authorized powers of the District. The bill also makes minor, stylistic changes to some of the language of the charter.

The bill deletes specified provisions relating to the District board and officers and general and special powers of the District. The bill provides for the District's powers and authority to be in accordance with chapter 298, F.S.

According to the Economic Impact Statement, no fiscal impacts are anticipated for either fiscal year 2006-07 or 2007-08.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h1203b.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/28/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

# I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

This bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Codification

Codification is the process of bringing a special act up-to-date. After a special district is created, special acts often amend or alter the special district's charter provisions. To ascertain the current status of a special district's charter, it is necessary to research all amendments or changes made to the charter since its inception or original passage by the Legislature. Codification of special district charters is important because it allows readers to more easily determine the current charter of a district.

Codification of special district charters was initially authorized by the 1997 Legislature and is codified in s. 189.429, F.S. and s. 191.015, F.S. The 1998 Legislature subsequently amended both sections of the statute. Current law provides for codification of all special district charters by December 1, 2004. The 1998 law allows for the adoption of the codification schedule provided for in an October 3, 1997 memorandum issued by the Chair of the Committee on Community Affairs. Any codified act relating to a special district must provide for the repeal of all prior special acts of the Legislature relating to the district. Additionally, the 2001 Legislature amended s. 189.429, F.S., to provide that reenactment of existing law pursuant to s. 189.429, F.S.: (1) shall not be construed to grant additional authority nor to supersede the authority of an entity; (2) shall continue the application of exceptions to law contained in special acts reenacted pursuant to the section; (3) shall not be construed to modify, amend, or alter any covenants, contracts, or other obligations of any district with respect to bonded indebtedness; and (4) shall not be construed to affect a district's ability to levy and collect taxes, assessments, fees, or charges for the purpose of redeeming or servicing the district's bonded indebtedness.

Since the enactment of ss. 189.429 and 191.015, F.S., 201 special districts (including local bills that were vetoed or filed and did not pass the Legislature) have codified their charters.

Although the deadline for submission of a codified charter by all special districts was prior to the 2005 Legislative session, all special districts have not complied with this requirement, and proposed codification bills for other special districts have not been enacted by the Legislature or have been vetoed by the Governor. As a result, additional proposed codification bills are anticipated.

## St. Johns Water Control District

The St. Johns Water Control District (District) is an independent district located in Indian River County. The District was created in 1962 through circuit court decree and derives it statutory authority from ch. 298, F.S. The District imposes maintenance taxes and has the power to issue bonds.

# Changes to the St. Johns Water Control District Charter

This bill codifies, or reenacts, all prior special acts of the district into a single act, as required by s. 189.429, F.S. Reenactment of existing law is permitted by this section, although this reenactment is not to be construed as a grant of additional authority.

The bill deletes outdated language and organizes previously authorized powers of the District. The bill also makes minor, stylistic changes to some of the language of the charter.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1203b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

The bill deletes specified provisions relating to the District board and officers and general and special powers of the District. The bill provides for the District's powers and authority to be in accordance with chapter 298, F.S.

## Charter of District

This bill recreates and reenacts the District's charter as follows:

Section 1:	Provides for boundaries of the District. <sup>1</sup>

Dravidae for boundaries of the District 1

- Declares the provisions of s. 298, F.S., to be applicable to the District.<sup>2</sup> Section 2:
- Section 3: Provides for taxes to be levied and apportioned as provided by s. 298, F.S., and to be collected during each year at the same time that county taxes are due and collected in Indian River County.3
- Provides for apportionment of maintenance tax pursuant to s. 298.54, F.S.<sup>4</sup> Section 4:
- Provides for collection and enforcement of all taxes levied to coincide with Section 5: collection of county taxes.5
- Provides for taxes to become delinquent in the same manner as county taxes.<sup>6</sup> Section 6:
- Section 7: Provides for the salaries and services of the County Property Appraiser and the County Tax Collector.7
- Provides for tax liens.8 Section 8:
- Authorizes the Board of Supervisors to issue bonds pursuant to s. 298, F.S.9 Section 9:
- Authorizes the issuance of warrants, negotiable notes, or other evidences of Section 10: indebtedness.10
- Excludes the District from the application of bond provisions in s. 298.73, F.S.<sup>11</sup> Section 11:
- Provides for the powers and duties of the Board of Supervisors regarding bond Section 12: issuance.12
- Provides for the powers and duties of the Board of Supervisors regarding the Section 13: payment of expenses and recurring services and costs from maintenance taxes. 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 1, ch. 69-1162, L.O.F. § 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 2.

See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See generally ch. 65-812, L.O.F. §§ 13 and 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 10.

<sup>11</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 14.

Section 14: Grants the District the right to protect against surface waters. 14

Section 15: Provides for compensation of the Board of Supervisors. 15

Section 16: Prohibits any person, firm, or corporation from connecting or maintaining a

connection of any farm ditch with any of the canals, ditches, laterals, or waterways, constructed, controlled, or maintained by the District; provides

penalty.

Section 17: Authorizes the District to construct, install, and maintain locks, dams, and other

works and facilities in the canals, ditches, and drains within the District.

Section 18: Authorizes the District to grant permits allowing any access over, under, or

across its lands.

Section 19: Provides severability.

### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Provides that the reenactment of existing law in this bill may not be construed as a grant of additional authority; provides legislative intent.

Section 2: Codifies, reenacts, amends and repeals chapters 65-812 and 69-1162, L.O.F.

Section 3: Recreates and reenacts the charter of the District.

Section 4: Repeals chapters 65-812and 69-1162, L.O.F.

Section 5: Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

# II. NOTICE/REFERENDUM AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS

A. NOTICE PUBLISHED? Yes [X] No []

IF YES, WHEN? November 7, 2005.

WHERE? Scripps Treasure Coast Newspapers, Vero Beach, Indian River County, Florida.

B. REFERENDUM(S) REQUIRED? Yes [] No [X]

IF YES, WHEN?

- C. LOCAL BILL CERTIFICATION FILED? Yes, attached [X] No []
- D. ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FILED? Yes, attached [X] No []

### III. COMMENTS

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See ch. 65-812, L.O.F. § 16.

None.

### **B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

As a part of this codification, section 7 of the charter is being amended to replace the outdated term "tax assessor" with the term "property appraiser". Due to a scrivener's error the term "property appraiser" does not appear in two locations in section 7 where the term "tax assessor" was removed.

HB 1423 (2005 Legislative Session), relating to the St. Johns Water Control District, Indian River County, was vetoed by the Governor on June 20, 2005. According to the veto letter, the bill expanded the district's powers by allowing the district to levy fines against those who pollute the district's canals and those who violate Florida law, the federal Clean Water Act, and the federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1203b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

An act relating to the St. Johns Water Control District, Indian River County; codifying, amending, and reenacting special acts relating to the district; fixing and prescribing boundaries of said district; making the provisions of chapter 298, F.S., applicable thereto; providing for the levy, collection, and enforcement of installment and maintenance taxes by said district at the same time and in like manner as county taxes; providing that said taxes shall be extended by the county on the county tax roll and shall be collected by the tax collector in the same manner and time as county taxes; providing for the same discounts and penalties as county taxes; providing for the compensation of the county and tax collector; providing that district taxes shall be a lien on lands against which taxes are levied of equal dignity with county and other taxes; providing that the approval of the board of drainage commissioners is not required to issue bonds; providing for floating indebtedness of the district; providing that payment of taxes in advance is not authorized; providing that use of bonds and interest coupons in payment of taxes is not authorized; providing that the board may enter into certain covenants and agreements with holders of bonds; providing that water is a common enemy; providing for compensation of the board of supervisors; providing additional powers of the board; providing for severability of the provisions of the act; repealing chapters 65-812

Page 1 of 11

and 69-1162, Laws of Florida, relating to the district; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Section 1. (1) The reenactment of existing law in this act shall not be construed as a grant of additional authority to nor to supersede the authority of any entity pursuant to law.

  Exceptions to law contained in any special act that are reenacted pursuant to this act shall continue to apply.
- (2) The reenactment of existing law in this act shall not be construed to modify, amend, or alter any covenants, contracts, or other obligations of the district with respect to bonded indebtedness. Nothing pertaining to the reenactment of existing law in this act shall be construed to affect the ability of the district to levy and collect taxes, assessments, fees, or charges for the purpose of redeeming or servicing bonded indebtedness of the district.
- Section 2. Chapters 65-812 and 69-1162, Laws of Florida, are codified, reenacted, amended, and repealed as provided in this act.
- Section 3. The St. Johns Water Control District is recreated, and the charter for such district is re-created and reenacted to read:
- Section 1. The decree of the Circuit Court in and for the Ninth Judicial Circuit, Indian River County, Florida, entered in Case No. 5736 on May 14, 1962, creating and incorporating the St. Johns Water Control District, an independent special

Page 2 of 11

district, under chapter 298, Florida Statutes, and the decree of said court revising and correcting the boundaries of the district entered on October 25, 1963, and an order correcting a clerical error in the decree revising and correcting the boundaries of the district on December 27, 1963, and all subsequent proceedings taken in said circuit court concerning said district are hereby ratified, confirmed, and approved, including its territorial boundaries as follows:

65 66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

From the center of Section 5, township 33 South, Range 38 East, run West along the centerline of Highway 60 through Sections 5 and 6, and in Township 33 South, Range 37 East continue west along the centerline of State Highway 60 through Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 to the West boundary of Section 6; thence South along the West boundary of Sections 6, 7, 18 and 19 to the Southwest corner of Section 19; thence East along the south boundary of Section 19 to the Southeast corner of said Section 19; thence South along the West boundary of Sections 29 and 32 to the Southwest corner of Section 32; thence Easterly along the South boundary of Sections 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 to the Southeast corner of Section 36, all lying in Township 33 South, Range 37 East; thence in Township 33 South, Range 38 East, run Easterly along the South boundary of Sections 31 and 32 to the Southeast corner of Section 32; thence run North to the Northeast corner of Section 29, Township 33 South, Range 38 East;

HB 1203

thence run Easterly to the Southeast corner of Section 21, Township 33 South, Range 38 East; thence Northerly along the East boundaries of Sections 21 and 16 to the Northeast corner of the Southeast one-quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 16, Township 33 South, Range 38 East; thence Westerly to the center of Section 16; thence Northerly to the Northeast corner of the Northwest one-quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 16; thence Westerly to the Southeast corner of Section 8; thence Westerly to the Northeast corner of Section 8; thence North to the Southeast corner of the Southwest one-quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 5; thence North to the center of Section 5 and the point of beginning. Including 27,743.40 acres.

Section 2. The provisions of the general drainage laws of Florida applicable to drainage districts or subdrainage districts which are embodied in chapter 298, Florida Statutes, and all of the laws amendatory thereof, now existing or hereafter enacted, so far as not inconsistent with this act, are hereby declared to be applicable to said St. Johns Water Control District.

Section 3. Taxes shall be levied and apportioned as provided for in the general drainage laws of Florida (chapter 298, Florida Statutes, and amendments thereto), except as otherwise provided herein. The board of supervisors shall determine, order, and levy the amount of the annual installments of the total taxes levied under sections 298.50 and 298.54,

Page 4 of 11

113

114

115

116

117

118

119 120

121

122

123

124 125

126 127

128 129

130 131

132133

134

135

136 137

138 139

140

Florida Statutes, which shall become due and be collected during each year at the same time that county taxes are due and collected, which said annual installment and levy shall be evidenced to and certified by the said board not later than August 1 of each year, to Indian River County. Said tax shall be extended by the county on the county tax roll and shall be collected by the tax collector in the same manner and time as county taxes, and the proceeds thereof paid to said district. Said tax shall be a lien until paid on the property against which assessed, and enforceable in like manner as county taxes. Section 4. Maintenance taxes as provided for under section 298.54, Florida Statutes, shall be apportioned upon the basis of the net assessments of benefits assessed as accruing for original construction, and shall be evidenced to and certified by the board of supervisors not later than August 31 of each year, to Indian River County, and shall be extended by the county on the county tax roll and shall be collected by the tax collector in the same manner and time as county taxes and the proceeds therefrom paid to said district. Said tax shall be a lien until paid on the property against which assessed and enforceable in like manner as county taxes. Section 5. The collection and enforcement of all taxes levied by said district shall be at the same time and in like manner as county taxes, and the provisions of the Florida Statutes relating to the sale of lands for unpaid and delinquent county taxes, the issuance, sale, and delivery of tax certificates for such unpaid and delinquent county taxes, the redemption thereof, the issuance to individuals of tax deeds

Page 5 of 11

based thereon, and all other procedures in connection therewith, shall be applicable to said district and the delinquent and unpaid taxes of said district to the same extent as if said statutory provisions were expressly set forth in this act. All taxes shall be subject to the same discounts as county taxes.

All discounts allowed shall be a charge against the maintenance tax only.

Section 6. All taxes levied by the district shall be and become delinquent and bear penalties on the amount of said taxes in the same manner as county taxes.

Section 7. (1) Indian River County shall be paid annually an amount equal to 1 percent of the total taxes of the district and the Tax Collector of Indian River County shall be paid annually an amount equal to 1 percent of the total taxes of the district collected, for their respective services to the St.

Johns Water Control District in said county for respectively assessing and collecting said drainage district taxes, provided, however, that the total amount to be paid to said county and said tax collector in any one year shall not exceed the sum of \$1,500 to each. All compensation paid the property appraiser and the tax collector shall be paid from the proceeds of the maintenance tax.

(2) The services of said county and said county tax collector in assessing and collecting said drainage district taxes are hereby declared to be special services performed directly for said district and the amounts paid therefor shall not be considered a part of the general income of their respective offices, nor shall it come under the provisions of

Page 6 of 11

sections 116.03, 145.10, and 145.11, Florida Statutes. The personnel required to do said special work shall be paid for such special services by the county or the tax collector, as the case may be, from the receipts provided for such purpose.

Section 8. All drainage taxes levied by the district, together with all penalties for default in payment of the same and all costs in collecting the same, shall constitute a lien of equal dignity with the liens for county taxes, and other taxes of equal dignity with county taxes, upon all the lands against which said taxes shall be levied. A sale of any of the lands within the district for county or other taxes shall not operate to relieve or release the lands so sold from the lien for subsequent installments of district taxes, which lien may be enforced against such lands as though no such sale thereof had been made.

Section 9. The board of supervisors may issue bonds under the provisions of chapter 298, Florida Statutes, without the approval of the Board of Drainage Commissioners of the State of Florida, as provided for in section 298.47, Florida Statutes.

Section 10. (1) After the levy of maintenance taxes for any year, the board of supervisors may from time to time issue warrants or negotiable notes or other evidences of indebtedness of the district, which shall be payable solely from such maintenance taxes and shall not be issued in an amount greater than the amount of such maintenance taxes then unpaid less the amount of any of such notes then outstanding. All such notes shall mature not later than 1 year from the date of issuance thereof, shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6

percent per annum, and shall have such other details as shall be provided in the resolution or resolutions of the board of supervisors authorizing the issuance thereof.

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220221

222

223

224

- (2) After the authorization of any bonds under the provisions of chapter 298, Florida Statutes, the board of supervisors may from time to time issue bond anticipation notes in anticipation of the issuance of such bonds, and the amount thereof shall not exceed the amount of bonds authorized and not issued. Such notes shall all mature not later than 1 year after the date thereof and may be renewed for a further period not exceeding 1 year, but all of such notes, including the renewals thereof, shall mature not later than 2 years from the date thereof. Such bond anticipation notes shall be paid from the proceeds of such bonds when issued, or from any taxes levied for the payment of such bonds which have been authorized, but in such case a like amount of the bonds authorized shall not be issued. The proceeds of any bond anticipation notes shall be used solely for the purposes provided in the resolution which authorized the issuance of the bonds in anticipation of which bond anticipation notes are issued.
- Section 11. The provisions of section 298.73, Florida

  Statutes, and amendments and successors thereof, relating to the use of bonds and obligations in payment of drainage taxes, shall not be applicable to said district and its bonds, obligations, and taxes.
- Section 12. The board of supervisors of the district shall have the power, in the resolution or other proceedings authorizing the issuance of any bonds, to enter into valid and

Page 8 of 11

legally binding covenants and agreements with the holders of 225 such bonds as to the custody and security of the proceeds of 226 said bonds, or of any bond anticipation notes issued in 227 anticipation thereof, the custody and security of any debt 228 service funds, including reserves, and the appointment of banks 229 or trust companies as trustee to hold such construction funds 230 231 and debt service and reserve funds, the rank or priority as between the bonds originally issued by the district, and any 232 233 bonds thereafter issued and terms and conditions under which any 234 bonds can be issued by the district after the original bonds or notes have been issued to finance the cost of the drainage 235 improvements or works, and such other covenants and conditions 236 237 as shall be deemed necessary and advisable by the board of 238 supervisors in accordance with bond market practices and in order to better secure the payment of such bonds and the 239 marketability thereof. All such covenants and agreements shall 240 241 be and constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the district and the state does hereby covenant that it will not by 242 243 any legislation hereafter in any manner repeal, modify, or impair the rights, remedies, and security of the holders of any 244 245 bonds or other obligations issued by the district. Section 13. The district shall also have power to covenant 246 and agree with the holders of such bonds that all of the fees 247 and expenses for the levy and collection of taxes in said 248 district and of any trustees or other custodians of the bond 249 proceeds or of the construction funds or debt service funds or 250 251 reserves therefor, or the cost of the expenses of any annual audits or of any other annually recurring services or costs 252

Page 9 of 11

shall be paid from the maintenance taxes to be collected in each year with said district and not from the proceeds of any bonds or other obligations issued by said district.

253 l

Section 14. It is hereby declared that, in said district, surface waters, which shall include rainfall and the overflow of rivers and streams, are a common enemy, and the said district and any individual or agency holding a permit to do so from said district shall have the right to dike, dam, and construct levees to protect the said district or any part thereof, or the property of said individual or agency against the same, and thereby divert the course and flow of such surface water and or pump the water from within such dikes and levees.

Section 15. Each supervisor shall be paid for his or her services a per diem of \$25 for each day actually engaged in work pertaining to the said district; but the said supervisors shall not in any one month be paid more than \$100 each, except that in addition to the said per diem, they shall be paid 10 cents per mile for each mile actually traveled in going to and from their place of residence to the place of meeting.

Section 16. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to connect or to maintain a connection of any farm ditch with any of the canals, ditches, laterals, or waterways constructed, controlled, or maintained by St. Johns Water Control District in Indian River County, except in accordance with plans and specifications showing the method of such connection as prescribed by the board of supervisors of said district. Any violation of this act shall be punished as prescribed by the general law for punishment of misdemeanors.

The board of supervisors shall also have the right and power to cause any such connection constructed or maintained in violation of this act to be blocked or stopped up.

Section 17. The Board of Supervisors of St. Johns Water
Control District in Indian River County, in order to effect the
drainage, reclamation, and protection of lands in said district,
is hereby authorized to construct, install, and maintain locks,
dams, and other works and facilities in the canals, ditches, and
drains in said district and elsewhere.

Section 18. The St. Johns Water Control District is hereby authorized to grant such permits as it shall deem proper in allowing any access over, under, or across its lands.

Section 19. In case any one or more of the sections or provisions of this act or the application of such sections or provisions to any situation, circumstance, or person shall for any reason be held to be unconstitutional, such unconstitutionality shall not affect any other sections or provisions to any other situation, circumstance, or person, and it is intended that this law shall be construed and applied as if such section or provision had not been included herein for any unconstitutional application.

Section 4. Chapters 65-812 and 69-1162, Laws of Florida, are repealed.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

# Amendment No. (1)

		Bill No. <b>1203</b>
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION	
ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
OTHER		
30-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10		

Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee Representative(s) Poppell offered the following:

# Amendment

Remove line(s) 151-158 and insert:

Section 7. (1) The property appraiser of Indian River county shall be paid annually an amount equal to 1 percent of the total taxes of the district and the tax collector of Indian River County shall be paid annually an amount equal to 1 percent of the total taxes of the district collected, for their respective services to the St. Johns Water Control District in said county for respectively assessing and collecting said drainage district taxes, provided, however, that the total amount to be paid to said property appraiser and

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES LOCAL BILL STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1207

Indian River Mosquito Control District, Indian River County

SPONSOR(S): Poppell TIED BILLS:

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

	REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Local Gover	rnment Council	8 Y, 0 N	Smith	Hamby 2
2) Finance & T	Tax Committee		Monroe KDSM	Diez-Arguelles
3)				
4)				
5)		-		

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The Indian River Mosquito Control District (District) was first established in 1925, with the stated purpose of controlling and eradicating mosquitoes and sand flies in designated areas of Indian River County. In 1947, the original enabling act, ch. 11128, L.O.F. (1925), was repealed, and a new law re-establishing the district and revising its authority was enacted.

This bill codifies, or reenacts, all prior special acts of the district into a single act, as required by s. 189.429, F.S. Reenactment of existing law is permitted by this section, although this reenactment is not to be construed as a grant of additional authority. The bill contains provisions which do not simply codify existing law, but amend the charter of the District, including:

- providing for a three member board of commissioners until the next election, stating three persons' names that will compose the commissioners until that time;
- clarifying charter provisions pertaining to terms in office for District Commissioners;
- amending provisions governing the compensation of District Commissioners; and
- updating language referring to the State of Florida's Comptroller; deleting Comptroller, adding Chief Financial Officer.

According to the Economic Impact Statement, no fiscal impacts are anticipated for either fiscal year 2006-07 or 2007-08.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. h1207b.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/28/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

# A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

# **B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:**

#### Codification

Codification is the process of bringing a special act up-to-date. After a special district is created, special acts often amend or alter the special district's charter provisions. To ascertain the current status of a special district's charter, it is necessary to research all amendments or changes made to the charter since its inception or original passage by the Legislature. Codification of special district charters is important because it allows readers to more easily determine the current charter of a district.

Codification of special district charters was initially authorized by the 1997 Legislature and is codified in s. 189.429, F.S. and s. 191.015, F.S. The 1998 Legislature subsequently amended both sections of the statute. Current law provides for codification of all special district charters by December 1, 2004. The 1998 law allows for the adoption of the codification schedule provided for in an October 3, 1997 memorandum issued by the Chair of the Committee on Community Affairs. Any codified act relating to a special district must provide for the repeal of all prior special acts of the Legislature relating to the district. Additionally, the 2001 Legislature amended s. 189.429, F.S. to provide that reenactment of existing law pursuant to s. 189.429, F.S.: (1) shall not be construed to grant additional authority nor to supersede the authority of an entity; (2) shall continue the application of exceptions to law contained in special acts reenacted pursuant to the section; (3) shall not be construed to modify, amend, or alter any covenants, contracts, or other obligations of any district with respect to bonded indebtedness; and (4) shall not be construed to affect a district's ability to levy and collect taxes, assessments, fees, or charges for the purpose of redeeming or servicing the district's bonded indebtedness.

Since the enactment of ss. 189.429 and 191.015, F.S., 201 special districts (including local bills that were vetoed or filed and did not pass the Legislature) have codified their charters.

Although the deadline for submission of a codified charter by all special districts was prior to the 2005 Legislative session, all special districts have not complied with this requirement, and proposed codification bills for other special districts have not been enacted by the Legislature or have been vetoed by the Governor. As a result, additional proposed codification bills are anticipated.

# Indian River Mosquito Control District

The Indian River Mosquito Control District (District) was first established in 1925, with the stated purpose of controlling and eradicating mosquitoes and sand flies in designated areas of Indian River County. In 1947, the original enabling act, ch. 11128, L.O.F. (1925), was repealed, and a new law reestablishing the district and revising its authority was enacted.<sup>1</sup>

# Changes to the Indian River Mosquito Control District Charter

This bill codifies, or reenacts, all prior special acts of the district into a single act, as required by s. 189.429, F.S. Reenactment of existing law is permitted by this section, although this reenactment is not to be construed as a grant of additional authority.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h1207b.FT.doc 3/28/2006 The bill contains provisions which do not simply codify existing law, but amend the charter of the District, including:

- provides for a three member board of commissioners until the next election, states three names that will compose the commissioners until that time, naming: Janice Broda, David Foster, and Keith D. Hedin;
- clarifies charter provisions pertaining to terms in office; states that commissioners will be
  elected for 4 year terms and that the first commissioner is elected in a given general election by
  the highest number of votes and the remaining two commissioners are elected by the first and
  second highest number of votes cast a the next ensuing general election.
- amends provisions governing the compensation of District Commissioners; declares that
  commissioners will be paid for each day's service and for each mile traveled in going to and
  from the Board of Commissioners office according to per diem compensation expense and
  mileage rates established under general law or special act. Also declares that commissioners
  will be compensated for regular duties at the rate of \$400 per month;
- updates language referring to the State of Florida's Comptroller; deletes Comptroller, adds
   Chief Financial Officer; and
- adds a severability clause to the district charter.

# Charter of the District

This bill recreates and reenacts the District's charter as follows:

Section 1: Recreates the Indian River Mosquito Control District.

Section 2: Provides for a District governing body to be called the Board of Commissioners (Board); the Board is to be composed of three members; establishes the powers of the Board; provides for four staggered terms.<sup>2</sup>

Section 3: Requires all District Commissioners to provide a surety bond of \$5,000; failure to provide bond within 30 days of election will result in a vacancy on the Board. The Governor will then be allowed to appoint someone to that vacancy.<sup>3</sup>

Section 4: Provides for a commission chair, vice chair, and secretary to be chosen at the first practical time after an election.<sup>4</sup>

Section 5: Provides for compensation and payment for time traveled.

Section 6: Grants the District authority to invest funds in interest-bearing depositories as prescribed by Florida law.<sup>5</sup>

Section 7: Declares purpose of the District.<sup>6</sup>

Section 8: Declares the general powers of the District.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See generally ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 2, ch. 63-1433, L.O.F. § 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See ch. 73-497, L.O.F. § 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 7.

Section 9: Declares powers of the District as they pertain to purchasing.8

Section 10: Authorizes the District to levy an ad valorem tax on all real and personal taxable property; provides provisions for the collection of those taxes through the county tax collector.<sup>9</sup>

Section 11: Requires the Board to provide adequate insurance for property damage, bodily injury, or death.<sup>10</sup>

Section 12: Provides the District abolishment provisions pursuant to s. 9, ch. 24600, 1947, L.O.F.<sup>11</sup>

Section 13: Provides that willful damage to district projects, property, and work will be seen as a misdemeanor and can be punished as stated by general law. 12

Section 14: Authorizes the Board to provide life and health insurance to all District employees, including all Board members, the District Director, the families of said employees, and the families of the District Director and the Assistant District Director.<sup>13</sup>

Section 15: Provides severability.

# C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Provides that the reenactment of existing law in this bill may not be construed as a grant of additional authority; provides legislative intent.

Section 2: Codifies, reenacts, amends and repeals chapters 11128 (1925), 14381 (1929), 20114 (1939), 20494 (1941), 21048 (1941), 24600 (1947), 61-2278, 63-1433, 73-497, 76-388, 78-531, and 91-337, L.O.F.

Section 3: Recreates and reenacts the charter of the District.

Section 4: Repeals chapters 11128 (1925), 14381 (1929), 20114 (1939), 20494 (1941), 21048 (1941), 24600 (1947), 61-2278, 63-1433, 73-497, 76-388, 78-531, and 91-337, L.O.F.

Section 5: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

#### II. NOTICE/REFERENDUM AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS

# A. NOTICE PUBLISHED? Yes [X] No []

IF YES, WHEN? November 7, 2005.

WHERE? Scripps Treasure Coast Newspapers, Vero Beach, Indian River County, Florida.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See ch. 61-2278, L.O.F. § 3, ch. 78-531, L.O.F. § 1, ch. 91-337, L.O.F. § 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 8.

<sup>10</sup> See ch. 61-2278, L.O.F. § 4.

<sup>11</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 9.

<sup>12</sup> See ch. 24600, L.O.F., (1947) § 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See ch. 61-2278, L.O.F. § 5, ch. 73-497, L.O.F. § 1.

- B. REFERENDUM(S) REQUIRED? Yes [] No [X] IF YES, WHEN?
- C. LOCAL BILL CERTIFICATION FILED? Yes, attached [X] No []
- D. ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FILED? Yes, attached [X] No []

# **III. COMMENTS**

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

As currently drafted, the bill names three individuals and states that they shall serve as commissioners until the next election. The bill then continues, stating that after the year 2000, "commissioners shall be elected". This language is obsolete and confusing and it is recommended that it be removed as a part of the codification.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1207b.FT.doc 3/28/2006

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An act relating to Indian River Mosquito Control District, Indian River County; codifying, amending, reenacting, and repealing special acts relating to the district; fixing and prescribing the boundaries of said district; providing for the government and administration of the district; naming the commissioners thereof and providing for election of their successors; providing and defining the powers and purposes of such district and of the board of commissioners thereof; authorizing and empowering said board to construct and maintain canals, ditches, drains, and dikes and to fill depressions, lakes, ponds, or marshes in order to eliminate breeding places of mosquitoes and sandflies and to control and eradicate mosquitoes and sandflies; providing for spraying or otherwise disbursing substances and materials over the area of such district for the purpose of controlling and eradicating mosquitoes and sandflies and diseases transmitted by the same; authorizing said board to do any and all acts or things necessary for the control and complete elimination of mosquitoes and sandflies in said district; authorizing and providing for the levy and collection of taxes upon all the real and personal taxable property in said district for carrying out the purposes of this act; authorizing the borrowing by the board of commissioners of said district in any one tax year of a sum not to exceed 80 percent of the estimated taxes to be collected on behalf of said district within such year and

Page 1 of 14

HB 1207

to evidence the indebtedness represented by any money so borrowed by written obligation of the district and providing for the payment of interest thereon and for the repayment thereof prior to the borrowing of any further sums in any subsequent year; limiting the amount of taxes that may be so levied by said board upon the taxable property within such district; prohibiting injury to any works controlled under or in pursuance of this act, to be punishable as provided by general law; legalizing and validating the acts of the Indian River Mosquito Control District herewith abolished and making all contracts of said Indian River Mosquito Control District so abolished binding upon the new Indian River Mosquito Control District; authorizing and prescribing generally the powers and duties of the Board of Commissioners of said new Indian River Mosquito Control District; providing for severability; providing an effective date.

46 47

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

- Section 1. (1) The reenactment of existing law in this act shall not be construed as a grant of additional authority to nor to supersede the authority of the district pursuant to law.

  Exceptions to law contained in any special act that are reenacted pursuant to this act shall continue to apply.
- (2) The reenactment of existing law in this act shall not be construed to modify, amend, or alter any covenants, contracts, or other obligations of the district with respect to

Page 2 of 14

bonded indebtedness. Nothing pertaining to the reenactment of existing law in this act shall be construed to affect the ability of the district to levy and collect taxes, assessments, fees, or charges for the purpose of redeeming or servicing bonded indebtedness of the district.

 Section 2. Chapters 11128 (1925), 14381 (1929), 20114 (1939), 20494 (1941), 21048 (1941), 24600 (1947), 61-2278, 63-1433, 73-497, 76-388, 78-531, and 91-337, Laws of Florida, are codified, reenacted, amended, and repealed as provided in this act.

Section 3. The Indian River Mosquito Control District is re-created, and the charter for such district is re-created and reenacted to read:

Section 1. The Indian River Mosquito Control District is re-created, and the special taxing district now existing and known and designated as Indian River Mosquito Control District, as created and incorporated by chapter 11128 (1925), Laws of Florida, as amended, is hereby abolished, and a new special taxing district in Indian River County, to be known and designated as Indian River Mosquito Control District, is hereby created, established, and incorporated to succeed said former special taxing district known and designated as Indian River Mosquito Control District, and which new special taxing district hereby created and incorporated, to be known as Indian River Mosquito Control District, shall embrace and include all that territory and area situated and being in Indian River County, within the following territorial boundaries, to wit:

All that part of Indian River County, Florida, lying East of the following described line:

86 87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

85

Beginning at the South one-quarter corner of Section 36, Township 33 South, Range 36 East, said point lying on the South Township line and the South boundary line of Indian River County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida; thence run North along the onequarter Section lines of Sections 36 and 25, Township 33 South, Range 36 East to the North line of Section 25, Township 33 South, Range 36 East; thence run East along said North line of Section 25, Township 33 South, Range 36 East to the Northeast corner of Section 25, said point lying on the Range line of Ranges 36 and 37; thence run North along the Range line to the intersection of the North right-of-way of State Road 60; thence run East along the said North right-of-way a distance of 732.15 feet to the East right-of-way of Lateral "S" Canal as shown on the plat of the Fellsmere Farms Company Subdivision of the Unsurveyed Township 32 South, Range 37 East, as recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 8, St. Lucie County Public Records; thence run North along said East right-of-way of Lateral "S" Canal to the intersection of the North boundary line of said Indian River County and the point of ending.

110111112

All of which lands hereinabove described and the owners thereof

Page 4 of 14

shall be entitled to all benefits and subject to all burdens and

obligations as prescribed and set forth herein.

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126127

128

129

130

131

132

Section 2. The governing body of said Indian River
Mosquito Control District shall be known and designated as the
"Board of Commissioners of Indian River Mosquito Control
District." Said governing body shall be composed of three
members, and, until the next election, the following named
persons shall be and are hereby designated to compose the Board
of Commissioners of said Indian River Mosquito Control District:
Janice Broda, David Foster, and Keith D. Hedin. Said governing
body shall have all the powers of a body corporate, including
the power to sue and be sued as a corporation in said name in
any court; to contract; to adopt and use a common seal and alter
the same at pleasure; to purchase, hold, lease, and convey such
real estate and personal property as said board may deem proper
to carry out the purposes of this act; to appoint a chief
engineer, a consulting engineer, and an attorney for said board

this act. The persons herein named and designated shall serve as

commissioners of said Indian River Mosquito Control District

until their successors are elected and qualified. After 2000,

and in subsequent general elections, the commissioners shall be

elected in a nonpartisan election for 4-year terms so that one

and such other agents and employees as said board may require;

bonds therefor; and to enable it to carry out the provisions of

to borrow money and to issue negotiable promissory notes or

commissioner is elected at one general election by the highest

commissioner is elected at one general election by the highest number of votes cast and two commissioners are elected by the

140 first and second highest number of votes cast at the next

Page 5 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

ensuing general election.

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159 160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167 168

Section 3. Each commissioner under this act, before he or she assumes office, shall be required to give to Indian River Mosquito Control District a good and sufficient surety bond in the sum of \$5,000, conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of his or her office, said bond to be approved by and filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Indian River County. Said bond shall also be recorded in the minutes of said Board of Commissioners of said Indian River Mosquito Control District. The failure of any person so elected as commissioner of Indian River Mosquito Control District within 30 days after his or her election to give bond shall create a vacancy as to such commissioner, and such vacancy shall be filled by the Governor appointing a person duly qualified to hold such office, which manner of filling such office shall obtain in the case of resignation, death, or removal from said district of any commissioner during his or her term of office. No person shall be qualified to hold office as a commissioner under this act unless such person shall be a duly qualified elector of said district.

Section 4. As soon as practicable after each general election, the commissioners of Indian River Mosquito Control District, after their qualification as such, shall meet and organize by the election, from among their number, of a chair, a vice chair, and a secretary. Two members of the board shall constitute a quorum. The vote of two members shall be necessary to transact business. The chair and vice chair shall vote at all meetings of the board.

Page 6 of 14

HB 1207

Section 5. The commissioners under this act shall be paid for each day's service and for each mile actually traveled in going to and from the office of the Board of Commissioners of Indian River Mosquito Control District according to per diem compensation expense and mileage rates established from time to time for officials and employees of the state. The per diem herein provided for shall apply to services rendered for inspection of work performed for the district or other services under this act. Additionally, commissioners shall be compensated for regular duties, as provided by general law or special act, at the rate of \$400 per month or such greater amount as may be permitted by general law or special act.

Section 6. The board is hereby authorized to invest its funds from time to time in interest-bearing depositories as prescribed by Florida law.

Section 7. Said board is hereby authorized and empowered to do any and all things necessary for the control and complete elimination of all species of mosquitoes and sandflies and diseases transmitted by the same in said district and, for this purpose, is hereby authorized and empowered to construct and thereafter to maintain canals, ditches, drains, and dikes; to fill in all depressions, lakes, and ponds or marshes that are the breeding places of mosquitoes and sandflies, insofar as said work does not interfere with the water supply of any city or community; and to employ engineers, scientists, helpers, and all other servants, agents, and employees as may be necessary for the purpose of controlling and eliminating all species of mosquitoes and sandflies in said district. Said board is hereby

authorized and empowered to spray or otherwise disburse, or 197 cause to be sprayed or otherwise disbursed, chemicals, 198 substances, and materials of every nature upon and over the area 199 of said district as shall be deemed necessary or desirable for 200 the purpose of controlling and eliminating all species of 201 mosquitoes and sandflies in said district and, for such 202 purposes, may contract for and purchase such chemicals, 203 substances, and materials and may contract for the spraying or 204 disbursing thereof over the area of said district or may employ 205 such agents, servants, and employees for such purpose as the 206 commissioners of said district may deem necessary or advisable; 207 to do any and all things that may be necessary from the 208 standpoint of public health and comfort to control or eliminate 209 mosquitoes and sandflies or their larvae in said district; and 210 211 to promulgate such rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this act and with any of the laws of said 212 state which, in their judgment, may be necessary for the proper 213 carrying into effect and enforcement of this act. 214 Section 8. Said board shall have power and authority to 215 hold, control, and acquire by gift or purchase such real or 216 personal property, and to condemn such lands or easements, as 217 may be necessary for the carrying out of any of the purposes of 218 this act or for the material to be used for any of said purposes 219 and for the preservation of the works constructed or to be 220 constructed by said board under this act. Said board is 221 authorized to exercise the right of eminent domain for the use 222

Page 8 of 14

of said district, in the manner prescribed by law, over such

lands, easements, rights-of-way, riparian rights, and personal

223

224

225 l

property that may be necessary for the purpose of said board in said construction, and the only prerequisite for the exercise of said power of eminent domain shall be the adoption by said board of a resolution specifying the property sought to be condemned and the purpose for which it is to be used. Said board is authorized to sell any real or personal property acquired or owned by it when the same shall be determined to be no longer useful to or suitable for the purposes of said board.

Section 9. Said board is hereby authorized and empowered to purchase goods, supplies, or material for the use of said district without the necessity of advertising any notice or calling for bids regarding said purchase when the amount to be paid therefor by said district does not exceed \$10,000 or when the goods, supplies, or materials to be purchased are obtainable from only one source or supplier.

Section 10. Said board is hereby authorized and empowered to levy upon all the real and personal taxable property in said district a special tax not exceeding 10 mills on the dollar for the year 1947 and for each and every year thereafter, to be used solely in carrying out the purposes of this act. Said levy shall be made not later than the 15th of July of each year by resolution of said board, or a majority thereof, duly entered at large upon its minutes. A certified copy of such resolution executed in the name of said board by its chair and secretary and under its corporate seals shall be delivered or transmitted to the Board of County Commissioners of Indian River County, and a copy shall be transmitted by mail to the Chief Financial Officer not later than August 1 of each year. It shall be the

HB 1207

duty of the Board of County Commissioners of Indian River County 253 to order the property appraiser of said county to assess and the 254 collector of said county to collect the amount of said tax so 255 assessed by the Board of Commissioners of said district upon all 256 the taxable property, real or personal, in said district at the 257 rate of taxation adopted by said board for said year, but not 258 exceeding 10 mills on the dollar and as specified in said 259 resolution, and said levy shall be included in the warrant to 260 the tax collector and the property appraiser which is attached 261 to the assessment roll of taxes for said county each year. The 262 property appraiser shall make such assessment and the tax 263 collector shall collect such taxes so levied in the manner as 264 other taxes are assessed and collected and shall pay the same 265 when collected, within the time and in the manner prescribed by 266 law for the payment of other taxes, to the secretary of said 267 Board of Commissioners. It shall be the duty of said Chief 268 Financial Officer to assess and levy on all the railroad lines 269 and railroad property, telegraph lines and telegraph property, 270 and telephone lines and telephone property the amount of every 271 such levy herewith provided in this section, and as in the case 272 of other state and county taxes, and said taxes so levied by the 273 Chief Financial Officer shall be collected as provided for other 274 similar taxes, and the proceeds thereof shall be remitted to the 275 secretary of said board in the same manner as such remittances 276 are made in the collection of other taxes. If any such taxes so 277 assessed are not paid, the said property shall be sold by said 278 tax collector and certificates issued and tax deeds issued in 279 the same manner and under the same laws relating to the sales, 280

281

282283

284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291

292

293294

295

296

297

298

299300

301 302

303

304

305

306

307

308

issuance of certificates, and deeds with reference to all other state and county taxes. The Board of Commissioners of the Indian River Mosquito Control District is herewith authorized to borrow in any one tax year a sum not to exceed 80 percent of the estimated taxes to be collected on behalf of said district within such year and to evidence such loan made to said district by its tax anticipation note or notes bearing interest at a rate not to exceed 10 percent per annum, and which notes shall be payable at a time not greater than 1 year from the date of the borrowing of such moneys; the sums so borrowed shall be repaid out of the next taxes collected by said district to the extent necessary for the repayment thereof, together with such interest at a rate not to exceed 6 percent per annum; and no sums shall be borrowed as herewith provided in any subsequent year unless all moneys so borrowed in any preceding year shall have been entirely paid as to both principal and interest. Section 11. Said board is hereby required to secure and

Section 11. Said board is hereby required to secure and keep in force in companies duly authorized to do business in Florida insurance covering liability for property damage or bodily injury or death resulting therefrom to all persons and property by reason of the ownership, maintenance, operation, or use of any vehicle, dragline, dredge, tractor, and related equipment being used for and in the interest of the purpose of said board in amounts not less than \$50,000 for bodily injury or death resulting therefrom to any one person, and not less than \$100,000 for bodily injury or death resulting therefrom for any one accident, and not less than \$25,000 for damage to property.

Section 12. The title to and jurisdiction over all

Page 11 of 14

property of Indian River Mosquito Control District herewith 309 abolished, of every kind, nature, or description, is herewith 310 transferred to and vested in the new Indian River Mosquito 311 Control District herewith created. All lawful debts or 312 obligations of the old Indian River Mosquito Control District 313 herewith abolished are herewith made the debts and obligations 314 of the new district herewith created, and all claims, demands, 315 or credits held by said old district are herewith transferred to 316 317 and made the claims, demands, and credits of said new district. No obligation or contract of said old district shall be impaired 318 by this act, but rather all obligations or contracts of said old 319 district are herewith made the obligations and contracts of the 320 321 new district herewith created. All acts of the Board of Commissioners of the old Indian River Mosquito Control District 322 herewith abolished and of the agents, servants, and employees of 323 said Board of Commissioners of said old district and of said 324 325 district are herewith ratified and confirmed. Section 13. Whoever shall willfully damage any ditch, 326 canal, drain, dike, or other work established or constructed 327 under this act or who shall willfully interfere with or injure 328 any property, work, or facility established or constructed under 329 this act or who shall willfully interfere with the acts of any 330 commissioner of said district or any person lawfully authorized 331 or employed by the Board of Commissioners of this district in 332 carrying out the provisions of this act commits a misdemeanor 333 and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished as provided by 334 335 general law.

Page 12 of 14

The Board of Commissioners of the Indian

Section 14. (1)

336

River Mosquito Control District is hereby authorized, empowered, and permitted to provide for life, health, accident, and hospitalization insurance, or an annuity insurance, or all or any of such insurance for employees of said district, for the director or assistant director, or for members of said board, or for the families of such employees, for the family of the director or assistant director, or for the families of the members of the board, and to that end to enter into agreements with insurance companies to provide such insurance. The election to exercise the authority granted by this act shall be evidenced by resolution duly recorded in the minutes of the Board of Commissioners of Indian River Mosquito Control District.

- (2) The Board of Commissioners of Indian River Mosquito
  Control District is authorized to budget from and pay out of
  appropriate funds any portion of the cost of such insurance and
  to deduct from the wages of employees, the director and
  assistant director, and the members of the board who in writing
  make request for such insurance the balance of such cost and to
  pay or remit the same directly to the insurance company issuing
  such insurance.
- (3) The participation in such insurance by any employee, director or assistant director, or member of said board shall be entirely voluntary at all times. Any enrolled member of such insurance plan may, upon any pay day, withdraw or retire from such plan upon giving the Board of Commissioners written notice thereof and directing the discontinuance of deductions from wages in payment of such premiums.

Section 15. In case any one or more of the sections or

Page 13 of 14

2006 HB 1207

365	provisions of this act or the application of such sections or
366	provisions to any situation, circumstance, or person shall for
367	any reason be held to be unconstitutional, such
368	unconstitutionality shall not affect any other sections or
369	provisions to any other situation, circumstance, or person, and
370	it is intended that this law shall be construed and applied as
371	if such section or provision had not been included herein for
372	any unconstitutional application.
373	Section 4. Chapters 11128 (1925), 14381 (1929), 20114
374	(1939), 20494 (1941), 21048 (1941), 24600 (1947), 61-2278, 63-
375	1433, 73-497, 76-388, 78-531, and 91-337, Laws of Florida, are
376	repealed.
377	Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. 1207

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION	
ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
OTHER		

Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee Representative(s) Poppell offered the following:

#### Amendment

Remove line(s) 119 through 136 and insert:

members, and shall have all the powers of a body corporate, including the power to sue and be sued as a corporation in said name in any court; to contract; to adopt and use a common seal and alter the same at pleasure; to purchase, hold, lease, and convey such real estate and personal property as said board may deem proper to carry out the purposes of this act; to appoint a chief engineer, a consulting engineer, and an attorney for said board and such other agents and employees as said board may require; to borrow money and to issue negotiable promissory notes or bonds therefor; and to enable it to carry out the provisions of this act. The commissioners shall be

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1233 CS

**SPONSOR(S):** Ausley

Early Learning

**TIED BILLS:** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2376

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee	10 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Beagle	Mizereck
2) Finance & Tax Committee		Rice ACP	Diez-Arguelles
3) Education Appropriations Committee			
4) Education Council		-	
5)			

# **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill requires the Early Learning Advisory Council (ELAC), in conjunction with the Agency for Workforce Innovation, to contract for a study of early learning quality rating and incentive systems.

The bill requires the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to conduct a study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the Department of Children and Family Services.

The bill also requires OPPAGA, in cooperation with ELAC, to conduct a study of the effectiveness of the Child Care Executive Partnership Act.

Each study must be submitted to the Governor, President of the Senate, and Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1233b.FT.doc 3/27/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

This bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

# B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Current Situation:**

Section 411.01(4), F.S., requires the Agency for Workforce Innovation (AWI) to administer the state's early learning programs. AWI is responsible for maintaining and improving the quality of publicly funded early learning programs. Federal regulations governing the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) require that at least four percent of federal funding from CCDF be devoted to quality initiatives. Florida currently spends \$24.2 million, constituting 5.4 percent of its CCDF funds, on quality initiatives.

According to AWI, there is no state system in place that rates or provides incentives to providers based on higher quality services or performance. However, early learning service providers that meet certain national standards may earn a Gold Seal Quality Care designation pursuant to s. 402.281, F.S.

Section 409.178, F.S., the Child Care Executive Partnership Act, establishes the Child Care Executive Partnership Program. The Child Care Executive Partnership Program uses state and federal funds to match local funds derived from various sources, to create community based partnerships with employers and provide child care subsidies to low-income working parents. The Legislature is required to annually review the effectiveness of the program and reevaluate the percentage of additional state or federal funds, if any, that can be used for the program's expansion.

# Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill requires Early Learning Advisory Council (ELAC), in conjunction with AWI, to contract for a study of early learning quality rating and incentive systems in Florida and other states. The study must provide recommendations on:

- An early learning quality incentives and rating system with uniform standards and financial incentives for participating providers; and
- The establishment, cost and benefits of a quality incentive pilot program.

The bill requires the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to conduct a study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the Department of Children and Family Services (DCF). The study must include recommendations for the appropriate administrative and operational structures and state agency to regulate child care in Florida.

The bill also requires OPPAGA, in cooperation with ELAC, to conduct a study of the effectiveness of the Child Care Executive Partnership Act that includes a comparison of the funds expended and return on investment.

Each study with required recommendations must be submitted to the Governor, President of the Senate, and Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007.

STORAGE NAME:

h1233b.FT.doc 3/27/2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 45 C.F.R. § 98.51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Agency for Workforce Innovation, Legislative Bill Analysis for SB 2376.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates an unnumbered section of law requiring ELAC to contract for a study.

Section 2. Creates an unnumbered section of law requiring OPPAGA to study the Child Care Services Program Office of the DCF.

Section 3. Creates an unnumbered section of law requiring OPPAGA to study the effectiveness of the Child Care Executive Partnership Act.

Section 4. Provides that the bill is effective upon becoming law.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

AWI, ELAC, and OPPAGA will incur costs associated with conducting the required studies.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

# C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill requires the Florida Early Learning Advisory Council, in conjunction with the Agency for Workforce Innovation to contract with one or more experts in the field of quality incentives and rating systems to conduct a study. This bill does not provide funding for this contract.

# III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require a municipality or county to expend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate. The bill does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with municipalities or counties.

2. Other:

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 21, 2006, the PreK-12 Committee adopted a strike-all amendment. This bill analysis reflects the bill as amended.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h1233b.FT.doc 3/27/2006 HB 1233

#### CHAMBER ACTION

The PreK-12 Committee recommends the following:

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

# Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to early learning; requiring a study by the Florida Early Learning Advisory Council, in conjunction with the Agency for Workforce Innovation, to examine early learning quality incentives and rating systems; establishing study requirements; requiring recommendations to the Governor and Legislature; requiring the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability to study the administration and regulation of child care services; requiring recommendations to the Governor and Legislature; requiring the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability, in cooperation with the Florida Early Learning Advisory Council, to study the effectiveness of the Child Care Executive Partnership Act; requiring recommendations to the Governor and Legislature; providing an effective date.

20 21

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

23

22

Page 1 of 3

2006

CS

2006 HB 1233 CS

Section 1. Early learning quality incentives study .--The Florida Early Learning Advisory Council, in conjunction with the Agency for Workforce Innovation, shall contract with one or more nationally known experts on quality incentives and rating systems to conduct a study that includes the following: (a) Examination of existing early learning quality

incentives and rating systems in the state.

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

- (b) Examination of early learning quality incentives and rating systems in other states, including, but not limited to, systems in North Carolina and Oklahoma.
- (c) Recommendations for an early learning quality incentives and rating system that includes uniform standards, measures inputs and outputs, and provides financial incentives for participating providers.
- (d) Recommendations on the establishment, cost, and benefits of an early learning quality incentives pilot program.
- The study shall seek input from representatives from each of the following categories:
- Large, medium, and small children's services councils (a) with an appropriate mix from urban and rural counties.
- Large, medium, and small early learning coalitions with an appropriate mix from urban and rural counties.
  - Early learning services providers. (c)
  - (d) Private child care provider associations.
  - (e) Faith-based child care provider associations.
- (f) Community colleges and state universities with a child 50 51 l care training component.

Page 2 of 3

HB 1233 2006 **CS** 

(g) Parents who currently have children in an early learning program.

(3) The Florida Early Learning Advisory Council shall submit its recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007.

Government Accountability shall conduct a study of the administrative and operational structure of the Child Care Services Program Office of the Department of Children and Family Services that will include a review of all resources supporting the office as well as a review of child care services offices in other states. The findings of the study shall include a recommendation regarding the appropriate administrative and operational structures and the appropriate state agency to administer child care regulation functions. The study shall be submitted to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007.

Section 3. The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability, in cooperation with the Florida Early Learning Advisory Council, shall conduct a study of the effectiveness of the Child Care Executive Partnership Act, s. 409.178, Florida Statutes, including, but not limited to, a comparison of the funds expended and the return on investment, and shall provide recommendations in a report to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by February 15, 2007.

Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. Page 3 of 3

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1269

**Local Occupational License Taxes** 

SPONSOR(S): Cusack **TIED BILLS:** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 2218

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Finance & Tax Committee		Rice ACR	Diez-Arguelles
2) Local Government Council			
3) Fiscal Council			
4)			
5)		·	

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Under current law, a county or municipality may, by resolution or ordinance, impose an occupational license tax for the privilege of engaging in or managing a business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction.

This bill changes the name of the "Local Occupational License Tax Act" to the "Local Business Tax Act" and conforms the name change throughout ch. 205, F.S.

This bill takes effect July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

h1269.FT.doc

DATE:

3/14/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the house principles.

### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

### **Current Situation**

Chapter 205, F.S., is the Local Occupational License Act. In 1972 the Florida Legislature elected to stop administering occupational license taxes at the state level and gave the authority to local governments. Local governments have since been authorized to levy occupational license taxes according to the provisions of the Local Occupational License Act.

Under current law, a county or municipality may, by resolution or ordinance, impose an occupational license tax for the privilege of engaging in or managing a business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction.

Licenses are sold by the tax collector beginning on August 1 of each year, are due and payable on or before September 30 of each year, and expire on September 30 of the succeeding year.

The amount of the tax and the occupations and businesses the tax is imposed on are determined at the discretion of the local government within the limitations of ch. 205, F.S.

## Licensure Requirements

Section 205.194, F.S., prohibits local governments from issuing occupational licenses for professions regulated by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) without verifying that the person has satisfied DBPR requirements. Applicants are required to submit proof of registration, certification, or licensure issued by DBPR upon initial licensure in the jurisdiction. By August 1 of each year, DBPR is required to supply local officials with a list of the professions it regulates and persons that should not be allowed to renew their occupational license due to suspension, revocation, or inactivation of licensure, certification, or registration. DBPR currently regulates the following:

- certified public accountants and accounting businesses,
- alarm system contractors,
- asbestos consultants and contractors.
- · athlete agents,
- auctioneers and their businesses,
- barbers and barber shops,
- building code administrators and inspectors,
- constructing contractors and constructing contracting businesses,
- community association managers,
- cosmetologists and cosmetology salons,
- electrical contractors.
- employee leasing,
- farm labor,
- geologists and geologist businesses,
- landscape architects and landscape architect businesses,
- pilots (harbor),
- surveyors and mappers and surveyor and mapper businesses,

STORAGE NAME:

- talent agencies, and
- veterinarians and veterinary establishments.

Section 205.023, F.S., prohibits the issuance of an occupational license to an applicant that does not provide proof of any applicable fictitious name registrations with the Division of Corporations in the Department of State.

Sections 205.1965, 205.1969, 205.1971, and 205.1973, F.S., require additional verification from pest control businesses, health studios and ballroom dance studios, businesses engaged in the selling of travel, and telemarketing businesses. These businesses must provide verification of licensure, registration, or exemption by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services before a local government may issue a local occupational license.

Section 205.196, F.S., requires pharmacies to produce a current permit from the Board of Pharmacy before a local government may issue a local occupational license.

Section 205.1965, F.S., requires that assisted living facilities must provide verification of licensure from the Agency for Health Care Administration before a local government may issue a local occupational license.

## Other

Currently 368 of the 404 municipalities and 52 of the 67 counties in Florida have some sort of local occupational license tax in place.<sup>1</sup>

Although the local occupational license tax is meant to be purely revenue producing in nature, it has unintentionally become a measure of profession and business qualification to engage in a specified activity. This bill intends to rename the act to reflect that the business or individual has merely paid a tax and it alone does not authenticate the qualifications of a business or individual.

## **Proposed Changes**

This bill changes the name of the act from "Local Occupational License Tax Act" to "Local Business Tax Act" and conforms the name change throughout.

The bill also adds a definition for "certificate" to mean the document that is issued by the local governing authority which evidences that the person in whose name the document is issued has complied with the provisions of the Local Business Tax Act.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 205.013, F.S., to reflect that the "Local Occupational License Tax Act" is renamed as the "Local Business Tax Act".

Section 2: Amends s. 205.022, F.S., to revise and add definitions; and conform name changes.

Section 3: Amends s. 205.023, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 4: Amends s. 205.0315, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 5: Amends s. 205.032, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 6: Amends s. 205.033, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 7: Amends s. 205.042, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 8: Amends s. 205.043, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 9: Amends s. 205.045, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 10: Amends s. 205.053, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 11: Amends s. 205.0532, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 12: Amends s. 205.0535, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 13: Amends s. 205.0536, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 14: Amends s. 205.0537, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 15: Amends s. 205.054, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 16: Amends s. 205.063, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 17: Amends s. 205.064, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 18: Amends s. 205.065, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 19: Amends s. 205.162, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 20: Amends s. 205.171, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 21: Amends s. 205.191, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 22: Amends s. 205.192, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 23: Amends s. 205.193, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 24: Amends s. 205.194, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 25: Amends s. 205.196, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 26: Amends s. 205.1965, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 27: Amends s. 205.1967, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 28: Amends s. 205.1969, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 29: Amends s. 205.1971, F.S., to conform name changes. Section 30: Amends s. 205.1973, F.S., to conform name changes.

Section 31: Provides that the bill takes effect July 1, 2006.

- II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
  - 1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h1269.FT.doc 3/14/2006 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

None

2. Other:

None

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The use of the term "occupational license" is optional. For example, the City of Tallahassee currently refers to its occupational license as a business certificate.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None

h1269.FT.doc 3/14/2006

A bill to be entitled

1 2

An act relating to local occupational license taxes; amending ch. 205, F.S., consisting of ss. 205.013-205.1973, F.S.; changing the term "local occupational

license tax" to "business tax"; defining the term
"certificate" as it relates to business taxes; amending

provisions to conform; providing an effective date.

8

3

4

5

6 7

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

Section 1. Section 205.013, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.013 Short title.--This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the "Local Business Occupational License Tax Act."

Section 2. Section 205.022, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.022 Definitions.--When used in this chapter, the following terms and phrases shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except when the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

- (1)(6) "Business," "profession," and "occupation" do not include the customary religious, charitable, or educational activities of nonprofit religious, nonprofit charitable, and nonprofit educational institutions in this state, which institutions are more particularly defined and limited as follows:
- (a) "Religious institutions" means churches and ecclesiastical or denominational organizations or established

Page 1 of 30

physical places for worship in this state at which nonprofit religious services and activities are regularly conducted and carried on, and also means church cemeteries.

- (b) "Educational institutions" means state tax-supported or parochial, church and nonprofit private schools, colleges, or universities conducting regular classes and courses of study required for accreditation by or membership in the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, the Department of Education, or the Florida Council of Independent Schools. Nonprofit libraries, art galleries, and museums open to the public are defined as educational institutions and eligible for exemption.
- (c) "Charitable institutions" means only nonprofit corporations operating physical facilities in this state at which are provided charitable services, a reasonable percentage of which are without cost to those unable to pay.
- (2) "Certificate" means the document that is issued by the local governing authority which evidences that the person in whose name the document is issued has complied with the provisions of this chapter relating to the business tax.
- (3) "Classification" means the method by which a business or group of businesses is identified by size or type, or both.
- (4)(7) "Enterprise zone" means an area designated as an enterprise zone pursuant to s. 290.0065. This subsection expires on the date specified in s. 290.016 for the expiration of the Florida Enterprise Zone Act.
  - (5) (1) "Local <u>business tax occupational license</u>" means the Page 2 of 30

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

fees charged and the method by which a local governing authority grants the privilege of engaging in or managing any business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction. It does not mean any fees or licenses paid to any board, commission, or officer for permits, registration, examination, or inspection. Unless otherwise provided by law, these are deemed to be regulatory and in addition to, but not in lieu of, any local business tax occupational license imposed under the provisions of this chapter.

- (6)(2) "Local governing authority" means the governing body of any county or incorporated municipality of this state.
- (7)(3) "Person" means any individual, firm, partnership, joint adventure, syndicate, or other group or combination acting as a unit, association, corporation, estate, trust, business trust, trustee, executor, administrator, receiver, or other fiduciary, and includes the plural as well as the singular.
- (8)(4) "Taxpayer" means any person liable for taxes imposed under the provisions of this chapter; any agent required to file and pay any taxes imposed hereunder; and the heirs, successors, assignees, and transferees of any such person or agent.
- Section 3. Section 205.023, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 205.023 Requirement to report status of fictitious name registration.--As a prerequisite to receiving a local <u>business</u> tax certificate occupational license under this chapter or transferring a business license under s. 205.033(2) or s. 205.043(2), the applicant or new owner must present to the

Page 3 of 30

county or municipality that has jurisdiction to issue or transfer the certificate license either:

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

to read:

- (1) A copy of the applicant's or new owner's current fictitious name registration, issued by the Division of Corporations of the Department of State; or
- (2) A written statement, signed by the applicant or new owner, which sets forth the reason that the applicant or new owner need not comply with the Fictitious Name Act.

Section 4. Section 205.0315, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.0315 Ordinance adoption after October 1, 1995.--Beginning October 1, 1995, a county or municipality that has not adopted a business an occupational license tax ordinance or resolution may adopt a business an occupational license tax ordinance. The business occupational license tax rate structure and classifications in the adopted ordinance must be reasonable and based upon the rate structure and classifications prescribed in ordinances adopted by adjacent local governments that have implemented s. 205.0535. If no adjacent local government has implemented s. 205.0535, or if the governing body of the county or municipality finds that the rate structures or classifications of adjacent local governments are unreasonable, the rate structure or classifications prescribed in its ordinance may be based upon those prescribed in ordinances adopted by local governments that have implemented s. 205.0535 in counties or municipalities that have a comparable population. Section 5. Section 205.032, Florida Statutes, is amended

Page 4 of 30

205.032 Levy; counties.--The governing body of a county may levy, by appropriate resolution or ordinance, a business an occupational license tax for the privilege of engaging in or managing any business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction. However, the governing body must first give at least 14 days' public notice between the first and last reading of the resolution or ordinance by publishing a notice in a newspaper of general circulation within its jurisdiction as defined by law. The public notice must contain the proposed classifications and rates applicable to the occupational license tax.

Section 6. Section 205.033, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.033 Conditions for levy; counties.--

- (1) The following conditions are imposed on the authority of a county governing body to levy <u>a business</u> an occupational license tax:
- (a) The tax must be based upon reasonable classifications and must be uniform throughout any class.
- (b) Unless the county implements s. 205.0535 or adopts a new <u>business</u> occupational license tax ordinance under s. 205.0315, <u>a business</u> an occupational license tax levied under this subsection may not exceed the rate provided by this chapter in effect for the year beginning October 1, 1971; however, beginning October 1, 1980, the county governing body may increase <u>business</u> occupational license taxes authorized by this chapter. The amount of the increase above the <del>license</del> tax rate levied on October 1, 1971, for <del>license</del> taxes levied at a flat

Page 5 of 30

rate may be up to 100 percent for <u>business</u> occupational license taxes that are \$100 or less; 50 percent for <u>business</u> occupational license taxes that are between \$101 and \$300; and 25 percent for <u>business</u> occupational license taxes that are more than \$300. Beginning October 1, 1982, the increase may not exceed 25 percent for <u>license</u> taxes levied at graduated or per unit rates. Authority to increase <u>business</u> occupational license taxes does not apply to licenses or certificates granted to any utility franchised by the county for which a franchise fee is paid.

- (c) A <u>certificate</u> <u>license</u> is not valid for more than 1 year, and all <u>certificates</u> <u>licenses</u> expire on September 30 of each year, except as otherwise provided by law.
- (2) Any <u>certificate</u> business license may be transferred to a new owner, when there is a bona fide sale of the business, upon payment of a transfer fee of up to 10 percent of the annual <u>business</u> license tax, but not less than \$3 nor more than \$25, and presentation of the original <u>certificate</u> license and evidence of the sale.
- (3) Upon written request and presentation of the original certificate license, any certificate license may be transferred from one location to another location in the same county upon payment of a transfer fee of up to 10 percent of the annual business license tax, but not less than \$3 nor more than \$25.
- (4) The revenues derived from the <u>business</u> <del>occupational</del> <del>license</del> tax, exclusive of the costs of collection and any credit given for municipal <u>business</u> <del>license</del> taxes, shall be apportioned between the unincorporated area of the county and the

Page 6 of 30

incorporated municipalities located therein by a ratio derived by dividing their respective populations by the population of the county. This subsection does not apply to counties that have established a new rate structure under s. 205.0535.

- (5) The revenues so apportioned shall be sent to the governing authority of each municipality, according to its ratio, and to the governing authority of the county, according to the ratio of the unincorporated area, within 15 days following the month of receipt. This subsection does not apply to counties that have established a new rate structure under s. 205.0535.
- (6)(a) Each county, as defined in s. 125.011(1), or any county adjacent thereto may levy and collect, by an ordinance enacted by the governing body of the county, an additional business occupational license tax up to 50 percent of the appropriate business license tax imposed under subsection (1).
- (b) Subsections (4) and (5) do not apply to any revenues derived from the additional tax imposed under this subsection. Proceeds from the additional <u>business license</u> tax must be placed in a separate interest-earning account, and the governing body of the county shall distribute this revenue, plus accrued interest, each fiscal year to an organization or agency designated by the governing body of the county to oversee and implement a comprehensive economic development strategy through advertising, promotional activities, and other sales and marketing techniques.
- (c) An ordinance that levies an additional <u>business</u> occupational license tax under this subsection may not be

Page 7 of 30

adopted after January 1, 1995.

(7) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter, the revenue received from a county <u>business</u> occupational license tax may be used for overseeing and implementing a comprehensive economic development strategy through advertising, promotional activities, and other sales and marketing techniques.

Section 7. Section 205.042, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.042 Levy; municipalities.--The governing body of an incorporated municipality may levy, by appropriate resolution or ordinance, a business an occupational license tax for the privilege of engaging in or managing any business, profession, or occupation within its jurisdiction. However, the governing body must first give at least 14 days' public notice between the first and last reading of the resolution or ordinance by publishing the notice in a newspaper of general circulation within its jurisdiction as defined by law. The notice must contain the proposed classifications and rates applicable to the business occupational license tax. The business occupational license tax may be levied on:

- (1) Any person who maintains a permanent business location or branch office within the municipality, for the privilege of engaging in or managing any business within its jurisdiction.
- (2) Any person who maintains a permanent business location or branch office within the municipality, for the privilege of engaging in or managing any profession or occupation within its jurisdiction.
  - (3) Any person who does not qualify under subsection (1) Page 8 of 30

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

or subsection (2) and who transacts any business or engages in any occupation or profession in interstate commerce, if the <a href="mailto:business">business</a> license tax is not prohibited by s. 8, Art. I of the United States Constitution.

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

Section 8. Section 205.043, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.043 Conditions for levy; municipalities.--

- (1) The following conditions are imposed on the authority of a municipal governing body to levy a business an occupational license tax:
- (a) The tax must be based upon reasonable classifications and must be uniform throughout any class.
- Unless the municipality implements s. 205.0535 or adopts a new business occupational license tax ordinance under s. 205.0315, a business an occupational license tax levied under this subsection may not exceed the rate in effect in the municipality for the year beginning October 1, 1971; however, beginning October 1, 1980, the municipal governing body may increase business <del>occupational license</del> taxes authorized by this chapter. The amount of the increase above the license tax rate levied on October 1, 1971, for <del>license</del> taxes levied at a flat rate may be up to 100 percent for business occupational license taxes that are \$100 or less; 50 percent for business occupational license taxes that are between \$101 and \$300; and 25 percent for business <del>occupational license</del> taxes that are more than \$300. Beginning October 1, 1982, an increase may not exceed 25 percent for <del>license</del> taxes levied at graduated or per unit rates. Authority to increase business occupational license taxes

Page 9 of 30

does not apply to <u>certificates or</u> licenses granted to any utility franchised by the municipality for which a franchise fee is paid.

(c) A <u>certificate license</u> is not valid for more than 1 year and all <u>certificates</u> <u>licenses</u> expire on September 30 of each year, except as otherwise provided by law.

- (2) Any business <u>certificate</u> license may be transferred to a new owner, when there is a bona fide sale of the business, upon payment of a transfer fee of up to 10 percent of the annual license tax, but not less than \$3 nor more than \$25, and presentation of the original <u>certificate</u> license and evidence of the sale.
- (3) Upon written request and presentation of the original certificate license, any certificate license may be transferred from one location to another location in the same municipality upon payment of a transfer fee of up to 10 percent of the annual license tax, but not less than \$3 nor more than \$25.
- (4) If the governing body of the county in which the municipality is located has levied a business an occupational license tax or subsequently levies such a tax, the collector of the county tax may issue the certificate license and collect the tax thereon.
- Section 9. Section 205.045, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 205.045 Transfer of administrative duties.--The governing body of a municipality that levies <u>a business</u> an occupational license tax may request that the county in which the municipality is located issue the municipal <u>certificate</u> license

Page 10 of 30

and collect the tax thereon. The governing body of a county that levies a business an occupational license tax may request that municipalities within the county issue the county certificate license and collect the tax thereon. Before any local government may issue certificates occupational licenses on behalf of another local government, appropriate agreements must be entered into by the affected local governments.

Section 10. Section 205.053, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.053 <u>Business tax certificates</u> <del>Occupational licenses</del>; dates due and delinquent; penalties.--

- by the appropriate tax collector beginning August 1 of each year, are due and payable on or before September 30 of each year, and expire on September 30 of the succeeding year. If September 30 falls on a weekend or holiday, the tax is due and payable on or before the first working day following September 30. Provisions for partial certificates licenses may be made in the resolution or ordinance authorizing such certificates licenses. Certificates licenses that are not renewed when due and payable are delinquent and subject to a delinquency penalty of 10 percent for the month of October, plus an additional 5 percent penalty for each subsequent month of delinquency until paid. However, the total delinquency penalty may not exceed 25 percent of the business occupational license tax for the delinquent establishment.
- (2) Any person who engages in or manages any business, occupation, or profession without first obtaining a local

Page 11 of 30

<u>certificate</u> occupational license, if required, is subject to a penalty of 25 percent of the <u>tax</u> license due, in addition to any other penalty provided by law or ordinance.

- (3) Any person who engages in any business, occupation, or profession covered by this chapter, who does not pay the required business occupational license tax within 150 days after the initial notice of tax due, and who does not obtain the required certificate occupational license is subject to civil actions and penalties, including court costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, additional administrative costs incurred as a result of collection efforts, and a penalty of up to \$250.
- Section 11. Section 205.0532, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 205.0532 Revocation or refusal to renew; doing business with Cuba.--Any local governing authority issuing a business tax certificate an occupational license to any individual, business, or entity under this chapter may revoke or refuse to renew such certificate license if the individual, business, or entity, or parent company of such individual, business, or entity, is doing business with Cuba.
- Section 12. Section 205.0535, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 205.0535 Reclassification and rate structure revisions. --
- (1) By October 1, 1995, any municipality or county may, by ordinance, reclassify businesses, professions, and occupations and may establish new rate structures, if the conditions specified in subsections (2) and (3) are met. A person who is engaged in the business of providing local exchange telephone

Page 12 of 30

service or a pay telephone service in a municipality or in the unincorporated area of a county and who pays the <u>business</u> occupational license tax under the category designated for telephone companies or a pay telephone service provider certified pursuant to s. 364.3375 is deemed to have but one place of business or business location in each municipality or unincorporated area of a county. Pay telephone service providers may not be assessed <u>a business</u> an occupational license tax on a per-instrument basis.

- ordinance, the municipality or county must establish an equity study commission and appoint its members. Each member of the study commission must be a representative of the business community within the local government's jurisdiction. Each equity study commission shall recommend to the appropriate local government a classification system and rate structure for business local occupational license taxes.
- (3) (a) After the reclassification and rate structure revisions have been transmitted to and considered by the appropriate local governing body, it may adopt by majority vote a new <u>business</u> occupational license tax ordinance. Except that a minimum license tax of up to \$25 is permitted, the reclassification <u>may shall</u> not increase the occupational license tax by more than the following: for <u>certificates licenses</u> costing \$150 or less, 200 percent; for <u>certificates licenses</u> costing more than \$150 but not more than \$500, 100 percent; for <u>certificates licenses</u> costing more than \$500, 75 percent; for <u>certificates licenses</u> costing more than \$500 but not more than

Page 13 of 30

\$2,500 but not more than \$10,000, 50 percent; and for certificates licenses costing more than \$10,000, 10 percent; however, in no case may the tax on any certificate license be increased more than \$5,000.

- (b) The total annual revenue generated by the new rate structure for the fiscal year following the fiscal year during which the rate structure is adopted may not exceed:
- 1. For municipalities, the sum of the revenue base and 10 percent of that revenue base. The revenue base is the sum of the business occupational license tax revenue generated by certificates licenses issued for the most recently completed local fiscal year or the amount of revenue that would have been generated from the authorized increases under s. 205.043(1)(b), whichever is greater, plus any revenue received from the county under s. 205.033(4).
- 2. For counties, the sum of the revenue base, 10 percent of that revenue base, and the amount of revenue distributed by the county to the municipalities under s. 205.033(4) during the most recently completed local fiscal year. The revenue base is the <u>business</u> occupational license tax revenue generated by <u>certificates</u> licenses issued for the most recently completed local fiscal year or the amount of revenue that would have been generated from the authorized increases under s. 205.033(1)(b), whichever is greater, but may not include any revenues distributed to municipalities under s. 205.033(4).
- (c) In addition to the revenue increases authorized by paragraph (b), revenue increases attributed to the increases in the number of <u>certificates licenses</u> issued are authorized.

Page 14 of 30

(4) After the conditions specified in subsections (2) and (3) are met, municipalities and counties may, every other year thereafter, increase by ordinance the rates of <u>business</u> <del>local</del> <del>occupational license</del> taxes by up to 5 percent. The increase, however, may not be enacted by less than a majority plus one vote of the governing body.

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414 415

416

417

418

419

420

(5) A certificate may not No license shall be issued unless the federal employer identification number or social security number is obtained from the person to be <u>taxed</u> licensed.

Section 13. Section 205.0536, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.0536 Distribution of county revenues. -- A county that establishes a new rate structure under s. 205.0535 shall retain all business occupational license tax revenues collected from businesses, professions, or occupations whose places of business are located within the unincorporated portions of the county. Any business occupational license tax revenues collected by a county that establishes a new rate structure under s. 205.0535 from businesses, professions, or occupations whose places of business are located within a municipality, exclusive of the costs of collection, must be apportioned between the unincorporated area of the county and the incorporated municipalities located therein by a ratio derived by dividing their respective populations by the population of the county. As used in this section, the term "population" means the latest official state estimate of population certified under s. 186.901. The revenues so apportioned shall be sent to the

Page 15 of 30

governing authority of each municipality, according to its ratio, and to the governing authority of the county, according to the ratio of the unincorporated area, within 15 days after the month of receipt.

421

422

423 424

425 426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442443

444

445

446

447

448

Section 14. Section 205.0537, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.0537 Vending and amusement machines. -- The business premises where a coin-operated or token-operated vending machine that dispenses products, merchandise, or services or where an amusement or game machine is operated must assure that any required municipal or county business tax certificate occupational license for the machine is secured. The term "vending machine" does not include coin-operated telephone sets owned by persons who are in the business of providing local exchange telephone service and who pay the business tax occupational license under the category designated for telephone companies in the municipality or county or a pay telephone service provider certified pursuant to s. 364.3375. The business license tax for vending and amusement machines must be assessed based on the highest number of machines located on the business premises on any single day during the previous certification licensing year or, in the case of new businesses, be based on an estimate for the current year. Replacement of one vending machine with another machine during a certification licensing year does not affect the tax assessment for that year, unless the replacement machine belongs to a business an occupational license tax classification that requires a higher tax rate. For the first year in which a municipality or county assesses a

Page 16 of 30

<u>business</u> an occupational license tax on vending machines, each business owning machines located in the municipality or county must notify the municipality or county, upon request, of the location of such machines. Each business owning machines must provide notice of the provisions of this section to each affected business premises where the machines are located. The business premises must secure the <u>certificate license</u> if it is not otherwise secured.

Section 15. Section 205.054, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.054 <u>Business</u> <del>Occupational license</del> tax; partial exemption for engaging in business or occupation in enterprise zone.--

- (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 205.033(1)(a) or s. 205.043(1)(a), the governing body of a county or municipality may authorize by appropriate resolution or ordinance, adopted pursuant to the procedure established in s. 205.032 or s. 205.042, the exemption of 50 percent of the <u>business</u> occupational license tax levied for the privilege of engaging in or managing any business, profession, or occupation in the respective jurisdiction of the county or municipality when such privilege is exercised at a permanent business location or branch office located in an enterprise zone.
- (2) Such exemption applies to each classification for which a business tax certificate an occupational license is required in the jurisdiction. Classifications shall be the same in an enterprise zone as elsewhere in the jurisdiction. Each county or municipal business tax certificate occupational

Page 17 of 30

license issued with the exemption authorized in this section shall be in the same general form as the other county or municipal business tax certificates occupational licenses and shall expire at the same time as those other certificates licenses expire as fixed by law. Any certificate license issued with the exemption authorized in this section is nontransferable. The exemption authorized in this section does not apply to any penalty authorized in s. 205.053.

- municipality which provides the exemption authorized in this section shall issue to each person who may be entitled to the exemption a certificate license pursuant to the provisions contained in this section. Before a certificate license with such exemption is issued to an applicant, the tax collecting authority must, in each case, be provided proof that the applicant is entitled to such exemption. Such proof shall be made by means of a statement filed under oath with the tax collecting authority, which statement indicates that the permanent business location or branch office of the applicant is located in an enterprise zone of a jurisdiction which has authorized the exemption permitted in this section.
- (4) Any certificate license obtained with the exemption authorized in this subsection by the commission of fraud upon the issuing authority is shall be deemed null and void. Any person who has fraudulently obtained such exemption and thereafter engages, under color of the certificate license, in any business, profession, or occupation requiring the certificate license is subject to prosecution for engaging in a

Page 18 of 30

business, profession, or occupation without having the required certificate <del>license</del> under the laws of the state.

- (5) If In the event an area nominated as an enterprise zone pursuant to s. 290.0055 has not yet been designated pursuant to s. 290.0065, the governing body of a county or municipality may enact the appropriate ordinance or resolution authorizing the exemption permitted in this section; however, such ordinance or resolution will not be effective until such area is designated pursuant to s. 290.0065.
- (6) This section expires on the date specified in s.

  290.016 for the expiration of the Florida Enterprise Zone Act;
  and a certificate may not no license shall be issued with the exemption authorized in this section for any period beginning on or after that date.

Section 16. Section 205.063, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.063 Exemptions; motor vehicles.--Vehicles used by any person certified licensed under this chapter for the sale and delivery of tangible personal property at either wholesale or retail from his or her place of business on which a business tax license is paid may shall not be construed to be separate places of business, and a business tax no license may not be levied on such vehicles or the operators thereof as salespersons or otherwise by a county or incorporated municipality, any other law to the contrary notwithstanding.

Section 17. Section 205.064, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.064 Farm, aquacultural, grove, horticultural,

Page 19 of 30

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

floricultural, tropical piscicultural, and tropical fish farm products; certain exemptions.--

- (1) A No local business tax certificate is not occupational license shall be required of any natural person for the privilege of engaging in the selling of farm, aquacultural, grove, horticultural, floricultural, tropical piscicultural, or tropical fish farm products, or products manufactured therefrom, except intoxicating liquors, wine, or beer, when such products were grown or produced by such natural person in the state.
- (2) A wholesale farmers' produce market <u>may shall have the</u> right to pay a tax of not more than \$200 for a <u>certificate</u> license that will entitle the market's stall tenants to engage in the selling of agricultural and horticultural products therein, in lieu of such tenants being required to obtain individual local <u>certificates</u> occupational licenses to so engage.

Section 18. Section 205.065, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Department of Business and Professional Regulation.--If any person engaging in or managing a business, profession, or occupation regulated by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation has paid a business and Professional Regulation has paid a business and occupational license tax for the current year to the county or municipality in the state where the person's permanent business location or branch office is maintained, no other local governing authority may levy a business an occupational license tax, or any registration or regulatory fee equivalent to the business

Page 20 of 30

occupational license tax, on the person for performing work or services on a temporary or transitory basis in another municipality or county. In no event shall any Work or services performed in a place other than the county or municipality where the permanent business location or branch office is maintained may not be construed as creating a separate business location or branch office of that person for the purposes of this chapter. Any properly licensed contractor asserting an exemption under this section who is unlawfully required by the local governing authority to pay a business an occupational license tax, or any registration or regulatory fee equivalent to a business the occupational license tax, has shall have standing to challenge the propriety of the local government's actions, and the prevailing party in such a challenge is entitled to recover a reasonable attorney's fee.

Section 19. Section 205.162, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.162 Exemption allowed certain disabled persons, the aged, and widows with minor dependents.--

(1) All disabled persons physically incapable of manual labor, widows with minor dependents, and persons 65 years of age or older, with not more than one employee or helper, and who use their own capital only, not in excess of \$1,000, may shall be allowed to engage in any business or occupation in counties in which they live without being required to pay for a business tax certificate license. The exemption provided by this section shall be allowed only upon the certificate of the county physician, or other reputable physician, that the applicant

Page 21 of 30

claiming the exemption is disabled, the nature and extent of the disability being specified therein, and in case the exemption is claimed by a widow with minor dependents, or a person over 65 years of age, proof of the right to the exemption shall be made. Any person entitled to the exemption provided by this section shall, upon application and furnishing of the necessary proof as aforesaid, be issued a certificate license which shall have plainly stamped or written across the face thereof the fact that it is issued under this section, and the reason for the exemption shall be written thereon.

- (2) Neither In no event under this nor or any other law exempts shall any person, veteran or otherwise, be allowed any exemption whatsoever from the payment of any amount required by law for the issuance of a license to sell intoxicating liquors or malt and vinous beverages.
- Section 20. Section 205.171, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 205.171 Exemptions allowed disabled veterans of any war or their unremarried spouses.--
- (1) Any bona fide, permanent resident elector of the state who served as an officer or enlisted person during any of the periods specified in s. 1.01(14) in the Armed Forces of the United States, National Guard, or United States Coast Guard or Coast Guard Reserve, or any temporary member thereof, who has actually been, or may hereafter be, reassigned by the air force, army, navy, coast guard, or marines to active duty during any war, declared or undeclared, armed conflicts, crises, etc., who was honorably discharged from the service of the United States,

Page 22 of 30

and who at the time of his or her application for a <u>business tax</u> certificate is <u>license as hereinafter mentioned shall be</u> disabled from performing manual labor shall, upon sufficient identification, proof of being a permanent resident elector in the state, and production of an honorable discharge from the service of the United States:

- (a) Be granted a <u>certificate license</u> to engage in any business or occupation in the state which may be carried on mainly through the personal efforts of the <u>certificateholder</u> licensee as a means of livelihood and for which the state <u>license or</u> county, or municipal <u>certificate license</u> does not exceed the sum of \$50 for each without payment of any <u>business</u> license tax otherwise provided for by law; or
- any certificate license to engage in any business or occupation in the state which may be carried on mainly through the personal efforts of the certificateholder licensee as a means of livelihood when the state license or, county, or municipal certificate license for such business or occupation is shall be more than \$50. The exemption heretofore referred to shall extend to and include the right of the certificateholder licensee to operate an automobile-for-hire of not exceeding five-passenger capacity, including the driver, when it shall be made to appear that such automobile is bona fide owned or contracted to be purchased by the certificateholder licensee and is being operated by him or her as a means of livelihood and that the proper business license tax for the operation of such motor vehicle for private use has been applied for and attached to the

Page 23 of 30

said motor vehicle and the proper fees therefor paid by the certificateholder licensee.

- (2) When any such person applies shall apply for a certificate license to conduct any business or occupation for which either the county or municipal business license tax exceeds as fixed by law shall exceed the sum of \$50, the remainder of such license tax in excess of \$50 shall be paid in cash.
- and of each county thereof and each municipality therein shall issue to such persons as may be entitled hereunder a certificate license pursuant to the foregoing provision and subject to the conditions thereof. Such certificate license when issued shall be marked across the face thereof "Veterans Exempt License"--"Not Transferable." Before issuing the certificate same, proof shall be duly made in each case that the applicant is entitled under the conditions of this law to receive the exemption herein provided for. The proof may be made by establishing to the satisfaction of such tax collecting authority by means of certificate of honorable discharge or certified copy thereof that the applicant is a veteran within the purview of this section and by exhibiting:
- (a) A certificate of government-rated disability to an extent of 10 percent or more;
- (b) The affidavit or testimony of a reputable physician who personally knows the applicant and who makes oath that the applicant is disabled from performing manual labor as a means of livelihood;

Page 24 of 30

(c) The certificate of the veteran's service officer of the county in which applicant lives, duly executed under the hand and seal of the chief officer and secretary thereof, attesting the fact that the applicant is disabled and entitled to receive a certificate license within the meaning and intent of this section;

- (d) A pension certificate issued to him or her by the United States by reason of such disability; or
- (e) Such other reasonable proof as may be required by the tax collecting authority to establish the fact that such applicant is so disabled.

All <u>certificates</u> <del>licenses</del> issued under this section shall be in the same general form as other state, county, and municipal licenses and shall expire at the same time as such other licenses are fixed by law to expire.

(4) Certificates All licenses obtained under the provisions of this section by the commission of fraud upon any issuing authority are shall be deemed null and void. Any person who has fraudulently obtained a certificate any such license, or who has fraudulently received any transfer of a certificate license issued to another, and has thereafter engaged in any business or occupation requiring a certificate license under color thereof is shall be subject to prosecution as for engaging in a business or occupation without having the required certificate license under the laws of the state. Such certificate may license shall not be issued in any county other than the county where the wherein said veteran is a bona fide

Page 25 of 30

resident citizen elector, unless such veteran <u>produces</u> applying therefor shall produce to the tax collecting authority in such county a certificate of the tax collector of his or her home county to the effect that no exemption from <u>certification</u> license has been granted to such veteran in his or her home county under the authority of this section.

- (5) Neither In no event, under this nor or any other law exempts, shall any person, veteran or otherwise, be allowed any exemption whatsoever from the payment of any amount required by law for the issuance of a license to sell intoxicating liquors or malt and vinous beverages.
- (6) The unremarried spouse of  $\underline{a}$  the deceased disabled veteran of any war in which the United States Armed Forces participated  $\underline{is}$  will be entitled to the same exemptions as the disabled veteran.

Section 21. Section 205.191, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.191 Religious tenets; exemption.--Nothing in This chapter does not shall be construed to require a business tax certificate license for practicing the religious tenets of any church.

Section 22. Section 205.192, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.192 Charitable, etc., organizations; occasional sales, fundraising; exemption.--A business tax certificate is not No occupational license shall be required of any charitable, religious, fraternal, youth, civic, service, or other similar such organization that when the organization makes occasional

Page 26 of 30

729l

sales or engages in fundraising projects that when the projects are performed exclusively by the members, thereof and when the proceeds derived from the activities are used exclusively in the charitable, religious, fraternal, youth, civic, and service activities of the organization.

Section 23. Section 205.193, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

certificate license prohibited; exception.—A No county, municipality, or other unit of local government may not require a duly licensed mobile home dealer or a duly licensed mobile home manufacturer, or an employee of a such dealer or manufacturer, who performs setup operations as defined in s. 320.822 to be a certificateholder licensed to engage in such operations. However, such dealer or manufacturer must shall be required to obtain a local certificate occupational license for his or her permanent business location or branch office, which certificate license shall not require for its issuance any conditions other than those required by chapter 320.

Section 24. Section 205.194, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.194 Prohibition of local <u>business tax certificate</u>

<del>occupational licensure</del> without exhibition of state license or registration.--

(1) Any person applying for or renewing a local <u>business</u>

<u>tax certificate</u> <del>occupational license</del> for the <del>licensing</del> period

beginning October 1, 1985, to practice any profession regulated

by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, or

Page 27 of 30

any board or commission thereof, must exhibit an active state certificate, registration, or license, or proof of copy of the same, before such local <u>certificate</u> occupational license may be issued. Thereafter, only persons applying for the first time for a <u>certificate</u> local occupational license must exhibit such certification, registration, or license.

- shall, by August 1 of each year, supply to the local official who issues local <u>certificates</u> <u>occupational licenses</u> a current list of professions it regulates and information regarding those persons for whom <u>certificates</u> <u>local occupational licenses</u> should not be renewed due to the suspension, revocation, or inactivation of such person's state license, certificate, or registration. The official who issues local <u>certificates</u> <u>occupational licenses</u> shall not renew such license unless such person can exhibit an active state certificate, registration, or license.
- (3) This section shall not apply to s. 489.113, s. 489.117, s. 489.119, s. 489.131, s. 489.511, s. 489.513, s. 489.521, or s. 489.537.

Section 25. Section 205.196, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.196 Pharmacies and pharmacists.--A No state, county, or municipal licensing agency may not shall issue a business tax certificate an occupational license to operate a pharmacy unless the applicant produces shall first exhibit a current permit issued by the Board of Pharmacy; however, no such certificate is occupational license shall be required in order to practice the

Page 28 of 30

785 profession of pharmacy.

 Section 26. Section 205.1965, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.1965 Assisted living facilities.--A county or municipality may not issue a business tax certificate an occupational license for the operation of an assisted living facility pursuant to part III of chapter 400 without first ascertaining that the applicant has been licensed by the Agency for Health Care Administration to operate such facility at the specified location or locations. The Agency for Health Care Administration shall furnish to local agencies responsible for issuing certificates occupational licenses sufficient instructions for making the above required determinations.

Section 27. Section 205.1967, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

business tax certificate occupational license. -- A municipality or county may not issue a business tax certificate an occupational license to any pest control business regulated coming under chapter 482, unless a current license has been procured from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for each of its business locations in that municipality or county. Upon presentation of the requisite licenses from the department and the required fee, a business tax certificate an occupational license shall be issued by the municipality or county in which application is made.

Section 28. Section 205.1969, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.1969 Health studios; consumer protection.--A No county or municipality may not shall issue or renew a business tax certificate an occupational license for the operation of a health studio pursuant to ss. 501.012-501.019 or ballroom dance studio pursuant to s. 501.143, unless such business exhibits a current license, registration, or letter of exemption from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Section 29. Section 205.1971, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.1971 Sellers of travel; consumer protection.--A No county or municipality may not shall issue or renew a business tax certificate an occupational license to engage in business as a seller of travel pursuant to part XI of chapter 559 unless such business exhibits a current registration or letter of exemption from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.

Section 30. Section 205.1973, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

205.1973 Telemarketing businesses; consumer protection.--A county or municipality may not issue or renew a business tax certificate an occupational license for the operation of a telemarketing business under ss. 501.604 and 501.608, unless such business exhibits a current license or registration from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services or a current affidavit of exemption.

Section 31. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES

Amendment No. / (for drafter's use only)

1	Bill No. 1269		
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION		
	ADOPTED (Y/N)		
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)		
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)		
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)		
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)		
	OTHER		
1	Council/Committee hearing bill:		
2	Representative(s) Cusack offered the following:		
3			
4	Amendment (with directory and title amendments)		
5	Between line(s) 8 and 9 insert:		
6	WHEREAS local governments impose an "occupational license		
7	tax" for the privilege of engaging in a business or profession;		
8	WHEREAS what a particular charge is named by government is		
9	not dispositive of its correct characterization;		
10	WHEREAS local governments have a bona fide interest in		
11	protecting its residents from consumer fraud;		
12	WHEREAS some unscrupulous persons are presenting their		
13	local occupational license to consumers as proof of their		
14	competency to perform various repairs and services;		
15	WHEREAS local consumers are victimized by these		
16	representations;		
17	WHEREAS changing the name of the item issued by local		
18	governments from "occupational license" to "local business tax"		
19	may eliminate some fraudulent misrepresentations;		

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

THEREFORE the Legislature seeks to change the name of the "Local Occupational License Tax Act" to the "Local Business Tax Act" and make related changes.

23

20

21

22

Amendment No.2 (for drafter's use only)

		Bil	ll No. <b>1269</b>
Ì	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION	
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
	OTHER		
			MAN COMMISSION CONTROL
1	Council/Committee hear	ing bill:	
2	Representative(s) Cusad	ck offered the following:	
3			
4	Amendment (with di	rectory and title amendments)	
5	Remove line(s) 46	and insert:	
6	local governing author:	ty which bears the words "Local	Business
7	Tax Certificate" and ev	vidences that the person in	
8			

Amendment No. $\mathbf{3}$  (for drafter's use only)

			Bill No. <b>1269</b>
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE A	CTION	
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
	OTHER		
	Name (1977)		
1	Council/Committee hearin	g bill:	
2	Representative(s) Cusack	offered the following:	
3	•		
4	Amendment (with dir	ectory and title amendments	)
5	Remove line(s) 838	and insert:	
6	Section 31. This ac	t shall take effect January	1, 2007.
7			

#### **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS**

BILL #: HJR 7037 PCB JU 06-02 Extraordinary Vote to Amend Constitution to Increase or

Impose Taxes, Fees, or Significant Financial Impact **SPONSOR(S)**: Judiciary Committee

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SJR 1436

	REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Ju	udiciary Committee	12 Y, 2 N	Thomas	Hogge
1) Finance & Ta	ax Committee		Levin Conf	Diez-Arguelles
2)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3)				
4)				
5)	•	<u> </u>		-

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The joint resolution proposes changes to Section 7 of Article XI of the Florida Constitution, relating to amendments or revisions. The joint resolution provides that the existing two-thirds vote required for voters to approve any constitutional amendment *imposing* a new state tax or fee be expanded to include any constitutional amendment or revision *increasing* an existing state tax or fee. The joint resolution incorporates a definition of "existing State tax or fee" substantially similar to the current definition found in the constitution; that is, it is defined as "any tax or fee that produces revenue subject to lump sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the State general revenue fund or any trust fund, which tax or fee is in effect at the time of the election at which the proposed amendment or revision is considered."

The joint resolution also requires that any proposed amendment or revision, regardless of the source of the proposal, that imposes a significant financial impact on state government in an amount greater than two-tenths of one percent of the portion of the state budget appropriated from the General Revenue Fund, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the year prior to the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered, must pass by at least two-thirds of those electors voting in the election in which such proposal was considered. Based on the FY 2005-06 budget, a significant financial impact would be any amount greater than approximately \$53 million.

The joint resolution does not appear to have any fiscal impact on state or local government other than those costs related to placing the joint resolution on the ballot and publishing required notices. The Department of State estimates non-recurring publication costs of approximately \$50,000 for FY 2006-07.

The joint resolution does not contain a specific effective date. Therefore, if adopted by the voters, it will take effect on January 2, 2007.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h7037a.FT.doc 3/28/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

#### **Ensure lower taxes**

Amendments to Florida's Constitution having significant financial impact will require a two-thirds vote of the electorate in order to become effective.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Revision or Amendment to the Constitution**

Amendments to Florida's Constitution can be proposed by five distinct methods: 1) joint legislative resolution, 2) the Constitutional Revision Commission, 3) citizen's initiative, 4) a constitutional convention, or 5) the Taxation and Budget Reform Commission. Depending on the method, all proposed amendments or revisions to the constitution must be submitted to the electors at the next general election 1) held more than ninety days after the joint resolution, 2) 180 days after the report of the Constitutional Revision Commission or Taxation Budget Reform Commission, or 3) for citizen initiatives, if all the required signatures were submitted prior to February 1 of the year in which the general election is to be held.

A proposed constitutional amendment or revision is adopted upon approval of a majority of electors voting on the proposal.<sup>3</sup> However, a new State tax or fee proposed by constitutional amendment or revision must be adopted by at least two-thirds of those voting in the election in which such amendment is considered.<sup>4</sup>Section 5, Article XI, of Florida's Constitution was amended in 2002 requiring the Legislature to provide a statement to the voters regarding the probable financial impact of any amendment proposed by initiative. In response, the Legislature created the Financial Impact Estimating Conference to review, analyze, and estimate the financial impact of amendments.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Effect of Joint Resolution**

The joint resolution proposes changes to Section 7 of Article XI of the Florida Constitution, relating to amendments or revisions. The joint resolution provides that the existing two-thirds vote required for voters to approve any constitutional amendment or revision *imposing* a new state tax or fee be expanded to include any constitutional amendment or revision *increasing* an existing state tax or fee. The joint resolution incorporates a definition of "existing State tax or fee" substantially similar to the current definition found in the constitution; that is, it is defined as "any tax or fee that produces revenue subject to lump sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the State general revenue fund or any trust fund, which tax or fee is in effect at the time of the election at which the proposed amendment or revision is considered."

The joint resolution also requires that any proposed amendment or revision, regardless of the source of the proposal, that imposes a significant financial impact on state government in an amount greater than two-tenths of one percent of the portion of the state budget appropriated from the General Revenue Fund, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the year prior to the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered, must pass by at least two-thirds of those electors voting in the election in which such

<sup>5</sup> See s. 100.371, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h7037a.FT.doc 3/28/2006

See Art. XI, ss. 1-4 & 6, Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Art. XI, ss. 2, 5, and 6, Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Art. XI, s. 5(e), Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Art. XI, s. 7, Fla. Const.

proposal was considered. Based on the FY 2005-06 budget, a significant financial impact would be any amount greater than approximately \$53 million.

The joint resolution further provides that the determination of whether a proposed amendment or revision imposes a significant financial impact on state government will be made and certified in accordance with general law. The joint resolution also deletes obsolete language in this section of the state constitution relating to items on the November 8, 1994 ballot.

The joint resolution does not contain a specific effective date. Therefore, if adopted by the voters, it will take effect January 2, 2007.6

Below is a list of the approval percentages of some constitutional amendments in the past that might have required a two-thirds vote had this joint resolution been law.

TITLE	SOURCE	YEAR	APPROVAL PERCENTAGE
High Speed Rail	Initiative	2000	52.7%
Class Size	Initiative	2002	52.4%
Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten	Initiative	2002	59.2%
Article V – Local Funding of State Courts	Revision	1998	56.9%
	Commission		

## Appearance on the Ballot

If enacted, the proposed constitutional amendment will appear on the November 2006 ballot as follows:

TWO-THIRDS VOTE FOR AMENDMENT INCREASING STATE TAX OR FEE OR IMPOSING A SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL IMPACT.— Under this measure proposing to amend the State Constitution, a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that increases an existing state tax or fee would have to be approved by at least two-thirds of those voters voting in the election in which the amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "existing state tax or fee" means any tax or fee that produces revenue subject to lump-sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the State general revenue fund or any trust fund, if that tax or fee is in effect at the time of the election when the proposed amendment or revision is considered. This measure would also require that a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that would impose a significant financial impact on state government must be approved by at least two-thirds of those voters voting in the election in which the amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "significant financial impact" means a financial impact to the state in any state fiscal year prior to and including the first state fiscal year of full implementation, including requiring the Legislature to increase taxes or fees in order to maintain the state budget at existing revenues and expenditures, in an amount greater than two-tenths of one percent of the portion of the state budget appropriated from the State general revenue fund, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the year prior to the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. The determination of whether a proposed amendment or revision imposes a significant financial impact on state government would be made and certified in accordance with general law. This measure adds to an existing provision of the Florida Constitution, passed by Florida voters in 1996, that currently applies the same two-thirds

3/28/2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Art. XI, s. 5(e), Fla. Const., provides: "If the proposed amendment or revision is approved by vote of the electors, it shall be effective as an amendment to or revision of the constitution of the state on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in January following the election, or on such other date as may be specified in the amendment or revision." STORAGE NAME: h7037a.FT.doc

vote requirement only to a proposed amendment that imposes a new state tax or fee. All other proposed amendments or revisions presently must be approved by only a simple majority of those voting on the proposal. The measure also makes conforming changes in this section of the State Constitution and repeals obsolete provisions relating to items on the November 8, 1994, ballot.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

The legislation is a joint resolution proposing a constitutional amendment and, therefore, does not contain bill sections. The joint resolution proposes to amend Section 7 of Article XI of the Florida Constitution relating to amendments and revisions.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

Α.	FISCAL	IMPACT	ON	STATE	GO\	/ERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

Non-Recurring FY 2006-07

General Revenue

**Publication Costs** 

\$50,000

#### **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

While this proposal does not have a direct economic impact on the private sector, requiring a higher voting threshold for proposed amendments and revisions that increase a state tax or fee or impose a significant financial impact on state government may affect the likelihood of success of future proposals. See Effect of Proposed Changes, Section I.B. of this analysis, for voting results on past amendments that might have been affected had this joint resolution been in effect at the time of their respective elections.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Florida Constitution requires publication of a proposed amendment or revision to the constitution in one newspaper of general circulation in each county in which a newspaper is published, once in the tenth week and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week in which the election is held.<sup>7</sup> The Division of Elections with the Department of State estimates that the non-recurring cost of compliance would be approximately \$50,000 in FY 2006-07.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

<sup>7</sup> See Art. XI, s. 5(c), Fla. Const. **STORAGE NAME**: h7037a.FT.doc **DATE**: 3/28/2006 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision relates only to general bills and therefore would not apply to this joint resolution.

2. Other:

None.

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The joint resolution does not raise the need for rules or rulemaking authority or direct an agency to adopt rules.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Amendments or revisions to the Florida Constitution may be proposed by joint resolution agreed to by three-fifths of the membership of each house of the Legislature. Passage in a committee requires a simple majority vote. If the joint resolution is passed in this session, the proposed amendment would be placed before the electorate at the 2006 general election, unless it is submitted at an earlier special election pursuant to a law enacted by an affirmative vote of three-fourths of the membership of each house of the Legislature and is limited to a single amendment or revision. Once in the tenth week, and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week in which the election is held, the proposed amendment or revision, with notice of the date of election at which it will be submitted to the electors, must be published in one newspaper of general circulation in each county in which a newspaper is published.

A similar proposal to this joint resolution passed the House of Representatives in the 2005 legislative session, that was never considered by the Senate. Last year's proposal differed in that it applied to constitutional amendments or revisions that increased local taxes and fees, as well as to those that increased state taxes or fees and those that imposed a significant financial impact on state or local governments. This joint resolution only applies to constitutional amendments or revisions that increase state taxes or fees and those that impose a significant financial impact on state government. Last year's proposal passed unanimously out of the Judiciary Committee and the Justice Council, and by a 9 to 1 vote in the Ethics and Elections Committee.

As drafted, the joint resolution is unclear as to its impact on amendments which would reduce governmental expenditures. The base year for purposes of determining significant fiscal impact could be clarified since it is unclear whether the base year would be fiscal year 2006-2007 or fiscal year 2007-2008.

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None.

<sup>8</sup> See Art. XI, s. 1, Fla. Const.

<sup>10</sup> See Art. XI, s. 5(c), Fla. Const.

DATE:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Art. XI, s. 5(a), Fla. Const. The 2006 general election is on November 7, 2006.

11011 1001

#### House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to Section 7 of Article XI of the State Constitution, relating to state tax or fee limitations, to specify application to imposition of new state taxes or fees, increases in existing state taxes or fees, and imposition of significant financial impact on state government.

7 8

9

1 2

3

4

5

6

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

12

13

14

That the following amendment to Section 7 of Article XI of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

#### ARTICLE XI

#### **AMENDMENTS**

SECTION 7. Tax, or fee, or significant financial impact limitation.--Notwithstanding Article X, Section 12(d) of this constitution:

(a) No amendment or revision to this constitution that imposes a new state tax or fee shall become effective be imposed on or after November 8, 1994 by any amendment to this constitution unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection section, the phrase "new state tax or fee" shall mean any tax or fee that which

Page 1 of 5

 would produce revenue subject to lump sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the state general revenue fund or any trust fund, which tax or fee is not in effect on November 7, 1994. including without limitation such taxes and fees as are the subject of proposed constitutional amendments appearing on the ballot on November 8, 1994. This section shall apply to proposed constitutional amendments relating to State taxes or fees which appear on the November 8, 1994 ballot, or later ballots, and Any such proposed amendment or revision that which fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection hereby shall be null, void, and without effect.

- (b) No amendment or revision to this constitution that increases an existing state tax or fee shall become effective unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection, the phrase "existing state tax or fee" means any tax or fee that produces revenue subject to lump sum or other appropriation by the legislature, either for the state general revenue fund or any trust fund, which tax or fee is in effect at the time of the election at which the proposed amendment or revision is considered. Any such proposed amendment or revision that fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection shall be null, void, and without effect.
- (c) No amendment or revision to this constitution that imposes a significant financial impact on state government shall become effective unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69

70

71 72

73

74

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection, the phrase "significant financial impact" means a financial impact to the state in any state fiscal year prior to and including the first state fiscal year of full implementation, including requiring the legislature to increase taxes or fees in order to maintain the state budget at existing revenues and expenditures, in an amount greater than two-tenths of one percent of the portion of the state budget appropriated from the state general revenue fund, as established in the general appropriations act approved by the governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the year prior to the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. The determination of whether a proposed amendment or revision imposes a significant financial impact on state government shall be made and certified in accordance with general law. Any such proposed amendment or revision that fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection shall be null, void, and without effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

#### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

#### ARTICLE XI, SECTION 7

TWO-THIRDS VOTE FOR AMENDMENT INCREASING STATE TAX OR FEE OR IMPOSING A SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL IMPACT.--Under this measure proposing to amend the State Constitution, a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that increases an existing state tax or fee would have to be approved by at least two-thirds of those voters voting in the election in which the

Page 3 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

85

86

87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99 100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "existing state tax or fee" means any tax or fee that produces revenue subject to lump-sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the state general revenue fund or any trust fund, if that tax or fee is in effect at the time of the election when the proposed amendment or revision is considered. This measure would also require that a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that would impose a significant financial impact on state government must be approved by at least two-thirds of those voters voting in the election in which the amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "significant financial impact" means a financial impact to the state in any state fiscal year prior to and including the first state fiscal year of full implementation, including requiring the Legislature to increase taxes or fees in order to maintain the state budget at existing revenues and expenditures, in an amount greater than two-tenths of one percent of the portion of the state budget appropriated from the state general revenue fund, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the year prior to the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. The determination of whether a proposed amendment or revision imposes a significant financial impact on state government would be made and certified in accordance with general law. This measure adds to an existing provision of the Florida Constitution, passed by Florida voters in 1996, that currently applies the same two-thirds vote requirement only to a proposed

Page 4 of 5

amendment that imposes a new state tax or fee. All other proposed amendments or revisions presently must be approved by only a simple majority of those voting on the proposal. The measure also makes conforming changes in this section of the State Constitution and repeals obsolete provisions relating to items on the November 8, 1994, ballot.

113

114

115

116

117

Page 5 of 5

# HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Bill No. HJR 7037

COUNCIL/COMMITTEE	ACTION	
ADOPTED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)	
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)	
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)	
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)	
OTHER		

Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee Representative Simmons offered the following:

#### Amendment (with ballot statement amendment)

Remove line(s) 18-74 and insert:

SECTION 7. Tax, or fee, or significant state spending limitation.--Notwithstanding Article X, Section 12(d) of this constitution: $_{\overline{\phantom{1}}}$ 

(a) No amendment or revision to this constitution that imposes a new state tax or fee shall become effective be imposed on or after November 8, 1994 by any amendment to this constitution unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection section, the phrase "new state tax or fee" shall mean any tax or fee that which would produce revenue to state government. subject to lump sum or other appropriation by the Legislature, either for the state general revenue fund or any trust fund, which tax or fee is not in effect on November 7, 1994 including without limitation such taxes and fees as are the subject of proposed constitutional amendments appearing on the ballot on November 8, 1994. This

23 l

- section shall apply to proposed constitutional amendments relating to State taxes or fees which appear on the November 8, 1994 ballot, or later ballots, and Any such proposed amendment or revision that which fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection hereby shall be null, void, and without effect.
- (b) No amendment or revision to this constitution that increases an existing state tax or fee shall become effective unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection, the phrase "existing state tax or fee" shall mean any tax or fee that produces revenue to state government. Any such proposed amendment or revision that fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection shall be null, void, and without effect.
- (c) No amendment or revision to this constitution that would result in significant additional spending by state government shall become effective unless the proposed amendment or revision is approved by not fewer than two-thirds of the voters voting in the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. For purposes of this subsection, the phrase "significant additional spending" shall mean additional spending in any state fiscal year, prior to and including the first state fiscal year of full implementation of the amendment or revision, in an amount greater than one-tenth of one percent of the total state budget, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the calendar year prior to the year of the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. The determination of whether a proposed amendment or

revision would result in significant additional spending by state government shall be made and certified in accordance with general law. Any such proposed amendment or revision that fails to gain the two-thirds vote required by this subsection shall be null, void, and without effect.

59

54

55

56

57

58

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Remove line(s) 79-118 and insert:

TWO-THIRDS VOTE FOR AMENDMENT INCREASING STATE TAX OR FEE OR RESULTING IN SIGNIFICANT SPENDING .-- Under this measure proposing to amend the State Constitution, a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that increases an existing state tax or fee would have to be approved by at least twothirds of those voters voting in the election in which the amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "existing state tax or fee" means any tax or fee that produces revenue to state government. This measure would require that a proposed amendment or revision to the State Constitution that would result in significant additional spending by state government must be approved by at least twothirds of those voters voting in the election in which the amendment or revision is considered. For the purposes of this measure, "significant additional spending" means additional spending in any state fiscal year, prior to and including the first state fiscal year of full implementation, in an amount greater than one-tenth of one percent of the total state budget, as established in the General Appropriations Act approved by the Governor, for the state fiscal year ending in the calendar year prior to the year of the election in which such proposed amendment or revision is considered. The determination of

## HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

whether a proposed amendment or revision would result in significant additional spending by state government would be made and certified in accordance with general law. This measure adds to an existing provision of the Florida Constitution, passed by Florida voters in 1996, that currently applies the same two-thirds vote requirement only to a proposed amendment that imposes a new state tax or fee. All other proposed amendments or revisions presently must be approved by only a simple majority of those voting on the proposal. The measure also makes conforming changes in this section of the State Constitution and repeals obsolete provisions relating to items on the November 8, 1994, ballot.

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB FT 06-07

Assessment of Homestead Property

SPONSOR(S): Finance & Tax Committee

TIED BILLS:

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Finance & Tax Committee		Monroe Monroe	Diez-Arguelles
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This bill is a joint resolution, proposing amendments to the Florida Constitution to change the taxable value of homestead property.

Homestead Exemption - This proposal would raise the current homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000. This increase would be phased in over five years. Thus, the homestead exemption would be increased to \$30,000 in 2007, \$35,000 in 2008, \$40,000 in 2009, \$45,000 in 2010, and \$50,000 in 2011. Thereafter, the homestead exemption would increase by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index.

Save Our Homes - This proposal would make three significant changes to how "Save Our Homes" currently operates. Under Article VII, s. 4, of the Florida Constitution, the "Save Our Homes" provision limits annual increase in homestead property values to 3 percent or the Consumer Price Index percentage, whichever is lower, not to exceed just value. If there is a change in ownership, the property is to be assessed at its just value on the following January 1. Section 193.155, F.S., implements this assessment limitation.

First, the bill would limit the differential between the assessed and just value of a homesteaded property to \$100,000 on January 1, 2007.

Second, property owners would be allowed to transfer the value of their differential, up to \$100,000, with them when they move within the same county, provided that the new homestead may not have a lower assessed value than the old homestead.

Finally, property owners with homesteads established before January 1, 2007 would be "grandfathered in" and the maximum differential on their homesteads would be the differential that existed on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000.

Schedule - This proposal would also create Section 26 of Article XII in the State Constitution which would specifically provide that the provisions of the constitutional amendment would take effect January 1, 2007.

The Revenue Estimating Conference has yet to estimate the fiscal impact of this bill.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. pcb07.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/24/2006

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Lower Taxes - Taxpayers who have homestead property will benefit from the increase in the homestead exemption and the transferability of the Save Our Homes differential by paying lower taxes. However, this will be a shift in who bears the tax burden and other taxpayers, such as those who do not have a homestead and those who are effect by the limitation on the Save Our Homes benefit, will bear an increased tax burden.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background:

Property Taxation in Florida – The ad valorem tax or "property tax" is an annual tax levied by local governments based on the value of real and tangible personal property as of January 1 of each year. The taxable value of real and tangible personal property is the fair market value of the property adjusted for any exclusions, differentials or exemptions. Tax bills are mailed in November of each year based on the previous January 1st valuation and payment is due by the following March 31.

Ad valorem tax continues to be a major source of revenue for local governments in Florida. In FY 2002-03 (the last year for which certain fiscal information is available) property taxes constituted 31 percent of county governmental revenue (\$6.3 billion)<sup>1</sup>, and 17 percent of municipal governmental revenue (\$2.5 billion), making it the largest single source of tax or general revenue for general purpose governments in Florida. In addition, the property tax is the primary local revenue source for school districts. In FY 2003-04, school districts levied \$8.4 billion in property taxes for K-12 education.<sup>2</sup>

The property tax is important not only because of the revenue it generates, but because it is the only taxing authority not preempted by the Florida Constitution to the state. However, the property tax is not an unlimited source of revenue. The Florida Constitution caps the millage rates assessed against the value of the property.4 In addition, the Florida Constitution grants property tax relief in the form of valuation differentials, 5 assessment limitations, 6 and exemptions, 7 including the homestead exemptions.

STORAGE NAME:

Information provided by the Legislative Committee on Governmental Relations (LCIR), from the LCIR database at http://fcn.state.fl.us/lcir/cntyfiscal/corevprofsw.xls.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 2005 Florida Tax Handbook, p. 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Art. VII, s. 1, Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Art. VII, s. 9, Fla. Const. For counties, municipalities, and school districts, the cap is 10 mills. The millage rate for water management districts is capped at 1 mill, except that it is 0.05 mills for the Northwest Florida Water Management District. The millage rate for other special districts is as established by law. A mill is defined as 1/1000 of a dollar, or \$1 per \$1000 of taxable value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Article VII, s. 4 of the Florida Constitution, authorizes valuation differentials, which are based on character or use of property, such as agricultural land, land producing high water recharge to Florida's aquifers, and land used exclusively for non-commercial recreational purposes. This section also provides that tangible personal property that is held as inventory may also be assessed at a specified percentage of its value or totally exempted. Additionally, counties and cities are authorized to assess historical property based solely on the basis of its character or use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Article VII, s. 4(c) of the Florida Constitution, authorizes the "Save Our Homes" property assessment limitation, which limits the increase in assessment of homestead property to the lesser of 3 percent or the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index. Section 4(e) authorizes counties to provide for a reduction in the assessed value of homestead property to the extent of any increase in the assessed value of that property which results from the construction or reconstruction of the property for the purpose of providing living quarters for one or more natural or adoptive grandparents or parents of the owner of the property or of the owner's spouse if at least one of the grandparents or parents for whom the living quarters are provided is 62 years of age or older. This provision is known PAGE: 2 pcb07.FT.doc

Homestead Exemption - The provision which is commonly referred to as the Homestead Exemption, is contained in Article VII. s. 6(a-d) of the Florida Constitution. It provides a \$25,000 homestead exemption for all owners of homestead property provided that the tax roll in their county has been approved. The \$25,000 amount was established in 1982 and has not been changed since then. If the amount of the homestead exemption had been increased by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index since 1982, the current value of the Homestead Exemption would be \$50,596.

In addition, Article VII, s. 6(f) of the Florida Constitution, authorizes the Legislature to allow counties or municipalities, by ordinance, for the purpose of their respective tax levies, to grant an additional homestead tax exemption of up to \$25,000 to resident homeowners who are 65 years of age whose household income, as defined by general law, does not exceed \$20,000, adjusted for inflation. This is typically refereed to as the Increased Homestead Exemption for Low Income Seniors.

Finally, Article VII, s. 6(e) of the Florida Constitution authorizes the Legislature to provide renters who are permanent residents ad valorem tax relief on all ad valorem tax levies. However, this provision has been minimally implemented.8

In addition, the courts have ruled that property of the federal government, the state, and the counties is immune from, or not subject to, taxation.9 The courts have further ruled that this immunity extends to property of school districts<sup>10</sup> and certain special districts.<sup>11</sup>

In tax year 2006, the combination of these various forms of property tax relief is estimated to effectively reduce the taxable value of real property in this state by 31.9 percent. 12 For the 2006 tax year, it is estimated that at an aggregate average millage rate of 19.54, the tax revenue loss due to these forms of property tax relief will be \$1.1 billion for agricultural and other valuation differentials, \$6.7 billion for the "Save Our Homes" assessment limitation, and \$2.2 billion for the \$25,000 homestead exemption. 13

Any additional reduction in the property tax base will result in a corresponding shift in property tax burden to other property tax owners. 14

as the "Granny Flats" assessment limitation. The statutes also provide for differential treatment of specific property, to include pollution control devises (s. 193.621, F.S.) and building renovations for the physically handicapped (s. 193.623, F.S.).

Article VII, s. 3 of the Florida Constitution, provides authority for the following property tax exemptions:

- All property owned by a municipality and used exclusively by it for municipal or public purposes;
- Portions of property used predominantly for educational, literary, scientific, religious or charitable purposes, as provided in general law;
- Household goods and personal effects, not less than one thousand dollars;
- Property owned by a widow or widower or person who is blind or totally and permanently disabled, not less than five hundred dollars, as provided in general law;
- Property used for community and economic development, by local option and as defined by general law;
- Certain renewable energy source devices and real property on which the device is installed and operated; and
- Historic properties, by local option and as defined by general law.

The statutes also clarify or provide property tax exemptions for certain licensed child care facilities operating in an enterprise zone, properties used to provide affordable housing, educational facilities, charter schools, property owned and used by any labor organizations, community centers, space laboratories, and not-for-profit sewer and water companies.

8 This \$25,000 exemption is implemented in ss. 196.1975(9)(a) and 196.1977, F.S., for certain units in non-profit homes for the aged

and certain proprietary continuing care facilities.

See Park-N-Shop, Inc. v. Sparkman, 99 So. 2d 571 (Fla. 1957); Orlando Utils. Comm'n v. Milligan, 229 So. 2d 262 (Fla. 4th DCA 1969); and Dickinson v. City of Tallahassee, 325 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1975).

<sup>10</sup> See Dickinson v. City of Tallahassee, 325 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1975).

11 See Sarasota-Manatee Airport Auth. v. Mikos, 605 So. 2d 132 (Fla. 2d DCA 1992). Cf. Canaveral Port Auth. V. Department of Revenue, 690 So. 2d 1226 (Fla. 1996).

12 2006 estimates are \$ 2,148.5 billion in just value, and \$ 1,463.4 billion in taxable value. Revenue Estimating Conference, Ad Valorem Estimating Conference, March 6, 2006. See EDR website at http://edr.state.fl.us/conferences/advalorem/adval0306.pdf <sup>13</sup> See 2005 Florida Tax Handbook, p. 137-8.

14 Generally, local governments respond to reductions in the property tax base in one of three ways: decrease their budgets, replace the lost revenue with other sources of revenue, or increase the millage rate on the remaining taxable property.

STORAGE NAME:

pcb07.FT.doc 3/24/2006

"Save Our Homes" Assessment Limitation - Article VII, s. 4 of the Florida Constitution, requires that all property be assessed at its just value for ad valorem tax purposes. Just value has been interpreted to mean fair market value. However, section 4 also provides exceptions to this requirement, in the form of valuation differentials and assessment limitations: The most significant of which is the "Save Our Homes" assessment limitation. The annual increase in homestead property values is limited to 3 percent or the Consumer Price Index percentage, whichever is lower, not to exceed just value. If there is a change in ownership, the property is to be assessed at its just value on the following January 1. Section 193.155, F.S., implements this assessment limitation.

The "Save Our Homes" assessment limitation has benefited Florida homestead property owners in the form of reduced ad valorem taxes. However, the assessment limitation has had an unforeseen consequence. Rapidly escalating property values in many Florida communities have resulted in an environment where homeowners may be reluctant to sell their property and purchase new homes due to the often substantial increase in property taxes

#### **Proposed Changes**

This bill is a joint resolution, proposing amendments to the Florida Constitution to change the taxable value of homestead property.

**Homestead Exemption -** This proposal would raise the current homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000. This increase would be phased in over five years. Thus, the homestead exemption would be increased to \$30,000 in 2007, \$35,000 in 2008, \$40,000 in 2009, \$45,000 in 2010, and \$50,000 in 2011. Thereafter, the homestead exemption would increase by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index.

**Save Our Homes** – This proposal would make three significant changes to how "Save Our Homes" currently operates.

First, the bill would limit the differential between the assessed and just value of a homesteaded property to \$100,000 on January 1, 2007.

Second, property owners would be allowed to transfer the value of their differential, up to \$100,000, with them when they move within the same county, up to \$100,000, provided that the new homestead may not have a lower assessed value than the old homestead.

Finally, property owners with homesteads established before January 1, 2007 would be "grandfathered in" and the maximum differential on their homesteads would be the differential that existed on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000.

**Schedule -** This proposal would also create Section 26 of Article XII in the State Constitution which would specifically provide that the provisions of the constitutional amendment would take effect January 1, 2007.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

This is not applicable to Joint Resolutions.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

<sup>15</sup> See Walter v. Schuler, 176 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1965). STORAGE NAME: pcb07.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

pcb07.FT.dc 3/24/2006 1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

Non-Recurring FY 2006-07

Department of State, Division of Elections Publications Costs<sup>16</sup>

\$50,000

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### 1. Revenues:

This bill should have a major impact on local revenue sources; however, the bill has yet to be reviewed by the Revenue Estimating Conference.

2. Expenditures:

None

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill will result in a shift of tax burden from certain homestead property owners to all other taxpayers.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Article XI, s. 5(d) of the State Constitution, requires the state to publish the proposed amendment along with notice of the date of the election at which it will be submitted before electors in one newspaper in each county in which a newspaper is published once in the tenth week and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week the election is held. The Division of Elections estimates this cost to be approximately \$50,000 to meet the requirements of this provision.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This is not applicable to joint resolutions.

2. Other:

Article XI, s. 1 of the Florida Constitution, provides the Legislature the authority to propose amendments to the constitution by joint resolution approved by three-fifths of the membership of each house. The amendment must be placed before the electorate at the next general election held after the proposal has been filed with the Secretary of State's office or may be placed at a special election held for that purpose.

### **B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

<del>→|→</del>|

territory.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

PCB FT 06-07

#### Redraft - A

YEAR

#### House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 26 of Article XII of the State Constitution to limit the difference between the just value and the assessed value for homestead property, provide for assessing newly established homestead property at less than just value subject to a limitation, and increase the amount of the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$50,000.

10 11

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

12 13

14

15

16

17

That the following amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 26 of Article XII of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

18 19

#### ARTICLE VII

20 21

### FINANCE AND TAXATION

22 23 SECTION 4. Taxation; assessments.--By general law regulations shall be prescribed which shall secure a just valuation of all property for ad valorem taxation, provided:

24 25

(a) Agricultural land, land producing high water recharge to Florida's aquifers, or land used exclusively for noncommercial recreational purposes may be classified by general law and assessed solely on the basis of character or use.

2728

29

26

(b) Pursuant to general law tangible personal property held for sale as stock in trade and livestock may be valued for

Page 1 of 8

billdraft16175.doc

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

H

PCB FT 06-07

Redraft - A

YEAR

taxation at a specified percentage of its value, may be classified for tax purposes, or may be exempted from taxation.

- (c) All persons entitled to a homestead exemption under Section 6 of this Article shall have their homestead assessed at just value as of January 1 of the year following the effective date of this amendment. This assessment shall change only as provided herein.
- (1) Assessments subject to this provision shall be changed annually on January 1st of each year; but those changes in assessments shall not exceed the lower of the following:
  - a. Three percent (3%) of the assessment for the prior year.
- b. The percent change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
  - (2) No assessment shall exceed just value.
- (3) The difference between just value and assessed value shall not exceed \$100,000 unless the provisions of paragraph (10) apply.
- (4)(3) After any change of ownership, as provided by general law, homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1 of the following year, unless the provisions of paragraph (9) apply. Thereafter, the homestead shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (5)(4) New homestead property shall be assessed at just value as of January 1st of the year following the establishment of the homestead, unless the provisions of paragraph (9) apply. That assessment shall only change as provided herein.

<del>ا</del>←ا

PCB FT 06-07

#### Redraft - A

YEAR

- (6)(5) Changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to homestead property shall be assessed as provided for by general law; provided, however, after the adjustment for any change, addition, reduction, or improvement, the property shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (7) (6) In the event of a termination of homestead status, the property shall be assessed as provided by general law.
- (8)(7) The provisions of this amendment are severable. If any of the provisions of this amendment shall be held unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, the decision of such court shall not affect or impair any remaining provisions of this amendment.
- within this state and within one year establishes within the same county another property as his or her new homestead, the newly established homestead property shall be initially assessed at less than just value, as provided by general law. The difference between the new homestead property's just value and its assessed value in the first year the homestead is established shall equal the difference between the prior homestead property's just value and its assessed value in the year of sale or transfer, provided the difference does not exceed \$100,000. However, in no case shall this adjustment result in the new homestead property having an assessed value less than the assessed value of the previous homestead property. Thereafter, the homestead property shall be assessed as provided herein.
- (10) For a homestead established before January 1, 2007, the difference between just value and assessed value may not

ا←ا

PCB FT 06-07

Redraft - A

YEAR

exceed the difference between just value and assessed value that exists on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000.

- (d) The legislature may, by general law, for assessment purposes and subject to the provisions of this subsection, allow counties and municipalities to authorize by ordinance that historic property may be assessed solely on the basis of character or use. Such character or use assessment shall apply only to the jurisdiction adopting the ordinance. The requirements for eliqible properties must be specified by general law.
- (e) A county may, in the manner prescribed by general law, provide for a reduction in the assessed value of homestead property to the extent of any increase in the assessed value of that property which results from the construction or reconstruction of the property for the purpose of providing living quarters for one or more natural or adoptive grandparents or parents of the owner of the property or of the owner's spouse if at least one of the grandparents or parents for whom the living quarters are provided is 62 years of age or older. Such a reduction may not exceed the lesser of the following:
- (1) The increase in assessed value resulting from construction or reconstruction of the property.
- (2) Twenty percent of the total assessed value of the property as improved.

SECTION 6. Homestead exemptions .--

(a) Every person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner, or another legally or naturally dependent upon the owner, shall be exempt from taxation thereon, except assessments for special benefits, up to the assessed valuation of five thousand

Page 4 of 8

<del>ا</del>←ا

the second section is

 PCB FT 06-07

Redraft - A

YEAR

- dollars, upon establishment of right thereto in the manner prescribed by law. The real estate may be held by legal or equitable title, by the entireties, jointly, in common, as a condominium, or indirectly by stock ownership or membership representing the owner's or member's proprietary interest in a corporation owning a fee or a leasehold initially in excess of ninety-eight years.
- (b) Not more than one exemption shall be allowed any individual or family unit or with respect to any residential unit. No exemption shall exceed the value of the real estate assessable to the owner or, in case of ownership through stock or membership in a corporation, the value of the proportion which the interest in the corporation bears to the assessed value of the property.
- (c) 1. By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of the following amounts twenty five thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate for each school district levy: thirty thousand dollars with respect to 2007 assessments; thirty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2008 assessments; forty thousand dollars with respect to 2009 assessments; forty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2010 assessments; and fifty thousand dollars with respect to 2011 assessments. In 2012 and each year thereafter, the exemption shall increase annually by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**→**|→|

1

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

PCB FT 06-07

#### Redraft - A

YEAR

- 2. By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption for all other levies may be increased up to an amount not exceeding ten thousand dollars of the assessed value of the real estate if the owner has attained age sixty-five or is totally and permanently disabled and if the owner is not entitled to the exemption provided in subsection (d).
- By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the exemption shall be increased to a total of the following amounts of assessed value of real estate for each levy other than those of school districts: thirty fifteen thousand dollars with respect to 2007 1980 assessments; thirty-five twenty thousand dollars with respect to 2008 1981 assessments; forty twenty five thousand dollars with respect to 2009 assessments; forty-five thousand dollars with respect to 2010 assessments; and fifty thousand dollars for 2011 assessments. In 2012 for 1982 and each year thereafter, the exemption shall increase annually by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. However, such increase shall not apply with respect to any assessment roll until such roll is first determined to be in compliance with the provisions of section 4 by a state agency designated by general law. This subsection shall stand repealed on the effective date of any amendment to section 4 which provides for the assessment of homestead property at a specified percentage of its just value.
- (e) By general law and subject to conditions specified therein, the Legislature may provide to renters, who are

Page 6 of 8

 $\rightarrow \mapsto I$ 

PCB FT 06-07 Redraft - A YEAR

permanent residents, ad valorem tax relief on all ad valorem tax levies. Such ad valorem tax relief shall be in the form and amount established by general law.

(f) The legislature may, by general law, allow counties or municipalities, for the purpose of their respective tax levies and subject to the provisions of general law, to grant an additional homestead tax exemption not exceeding twenty-five thousand dollars to any person who has the legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner and who has attained age sixty-five and whose household income, as defined by general law, does not exceed twenty thousand dollars. The general law must allow counties and municipalities to grant this additional exemption, within the limits prescribed in this subsection, by ordinance adopted in the manner prescribed by general law, and must provide for the periodic adjustment of the income limitation prescribed in this subsection for changes in the cost of living.

ARTICLE XII

#### SCHEDULE

SECTION 26. Homestead property assessment limitations; increased homestead exemption.--The amendments to Sections 4 and 6 of Article VII, modifying the limitations on the assessment of homestead property and increasing the amount of the homestead exemption, shall take effect January 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 4 AND 6

ARTICLE XII, SECTION 26

Page 7 of 8

billdraft16175.doc

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

<del>کا</del>

PCB FT 06-07 Redraft - A YEAR

ASSESSMENT OF HOMESTEAD PROPERTY.--Proposing amendments to the State Constitution to limit the difference between the just value and the assessed value of homestead property to \$100,000 except property established as homestead property before January 1, 2007, for which the difference between just value and assessed value may not exceed the difference between just value and assessed value existing on January 1, 2007, plus \$100,000; provide that homeowners who move from one homestead property to another in the same county would have the new homestead property assessed at up to \$100,000 less than just value depending on the differential between the just value and the assessed value of their previous homestead property; provide for a phased increase in the exemption for homestead property from \$25,000 to \$50,000 over 5 years; and schedule the amendments to take effect January 1, 2007, if adopted.

## Amendment No. (for drafter's use only) 1 PCB FT 06-07 COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION \_\_ (Y/N) ADOPTED \_\_ (Y/N) ADOPTED AS AMENDED \_\_ (Y/N) ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION \_\_ (Y/N) FAILED TO ADOPT \_\_ (Y/N) WITHDRAWN OTHER Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee 1 Representative(s) Domino offered the following: 2 3 Amendment (with ballot statement and title amendments) 4 Remove line(s) 47-49. 5 6

XII of the State Constitution, provide for assessing newly

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only) 2

PCB FT 06-07...

	$\cdot \cdot$
	COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee
2	Representative(s) Domino offered the following:
3	
4	Amendment (with ballot statement and title amendments)
5	Remove line(s) 79-80 and insert:
6	and its assessed value in the year of sale or transfer.
7	However, in no case
8	
9	===== BALLOT STATEMENT AMENDMENT =====
10	
11	assessed at less than just value depending on the
12	
13	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
14	Remove line 8 and insert:
15	and increase the amount of the

## Amendment No. (for drafter's use only) 3 PCB FT 06-07 COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION (Y/N) ADOPTED \_\_ (Y/N) ADOPTED AS AMENDED \_\_ (Y/N) ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION \_\_ (Y/N) FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N) WITHDRAWN OTHER Council/Committee hearing bill: Finance & Tax Committee 1 Representative(s) Domino offered the following: 2 3 4 Amendment (with ballot statement and title amendments) 5 Remove line(s) 85-88. 6 7 8 ===== B A L L O T S T A T E M E N T A M E N D M E N T ====== Remove line(s) 206-209 and insert: 9 10 ; 11

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB FT 06-08

**Expenditure Limits** 

**SPONSOR(S):** Finance & Tax Committee

TIED BILLS:

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Finance & Tax Committee		Monroe KDS/	1 Diez-Arguelles
1)			
2)			
3)		-	
4)		·	
5)			

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

This joint resolution would provide specific authorization for the Legislature to impose an expenditure cap on counties and municipalities. Under the terms of the constitutional amendment the expenditure limitation would have to make a provision for growth in the annual expenditure limitation which equals or exceeds the growth in Florida personal income. In addition, the amendment would require that provisions be made to permit waiver of the expenditure limitation if:

- the Governor declares a state of emergency,
- the electorate approves the additional expenditures by referendum, or
- the additional expenditures are approved by a supermajority of the governing body of the municipality or county.

The Division of Elections estimates the cost to the state to be approximately \$50,000 to meet constitutional requirements to publish this joint resolution to the electorate

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. pcb08.FT.doc

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

3/24/2006

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Not Applicable

# B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

### Background:

For the period 1983 to 2003, data shows a significant increase in the expenditures of counties and municipalities. It 1983, expenditures totaled \$5,205,143,611 for counties and \$4,327,322,797 for municipalities. By 1993 total expenditures reached \$11,767,269,903 for counties and \$8,841,094,865 for municipalities. These numbers reflect a 126.07% increase in county expenditures and a 104.31% increase in municipal expenditures over 10 years. In 2003 the counties spent \$21,400,225,783 and municipalities spent \$15,517,153,759. This shows an 81.86% increase since 1993 and a 311.14% increase since 1983 in county expenditures. Municipal expenditures grew 75.51% between 1993 and 2003 and 258.59% between 1983 and 2003.

## **Proposed Changes:**

This joint resolution would provide specific authorization for the Legislature to impose an expenditure cap on counties and municipalities. Under the terms of the constitutional amendment the expenditure limitation would have to make a provision for growth in the annual expenditure limitation which equals or exceeds the growth in Florida personal income. In addition, the amendment would require that provisions be made to permit waiver of the expenditure limitation if:

- the Governor declares a state of emergency,
- the electorate approves the additional expenditures by referendum, or
- the additional expenditures are approved by a supermajority of the governing body of the municipality or county.

# C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Not applicable to Joint Resolutions

# II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

Non-Recurring FY 2006-07

Department of State, Division of Elections

Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations, Expenditures and Revenues Reported by Counties, Municipalities, and Independent Special Districts: Fiscal Years 1979-2003, See http://fcn.state.fl.us/lcir/stwidefiscal.html

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

#### **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

Although the bill would specifically authorize the State Legislature to impose expenditure limits on counties and municipalities in the future, the Constitutional amendment itself would have no effect on local expenditures.

# C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Article XI, s. 5(d) of the State Constitution, requires the state to publish the proposed amendment along with notice of the date of the election at which it will be submitted before electors in one newspaper in each county in which a newspaper is published once in the tenth week and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week the election is held. The Division of Elections estimates this cost to be approximately \$50,000 to meet the requirements of this provision.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provisions of Article VII, section 18 of the Florida Constitution do not apply to joint resolutions.

2. Other:

Article XI, s. 1 of the Florida Constitution, provides the Legislature the authority to propose amendments to the constitution by joint resolution approved by three-fifths of the membership of each house. The amendment must be placed before the electorate at the next general election held after the proposal has been filed with the Secretary of State's office or may be placed at a special election held for that purpose.

# **B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:**

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

pcb08.FT.doc 3/24/2006 →<del>| →</del>|

PCB FT 06-08

## **ORIGINAL**

YEAR

1|

House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing the creation of Section 19 of Article VII of the State Constitution to limit the expenditures of counties and municipalities by general law subject to certain exceptions.

5 6 7

2

3

4

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

8

9

10

11

12

That the following creation of Section 19 of Article VII of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

13 14

#### ARTICLE VII

15 16

#### FINANCE AND TAXATION

17 18 SECTION 19. Limitation on county and municipal expenditures.--A limitation on county and municipal expenditures may be imposed by general law, provided:

19 20

(a) A provision for annual growth in the expenditure limitation is made, which growth must equal or exceed the growth in Florida personal income.

2122

(b) Provisions for waiving the expenditure limitation are made if:

2324

(1) The Governor declares an emergency;

2526

(2) The electors of the county or municipality approve the additional expenditures by referendum; or

27

(3) The additional expenditures are approved by a supermajority vote of the governing body of the county or municipality.

28 29

Page 1 of 2

billdraft16174.doc

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

<del>ا ( ا</del>

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

PCB FT 06-08 ORIGINAL YEAR

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

#### CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

### ARTICLE VII, SECTION 19

LIMITATION ON COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES.--Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to authorize the Legislature to impose a limitation on expenditures of counties and municipalities by general law, subject to providing for annual growth in the limitation and waiving the limitation if the Governor declares an emergency or additional expenditures are approved by municipal or county electors by referendum or by the municipal or county governing body by a supermajority vote.

Page 2 of 2

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB FT 06-09

**Property Tax Administration** 

SPONSOR(S): Finance & Tax Committee

TIED BILLS:

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Finance & Tax Committee		Monroe K	
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Currently, counties and municipalities may levy a millage rate up to the maximum amount specified by law.<sup>1</sup> Under this bill any local government which levies a millage rate in excess of the rolled back rate, adjusted by the sum of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index plus 3%, will lose its right to half cent sales tax revenue sharing under section 218.63, F.S. The bill further provides that instructions on how to calculate the millage rate at which the loss of revenue sharing dollars would occur must be included in the information the property appraiser provides to the local government before it sets its proposed millage rate.

The bill also provides that a majority plus one vote of the local governing body is required in order to levy a millage rate which would result in the loss of revenue sharing.

Finally, the bill instructs the Department of Revenue to contract with the Usability Center at Florida State University to study the notice of proposed taxes (TRIM notice). The study is to determine if the current notice is effectively conveying its information to taxpayers, and propose an alternative form if the current notice is deemed ineffective. The results from this study are to be presented to the Governor, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate by December 15, 2006.

A \$50,000 appropriation from the General Revenue Fund is included in the bill for the purposes of paying for the usability study.

The fiscal impact of this bill is indeterminate since the number of taxing authorities which will levy a millage rate that will result in a loss of Revenue Sharing dollars cannot be accurately predicted.

The bill shall take effect upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME:

pcb09.FT.doc 3/24/2006

DATE:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Under Art. VII, s. 9, Fla. Const. For county purposes, municipal purposes, and school districts, the cap is 10 mills. The millage rate for water management districts is capped at 1 mill, except that it is 0.05 mills for the Northwest Florida Water Management District. The millage rate for other special districts is as established by law.

## **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Lower Taxes – Because this bill provides a fiscal incentive to local governments not to raise millage rates beyond a specified amount, it should result in lower taxes levied. In addition, the majority plus one vote requirement on larger tax increases should also encourage local governments to impose lower tax rates.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# Millage Rates and Revenue Sharing

# Background:

Currently, counties and municipalities may levy any millage rate up to the maximum amount specified by law. For county purposes, municipal purposes, and school districts, the cap is 10 mills. The millage rate for water management districts is capped at 1 mill, except that it is 0.05 mills for the Northwest Florida Water Management District. The millage rate for other special districts is as established by law.<sup>2</sup> However, if the tax rate being levied is in excess of the "rolled back rate", that is the rate which would generate the same amount of revenue, exclusive of certain changes in the tax base<sup>3</sup>, the local taxing authority must advertise a tax increase, even if the millage rate being levied is lower than the previous year's rate.

The property tax is the single largest income source for cities and counties and it has been producing a rapidly increasing amount of revenue. In 1983 the property tax produced \$1,320,702,577 for counties and \$563,554,296 for municipalities. By 1993 the property tax produced \$3,560,560,785 for counties and \$1,399,123,949 for municipalities. These numbers reflect a 269.6% increase in county property tax revenues and a 248.3% increase in municipal property tax revenues over 10 years. In 2003 the counties raised \$6,303,699,402 from the property tax and municipalities raised \$2,525,204,276. This shows a 177.0% increase since 1993 and a 447.3% increase since 1983 in county property tax revenues. Municipal property tax revenues grew 180.5% between 1993 and 2003 and 448.1% between 1983 and 2003.

Another significant revenue source for local governments is state revenue shared with cities and counties, which primarily is funded by a half-cent of sales tax proceeds. Distributions to local governments are predicted to total \$1.7 billion in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006. For counties the amounts received will range from \$391,253 for Lafayette County to \$119,837,298 for Orange County. Similarly the amounts distributed to cities will range from \$463 for Weeki Wachee to \$28,019,807 for Orlando.

### **Proposed Changes:**

Under this bill any local government which levies a millage rate in excess of the rolled back rate, adjusted by the sum of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index plus 3%. will lose its right to revenue sharing under section 218.63, F.S.

STORAGE NAME:

pcb09.FT.doc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Under Art. VII, s. 9, Fla. Const

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As specified in section 200.065(1), F.S., the rolled-back rate is that "millage rate which, exclusive of new construction, additions in structures, deletions, increases in the value of improvements that have undergone a substantial rehabilitation which increased the assessed value of such improvements by at least 100 percent, and property added due to geographic boundary changes, will provide the same amount of ad valorem tax revenue" for the taxing authority.

The bill further provides that instructions on how to calculate the millage rate at which the loss of revenue sharing dollars would occur must be included in the information the property appraiser provides to the local government before it sets its proposed budget.

Also, the bill provides that a majority plus one vote of the local governing board is required in order to levy a millage rate which would result in the loss of revenue sharing.

#### **TRIM NOTICE**

## **Background:**

Colloquially known as the "TRIM" notice<sup>4</sup>, the Notice of Proposed Property Taxes and Non-Ad Valorem Assessments provides property owners with information regarding the appraisal of their property, the exemptions they have been granted, proposed property tax levies, and notification of upcoming public meetings regarding local government budgets. In short, this notice is the primary means by which the public is informed about the property tax and how it affects them. The form of this notice is outlined in Section 200.069, F.S.

The TRIM notice was originally designed in 1980. Since then numerous changes have been made to add additional information to the notice but there has never been any formal study of how well the TRIM notice conveys the information it was intended to convey. These points were made in a 2002 interim report by the Committee on Fiscal Policy and Resources<sup>5</sup> which recommended, in part, that "a usability study of the TRIM notice should be considered. Such a study could address how well the TRIM notice conveys the information it is intended to convey. Moreover, it could consider how to best deal with non-ad valorem assessments, and evaluate the effectiveness of the rolled-back rate as currently used."

## **Proposed Changes:**

The bill instructs the Department of Revenue to contract with the Usability Center at Florida State University to study the TRIM notice, determine if it is effectively conveying its information to taxpayers, and propose an alternative form if the TRIM notice is deemed ineffective. The bill contains a list of the information that is intended to be conveyed to the taxpayer by the TRIM notice. That list includes:

- The just value of the subject property and how that value has changed from the previous year.
- The taxable value of the subject property and how that value has changed from the previous year.
- An accounting for the differences, such as exemptions, between the just value and the taxable value
- The identities of all taxing authorities which will be levying taxes against the subject property.
- A measure of how much changes in the taxing authorities' budgets are responsible for changing the taxes due on the property.
- Information on where and when the property owner may provide input on said budget changes.
- Information as to how the property owner may challenge the assessment of their property.
- Information regarding tax deferral, estimated tax prepayment, and other programs intended to assist taxpayers.
- Other information that the usability study finds would assist taxpayers in better understanding the property tax system and how it affects their tax liability.

This common name came from "Truth in Millage" which was the popular name of the legislation which created this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Florida House of Representatives, Committee on Fiscal Policy and Resources, Interim Report: The TRIM Notice and Process, dated October 28, 2002

The results from this study are to be presented to the Governor, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate by December 15, 2006.

The bill includes a \$50,000 appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to pay for this study.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends Section 218.63, F.S., to specify that a local government which levies a tax rate in excess of the rolled back rate, adjusted by the sum of the percentage chance in the Consumer Price Index plus 3% shall not be eligible to receive revenue sharing from the half-cent sales tax proceeds.

Section 2 amends Section 200.065, F.S., to provide that the property appraiser shall provide to local taxing authorities instructions on how to calculate the millage rate which would result in a loss of revenue sharing dollars. The section further provides that a majority plus one vote is required in order to levy a millage rate which would result in the loss of revenue sharing.

Section 3 instructs the Department of Revenue to contract with the Usability Center at Florida State University to study the TRIM notice, determine if it is effectively conveying its information to taxpayers, and propose an alternative form if the TRIM notice is deemed ineffective. The final results from this study are to be presented to the Governor, the Speaker of the House, and the President of the Senate by December 15, 2006.

Section 4 contains an appropriation of \$50,000 from the General Revenue Fund for the purpose of paying for the usability study.

Section 5 provides that the bill shall take effect upon becoming law.

# 11. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

This bill appropriates \$50,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Revenue to pay for a study.

# B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Local Governments which raise their millage rates in excess of the limits prescribed in this bill will lose revenue sharing funds from the half cent sales tax under section 218.63, F.S.

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

pcb09.FT.doc 3/24/2006

## **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require cities or counties to spend funds or take actions requiring the expenditure of funds. However, because it does impose a majority plus one vote requirement on raising millage rates beyond a certain amount, it could be construed as reducing the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate. As such, it is recommended that this bill be approved by a two-thirds vote in each chamber.

2. Other:

None

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

pcb09.FT.doc 3/24/2006 ا←ا←

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

PCB FT 06-09

#### Redraft - B

YEAR

#### A bill to be entitled

An act relating to property tax administration; amending s. 218.63, F.S.; prohibiting certain local governments from participating in the local government half-cent sales tax under certain circumstances; providing a methodology for calculating a maximum millage rate for such local governments under certain circumstances; amending s. 200.065, F.S.; requiring a certification form to contain certain millage rate computation instructions relating to loss of eligibility to participate in the local government half-cent sales tax; specifying a required vote to adopt a certain millage rate; requiring the Department of Revenue to employ the Usability Center at Florida State University for certain property tax and non-ad valorem assessment notice study purposes; providing study requirements; specifying notice requirements; requiring a report to the Governor and Legislature; providing an appropriation; providing an effective date.

19

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

21 22

20

Section 1. Subsection (3) is added to section 218.63, Florida Statutes, to read:

2324

218.63 Participation requirements.--

2526

(3)(a) If a unit of local government levies in any year a millage rate, not including any millage levied pursuant to a referendum held in that year, in excess of the maximum millage rate as calculated in paragraph (b), that unit of local

2728

Page 1 of 6

billdraft16176.doc

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

ا←ا

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

PCB FT 06-09 Redraft - B YEAR

government may not participate in the local government half-cent sales tax during the next state fiscal year.

- (b) The maximum millage rate under paragraph (a) shall be calculated as the rolled-back rate as defined in s. 200.065, adjusted by the sum of the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, U.S. City Average, all items 1967=100, or successor reports for the preceding calendar year as initially reported by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, plus 3 percentage points.
- Section 2. Subsection (1) and paragraph (e) of subsection (2) of section 200.065, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

  200.065 Method of fixing millage.--
- Upon completion of the assessment of all property pursuant to s. 193.023, the property appraiser shall certify to each taxing authority the taxable value within the jurisdiction of the taxing authority. This certification shall include a copy of the statement required to be submitted under s. 195.073(3), as applicable to that taxing authority. The form on which the certification is made shall include instructions to each taxing authority describing the proper method of computing a millage rate which, exclusive of new construction, additions to structures, deletions, increases in the value of improvements that have undergone a substantial rehabilitation which increased the assessed value of such improvements by at least 100 percent, and property added due to geographic boundary changes, will provide the same ad valorem tax revenue for each taxing authority as was levied during the prior year. That millage rate shall be known as the "rolled-back rate." The form must also include instructions to each taxing authority describing the proper

 PCB FT 06-09 Redraft - B YEAR

method of computing a millage rate that would result in a loss of eligibility to participate in the local government half-cent sales tax under s. 218.63, if applicable. The information provided pursuant to this subsection shall also be sent to the tax collector by the property appraiser at the time it is sent to each taxing authority.

- (2) No millage shall be levied until a resolution or ordinance has been approved by the governing board of the taxing authority which resolution or ordinance must be approved by the taxing authority according to the following procedure:
- (e)1. In the hearings required pursuant to paragraphs (c) and (d), the first substantive issue discussed shall be the percentage increase in millage over the rolled-back rate necessary to fund the budget, if any, and the specific purposes for which ad valorem tax revenues are being increased. During such discussion, the governing body shall hear comments regarding the proposed increase and explain the reasons for the proposed increase over the rolled-back rate. The general public shall be allowed to speak and to ask questions prior to adoption of any measures by the governing body. The governing body shall adopt its tentative or final millage rate prior to adopting its tentative or final budget. A majority plus one vote of the governing body shall be required to adopt a tentative or final millage rate in excess of the maximum millage rate set forth in s. 218.63(3)(b).
- 2. These hearings shall be held after 5 p.m. if scheduled on a day other than Saturday. No hearing shall be held on a Sunday. The county commission shall not schedule its hearings on days scheduled for hearings by the school board. The hearing

-><del>|</del>>|

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98 99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113114

115

PCB FT 06-09 Redraft - B YEAR

dates scheduled by the county commission and school board shall not be utilized by any other taxing authority within the county for its public hearings. A multicounty taxing authority shall make every reasonable effort to avoid scheduling hearings on days utilized by the counties or school districts within its jurisdiction. Tax levies and budgets for dependent special taxing districts shall be adopted at the hearings for the taxing authority to which such districts are dependent, following such discussion and adoption of levies and budgets for the superior taxing authority. A taxing authority may adopt the tax levies for all of its dependent special taxing districts, and may adopt the budgets for all of its dependent special taxing districts, by a single unanimous vote. However, if a member of the general public requests that the tax levy or budget of a dependent special taxing district be separately discussed and separately adopted, the taxing authority shall discuss and adopt that tax levy or budget separately. If, due to circumstances beyond the control of the taxing authority, the hearing provided for in paragraph (d) is recessed, the taxing authority shall publish a notice in a newspaper of general paid circulation in the county. The notice shall state the time and place for the continuation of the hearing and shall be published at least 2 days but not more than 5 days prior to the date the hearing will be continued.

Section 3. The Department of Revenue shall hire the
Usability Center at Florida State University to perform a
usability study of the notice of proposed property taxes and nonad valorem assessments created under s. 200.069, Florida
Statutes. The study shall measure how effectively the current
notice conveys the information the notice is intended to convey

Page 4 of 6

ا←ا

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

PCB FT 06-09

Redraft - B

YEAR

- and, if the notice is judged ineffective, propose an alternative method of conveying the information. The study shall be completed, and copies of the final results shall be presented to the Governor, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate, by December 15, 2006. For the purpose of this study, the list of information intended to be conveyed by the notice shall include, but not be limited to:
- (1) The just value of the subject property and how that value has changed from the previous year.
- (2) The taxable value of the subject property and how that value has changed from the previous year.
- (3) An accounting for the differences, such as exemptions, between the just value and the taxable value.
- (4) The identities of all taxing authorities that will be levying taxes against the subject property.
- (5) A measure of how much changes in the taxing authorities' budgets are responsible for changing the taxes due on the property.
- (6) Information on where and when the property owner may provide input on such budget changes.
- (7) Information as to how a property owner may challenge the assessment of the owner's property.
- (8) Information regarding tax deferral, estimated tax prepayment, and other programs intended to assist taxpayers.
- (9) Any other information that the usability study finds would assist taxpayers in better understanding the property tax system and how the system affects their tax liability.

Page 5 of 6

# FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ا←ا

144

145

PCB FT 06-09 Section 4. The sum of \$50,000 is appropriated from the 143

Redraft - B

General Revenue Fund to the Department of Revenue for the purpose of funding the usability study required under section 3.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 146

Page 6 of 6

YEAR